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Fig. S1 SEM of (a)Fe-MOF-74/NF, (b)FeCe ${ }_{0.5}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$, (c)FeCe ${ }_{1}$-MOF-74/NF, (d) $\mathrm{FeCe}_{2}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$


Fig. S2 SEM of (a)Mn-MOF-74/NF, (b)Mn ${ }_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$, (c) $\mathrm{Mn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{1}-\mathrm{MOF}-$ 74/NF, (d) $\mathrm{Mn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{2}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$

(b)


Fig. S3 (a) EDX patterns of $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF; (b) elemental mapping of $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF


Fig. S4 XRD patterns of (a) (FeMnCe)-MOF-74 series; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series and (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S5 FT-IR patterns of (a) $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$, and ligand DOBDC; (b) (FeMnCe)-MOF-74 series; (c) FeCe-MOF-74 series and (d) MnCe-MOF74 series.
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Fig. S6 OER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . The LSV plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) ( FeMnCe )-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S7 OER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . Tafel plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) (FeMnCe)-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S8 HER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . The LSV plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) ( FeMnCe )-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S9 HER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . Tafel plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) ( FeMnCe )-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S10 The equivalent circuit model for electrochemical impedance tests.


Fig. S11 OER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . Nyquist plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) $(\mathrm{FeMnCe})-\mathrm{MOF}-74$ series.


Fig. S12 HER performances of all as-prepared materials in 1 M KOH . Nyquist plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series; (c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) (FeMnCe)-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S13 CV curves of all as-prepared materials at scan rates ranging from 20 to 120 $\mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$


Fig. S14 C ${ }_{\mathrm{dl}}$ data of Nyquist plots of (a) single metal-MOF-74; (b) FeCe-MOF-74 series;
(c) MnCe-MOF-74 series and (d) (FeMnCe)-MOF-74 series.


Fig. S15 XRD patterns of the $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF after the OER and HER for 24
h electrolysis process.


Fig. S16 (a) XPS survey spectrum for $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$ before and after OER stability test. XPS analyses of Fe 2 p (b), Mn 2p (c) and Ce 3d (d) before and after OER stability test.

In Fig. S16, showed the high-resolution Fe 2p, Mn 2p, and Ce 3d XPS spectra for $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF before and after the OER stability test. Fe 2 p spectrum in FeMn ${ }_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF shows two pairs of peaks $712.3 \mathrm{eV}\left(\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}\right), 725.3 \mathrm{eV}(\mathrm{Fe}$ $\left.2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ and $710.3 \mathrm{eV}\left(\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}\right), 723.6 \mathrm{eV}\left(\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}\right)$ for $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, and two satellite peaks 718.0 eV and $731.7 \mathrm{eV}^{\mathrm{S} 1-3}$ In the high-resolution Mn 2 p region of $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5^{-}}$ MOF-74/NF, two main peaks centered at 642.4 eV ( $\mathrm{Mn} 2 \mathrm{p}_{3 / 2}$ ) and $653.5 \mathrm{eV}\left(\mathrm{Mn} 2 \mathrm{p}_{1 / 2}\right)$ with one satellite peaks. It could be deconvoluted to four characteristic peaks at 641.4 eV and 653.0 eV could be assigned to $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and those at 653.7 eV with 642.9 eV correspond to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ species. ${ }^{\text {S4-6 }}$ The binding energies 645.6 eV satellite peaks also correspond to $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. Moreover, in the 3d Ce spectrum, the two peaks that appeared at 882.0 eV and 901.4 eV are assigned to $3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}$ and $3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}$ of $\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}$, respectively. Then it had peaks at $898.1 \mathrm{eV}, 915.8 \mathrm{eV}$, and 879.0 eV corresponding to $\mathrm{Ce} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{3 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{Ce} 3 \mathrm{~d}_{5 / 2}$ of $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$. In addition, 885.6 eV and 904.4 eV were two satellite peaks. ${ }^{\text {S7-9 }}$

Table S1. Content of four metal species in $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74, $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{1}$-MOF74 and $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{2}$-MOF-74 by ICP-OES tests

|  | Mass\% |  |  | Atom \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fe | Mn | Ce | Fe | Mn | Ce |
| FeMn ${ }_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$ | 3.7 | 5.8 | 3.3 | 0.0661 | 0.1055 | 0.0235 |
| FeMn ${ }_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{1}$ | 2.9 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 0.0512 | 0.0861 | 0.0258 |
| $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{2}$ | 2.5 | 5.3 | 8.9 | 0.0450 | 0.0966 | 0.0639 |

Table S2. The comparison of the OER activities of $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}-\mathrm{MOF}-74 / \mathrm{NF}$ and other recently reported MOF-based catalysts.

| Catalyst | Overpotential ( $\mathrm{mV} @ \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ) | Electrolyte | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CoNi-MOF-74 | $300 @ 100$ | 0.1 M KOH | 60 |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{0.6} \mathrm{Fe}_{0.4}$-MOF-74 | 280@10 | 1.0 M KOH | 46 |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @$ MOF-74 | 285@50 | 1.0 M KOH | 61 |
| FeMn-MOF/NF(1:1) | 290@50 | 1.0 M KOH | 62 |
| $\mathrm{Ni}_{0.5} \mathrm{Co}_{1.5}-\mathrm{bpy}(\mathrm{PyM})$ | 256@10 | 1.0 M KOH | 25 |
| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{MOF}(\mathrm{A})$ | 306@10 | 1.0 M KOH | 20 |
| $\mathrm{NiFe}(20 \mathrm{Ni})$-MOF/NFF | 297@100 | 1.0 M KOH | 63 |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{MOFs}$ | 288@10 | 1.0 M KOH | 64 |
| S/N-CMF@ $\mathrm{Fe}_{x} \mathrm{CoyNi}_{1-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}}$-MOF | 296 @ 10 | 1.0 M KOH | 11 |
| Mn-MOF/NF | 280@20 | 0.1 M KOH | 30 |
| FeCoNi MOF/NF | 267@10 | 1.0 M KOH | 65 |
| CdFe-MOF | 290@100 | 1.0 M KOH | 66 |
| $\mathrm{FeMn}_{6} \mathrm{Ce}_{0.5}$-MOF-74/NF | 281@100 | 1.0 M KOH | This work |
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