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Table S1. Thermostability of the Co 3 systems at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{a}}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | microstructure $^{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| run | $\mathbf{A l}$ | $\mathbf{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right)$ | $\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{m i n})$ | yield | Activity $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{n}}{ }^{\mathbf{c} / 10^{4}}$ | PDI | $\boldsymbol{c i s} \boldsymbol{- 1 , 4}$ | trans-1,4 | $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ |
| 1 | 100 | 80 | 10 | $83.3 \%$ | 6759 | 14.9 | 4.71 | $92.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 2 | 100 | 80 | 60 | $87.5 \%$ | 1183 | 33.5 | 4.45 | $90.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 3 | 100 | 80 | 120 | $92.2 \%$ | 623 | 35.2 | 3.68 | $90.5 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 4 | 100 | 100 | 10 | $72.9 \%$ | 5915 | 14.2 | 4.33 | $87.4 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| 5 | 100 | 100 | 60 | $84.4 \%$ | 1041 | 15.9 | 4.77 | $88.9 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| 6 | 100 | 100 | 120 | $85.8 \%$ | 580 | 24.1 | 3.99 | $88.3 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polymerization conditions: in toluene, $[\mathrm{Bd}]=0.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL},[\mathrm{Bd}] /[\mathrm{Co}]=25000$, EASC as a co-catalyst. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Activity in units of $\mathrm{kg}_{\text {polymer }} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-}$
${ }^{1} \cdot \mathrm{~h}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and PDI were determined by GPC. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined by IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$.


Figure S1. Representative ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum and its assignment ${ }^{1-3}(\mathrm{Co3}$, Table 4)
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Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L1


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L2
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Figure S4．${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L3
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Figure S5．${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L4
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Figure S6．${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L1
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Figure S7．${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L6
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Figure S8．${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of L7
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Figure $\mathbf{S 9} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L 1
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|  | 190 | 170 | 150 | 130 | 110 <br> $\mathrm{f1}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 90 | 70 | 50 | 30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 10 | -10 |
| :--- |

Figure S10. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L2


Figure S11. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L3


| 190 | 190 | 170 | 150 | 130 | 110 <br> $\mathrm{f} 1(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 90 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 10 | -10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Figure S12. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L4
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Figure S13. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L5


Figure S14. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L6


Figure S15. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of L7

## The hydrogen atom treatment, restraints and constraints used in the refinement

Refinement of 2262742: The data were truncated by 0.84 angstrom due to the I/sigma drops below 2 at about 2 theta $=50(c a .0 .84 \AA)$. No significant disorder found in this structure. The hydrogen atom (H2) on the nitrogen atom (N2) of amine group was located by difference Fourier syntheses and was refined freely. All of the other hydrogen atoms were placed at the calculated positions and were refined as riding model. The isotropic $U$ value of hydrogen atoms ( $U_{\text {iso }}$ ) were refined as: $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N}), U_{\text {iso }}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {sp2 }}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{Csp} 2), U_{\text {iso }}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp} 3}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, and $U_{\text {iso }}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=$ $1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. The residual electron densities were of no chemical significance.

Refinement of 2262744: The data were truncated by 0.93 angstrom due to the I/sigma drops below 2 at about 2 theta $=45(c a .0 .93 \AA)$. The linker fragment $\left[\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$, labeled as $\mathrm{C} 13, \mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{C} 15$, C 16 , and C 17 ) between two nitrogen atoms of the imine ligand were refined as two-componentsdisorder (C13~C17 and C13A~C17A) over two sites with occupancies 0.892:0.108, as well as the hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen atom of amine group. The methyl groups in one isopropyl of the two diisopropylphenyl (dipp) group were refined as two-components-disorder (C8~C9/C8A~C9A and C28~C29/C28A~C29A) over two sites with occupancies 0.542:0.458 and 0.412:0.588, respectively. The methylene and one chloride atom of the free solvent dichloromethane were refined as two-components-disorder ( $\mathrm{C} 30, \mathrm{Cl} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 30 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Cl} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ ) over two sites with occupancies $0.646: 0.354$. The hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen atom of amine group cannot be located by difference Fourier syntheses due to disorder, and thus were placed at the calculated positions and were refined as riding model. The isotropic $U$ value of hydrogen atoms $\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ were refined as: $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{N}), U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp} 2}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{Csp} 2), U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp} 3}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. Necessary restraints/constraints (SADI, DFIX, FLAT, RIGU and SIMU) were applied to prevent deformation of the disordered fragments and maintain its anisotropic displacement parameters within a reasonable range. The residual electron densities were of no chemical significance.

Refinement of 2262745: The whole structure was on mirror plane leading to a special disorder of the entire ligand across symmetry element wit occupancies of 0.5 . The hydrogen atoms on the nitrogen atom of amine group cannot be located by difference Fourier syntheses due to disorder, and thus were placed at the calculated positions and were refined as riding model. The isotropic $U$ value of hydrogen atoms $\left(U_{\text {iso }}\right)$ were refined as: $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{N}), U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp} 2}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2$ $U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{Csp} 2), U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{sp} 3}-\mathrm{H}\right)=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$, and $U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=1.2 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. Necessary restraints/constraints (DFIX, RIGU and SIMU) were applied to prevent deformation of the disordered fragments and maintain its anisotropic displacement parameters within a reasonable range. The residual electron densities were of no chemical significance.

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for Co3 (CDCC 2262744).

| Identification code | 2262744 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{CoN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 635.42 |
| Temperature/K | 300.00 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/Å | 8.271(4) |
| b/Å | 19.167(9) |
| c/Å | 21.451(10) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.145(8) |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 3396(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.243 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.840 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1340.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.21$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.852 to 45.814 |
| Index ranges | $-8 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 8,-20 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 20,-23 \leq 1 \leq 22$ |
| Reflections collected | 18116 |
| Independent reflections | $4548\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0683, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0605\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4548/393/453 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.037 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0602, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1475$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0863, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1644$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.49/-0.36 |

Table S3. Crystal data and structure refinement for Co4 (CDCC 2262745)

| Identification code | 2262745 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CoN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 494.39 |
| Temperature/K | 300.00 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pnma |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 14.7263(8) |
| b/Å | 17.7923(12) |
| c/Å | 9.6321(6) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2523.8(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.301 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.906 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1044.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.29 \times 0.26 \times 0.25$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 6.822 to 59.99 |
| Index ranges | $-20 \leq h \leq 18,-24 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 25,-13 \leq 1 \leq 13$ |
| Reflections collected | 72137 |
| Independent reflections | $3769\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0852, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0342\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3769/325/269 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.097 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1037, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2471$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.1283, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.2585$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.74/-0.58 |

Table S4. Crystal data and structure refinement for Co6 (CDCC 2262742).

| Identification code | 2262742 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CoN}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 466.33 |
| Temperature/K | 300 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | 11.3734(16) |
| b/Å | 14.684(2) |
| c/Å | 15.247(2) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 108.415(2) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2416.0(6) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.282 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.942 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 980.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.26 \times 0.23 \times 0.11$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.932 to 50.082 |
| Index ranges | $-13 \leq h \leq 12,-17 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 17,-18 \leq 1 \leq 18$ |
| Reflections collected | 16686 |
| Independent reflections | $4268\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0585, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0559\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4268/0/266 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.095 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0632, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1185$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0976, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1317$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.69/-0.53 |

