Supporting Information

Exploiting Click–Chemistry: Backbone Post– functionalisation of Homoleptic Gold(I) 1,2,3–triazole–5– ylidene Complexes

Leon F. Richter, Fernanda Marques, João D. G. Correia, Alexander Pöthig and Fritz E. Kühn



A2780, 48h

Analytical Data



Figure 1: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **1** in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure 2: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure 3: ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **2** in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure 4: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **3** in DMSO-*d*₆.



Figure 5: ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **3** in DMSO- d_6 .



Figure 6: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **4** in CD₃CN.



Figure 7: 13 C-NMR spectrum of **4** in CD₃CN.



Figure 8: ³¹P-NMR spectrum of $4-PF_6$ in CD₃CN.



Figure 9: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **5a–I** in CD₃CN.



Figure 10: ¹³C-NMR spectrum of **5a–I** in CD₃CN.



Figure 11: ¹H-NMR spectrum of **5b–I** in CD₃CN.





Figure 13: ESI-MS spectrum of 2.







Figure 17: ESI-MS spectrum of 5b-I.



Figure 18 Analytical RP-HPLC of 4-I(85-100% MeCN/H2O with 0.1% formic acid, 25 min).



Figure 19 Analytical RP-HPLC of 4-PF₆ (85-100% MeCN/H2O with 0.1% formic acid, 25 min).



Figure 20 Analytical RP-HPLC of 5a-I (50-100% MeCN/H2O with 0.1% formic acid, 25 min).



Figure 21 Analytical RP-HPLC of 5b-I (85-100% MeCN/H2O with 0.1% formic acid, 25 min).

Table 1: Crystallographic data of compound 4-I; CCDC: 2268759.

Chemical formula	C ₅₂ H ₆₈ AuIN ₁₂	
Formula weight	1185.06	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal size	0.021 x 0.136 x 0.154 mm	
Crystal system	triclinic	
Space group	P -1	
Unit cell dimensions	<i>a</i> = 11.6459(8) Å	$\alpha = 106.185(2)^{\circ}$
	<i>b</i> = 15.3742(9) Å	<i>β</i> = 100.478(2)°
	<i>c</i> = 15.6241(9) Å	γ = 91.602(2)°
Volume	2632.6(3) Å ³	
Z	2	
Density (calculated)	1.495 g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	3.427 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	1192	

Table 2: Data Collection and Structure Refinement of Compound 4-I

Diffractometer Bruker Photon II
Radiation source Mo)

Theta range for data collection	2.03 to 25.68°		
Index ranges	-14≤h≤14, -18≤k≤18, -19≤l≤19		
Reflections collected	57455		
Independent reflections	9993 [R(int) = 0.0292]		
Coverage of independent reflections	99.9%		
Absorption correction	Multi-Scan		
Max. and min. transmission	0.7457 and 0.6177		
Structure solution technique	direct methods		
Structure solution program	SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015)		
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²		
Refinement program	SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2017), SHELXLE (Huebschle, 2011)		
Function minimized	$\Sigma w (F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2$		
Data / restraints / parameters	9993 / 0 / 607		
Goodness-of-fit on F2	1.140		
Δ/σ _{max}	0.003		
Final R indices	9538 data; I>2σ(I)	R ₁ = 0.0256, wR ₂ = 0.0546	
	all data	R ₁ = 0.0276, wR ₂ = 0.0553	
Weighting scheme	w=1/[$\Sigma^2(F_o^2)$ +(0.0108P) ² +6.5216P] where P=(F_o^2 +2 F_c^2)/3		
Largest diff. peak and hole	1.665 and -1.032 eÅ ⁻³		
R.M.S. deviation from mean	0.080 eÅ ⁻³		



Figure 22: FT-IR spectrum of 3.



Figure 23: FT-IR spectrum of 4.

References

- [1] Bruker AXS, n.d.
- [2] C. B. Hübschle, G. M. Sheldrick, B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281–1284.
- [3] G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C Struct. Chem. 2015, 71, 3–8.
- [4] A. J. Wilson, International Tables for Crystallography, Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, **1992**.
- [5] A. L. Spek, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr. 2009, 65, 148–155.
- [6] F. L. Breusch, F. Baykut, *Chem. Ber.* **1953**, *86*, 684–688.
- [7] R. Uson, A. Laguna, M. Laguna, D. A. Briggs, H. H. Murray, J. P. Fackler Jr, Inorg. Synth. **1989**, 26, 85–91.
- [8] K. Barral, A. D. Moorhouse, J. E. Moses, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 1809–1811.