Marbofloxacin combined with heavy rare-earth ions makes better candidates for veterinary drugs: Crystal structure and bio-activity studies

Zhi-chuan Chen^{a†}, Rui-xue Liu^{a,b†}, Yan-jie Xie^a, Qin Hu^a, Fu-ping Huang^a, Yan-cheng Liu^{a*}, Hong Liang^{a*}

^a School of Chemistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, State Key Laboratory for Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources, Key Laboratory for Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Medicinal Resources (Ministry of Education of China), Collaborative Innovation Center for Guangxi Ethnic Medicine, Guangxi Normal University, Guilin, 541004, China.

^b Department of Chemistry, Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China

* Correspondence e-mail: ycliu@gxnu.edu.cn (Y. Liu); hliang@gxnu.edu.cn (H. Liang); Tel./Fax: +86-773-2535678

[†] The two authors contributed equally to this work.

Selected bond (Å)						
MB-Y		MB-Tb		MB-Dy		
Y-01	2.292(5)	Tb1–O1	2.417(4)	Dy1-O3	2.312(4)	
Y-03	2.384(5)	Tb1–O2	2.325(5)	Dy1-O4	2.414(4)	
Y-05	2.319(5)	Tb1–O5	2.365(5)	Dy1-O7	2.332(5)	
Y-07	2.343(6)	Tb1–O6	2.342(5)	Dy1-08	2.346(5)	
Y-09	2.334(5)	Tb1–O9	2.369(4)	Dy1-011	2.325(5)	
Y-011	2.363(5)	Tb1-O10	2.355(5)	Dy1-O12	2.370(4)	
Y-013	2.295(6)	Tb1-O13	2.379(4)	Dy1-015	2.345(5)	
Y-015	2.339(5)	Tb1014	2.359(5)	Dy1-O16	2.384(4)	

 Table S1. The selected bonds lengths for MB-Ln complexes.

MB-Ho		MB-Er		MB-Tm	
Ho1–O1	2.304(3)	Er1–O1	2.353(5)	Tm1–O1	2.327(6)
Ho1-O3	2.401(3)	Er1–O2	2.296(5)	Tm1–O2	2.287(6)
Ho1–O5	2.315(3)	Er1–O5	2.357(5)	Tm1–O5	2.377(5)
Ho1-O7	2.338(3)	Er1–O6	2.298(5)	Tm1-06	2.271(6)
Ho1-O9	2.332(3)	Er1–O9	2.363(5)	Tm1–O9	2.329(5)
Ho1-O11	2.380(3)	Er1–O10	2.312(5)	Tm1-O10	2.320(6)
Ho1-O13	2.337(3)	Er1–O13	2.403(4)	Tm1-014	2.314(6)
Ho1-O15	2.359(3)	Er1–O14	2.330(5)	Tm1-015	2.338(5)

MB-Yb		MB-Lu	
Yb1-O1	2.276(3)	Lu1–O1	2.292(3)
Yb1-O3	2.389(3)	Lu1–O2	2.268(3)
Yb1–O5	2.282(3)	Lu1–O4	2.382(3)
Yb1–O7	2.306(3)	Lu1–O5	2.269(2)
Yb1-O9	2.292(3)	Lu1–O7	2.344(2)
Yb1-011	2.352(3)	Lu1–O8	2.285(2)
Yb1-013	2.301(3)	Lu1-010	2.320(2)

Zb1-O15 2.340(3) Lu1-O11 2.296(3)	2.340(3) 2.340(3)
---	-------------------

Selected angles (°)							
MB-Y		MB-Tb		MB-Dy			
O1-Y-O3	71.81(17)	O10-Tb1-O9	71.07(16)	O11-Dy1-O8	72.96(17)		
O1-Y-O5	141.51(17)	O9-Tb1-O13	78.53(15)	O7-Dy1-O12	74.86(15)		
O5-Y-O3	76.97(17)	O5-Tb1-O13	142.00(18)	O7-Dy1-O8	72.41(16)		
O5-Y-O7	71.62(18)	O14-Tb1-O1	76.91(15)	O3-Dy1-O8	78.78(16)		
O7-Y-O3	76.8(2)	O2-Tb1-O1	71.06(16)	O3-Dy1-O4	71.93(15)		
07-Y-011	142.56(18)	O2-Tb1-O6	139.47(14)	O3-Dy1-O15	113.40(15)		
O9-Y-O3	77.27(18)	O6-Tb1-O5	71.53(17)	O7-Dy1-O15	79.90(16)		
O9-Y-O11	71.29(16)	O10-Tb1-O5	73.25(16)	O16-Dy1-O4	116.50(14)		

 Table S2. The selected bond angels for MB-Ln complexes.

MB-Ho **MB-Er** MB-Tm O1-Ho1-O3 71.71(10) O6-Er1-O5 71.08(16) O6-Tm1-O5 72.4(2) O5-Ho1-O3 76.30(11) O1-Er1-O5 76.07(18) O1-Tm1-O5 77.0(2) O5-Ho1-O7 72.33(12) O2-Er1-O1 73.94(18) O2-Tm1-O1 72.6(2) O2-Tm1-O9 79.93(11) O2-Er1-O9 71.77(16) 75.13(19) O1-Ho1-O7 O9-Ho1-O11 71.57(11) O10-Er1-O9 73.21(17) O6-Tm1-O10 78.6(2) O13-Ho1-O11 76.79(11) O10-Er1-O14 139.86(15) O14-Tm1-O10 140.18(18) O13-Ho1-O15 72.03(11) O14-Er1-O13 71.28(18) O14-Tm1-O15 71.78(18) O1-Ho1-O15 141.87(10) O6-Er1-O13 72.13(17) O6-Tm1-O10 78.6(2)

MB-Yb		MB-Lu	
O1-Yb1-O3	72.26(11)	O2-Lu1-O1	72.91(9)
O7-Yb1-O3	77.23(13)	O5-Lu1-O4	72.55(9)
O5-Yb1-O7	73.08(12)	O1-Lu1-O10	112.78(10)
O5-Yb1-O15	74.15(11)	08–Lu1–O7	72.15(8)
O13-Yb1-O15	72.12(11)	01–Lu1–O11	72.21(10)

O9-Yb1-O13	140.77(11)	O10-Lu1-O7	77.58(9)
O9-Yb1-O11	72.21(11)	O8-Lu1-O4	75.86(8)
O1-Yb1-O11	72.08(11)	O5-Lu1-O10	141.70(9)

Table S3. The crystallographic data and refinement for the Gd(III) complex of marbofloxacin.

Compound	MB-Gd		
Empirical formula	$C_{68}H_{77}F_4GdN_{16}O_{34}$		
Formula weight	1895.71		
Temperature/K	119.9(6)		
Crystal system	triclinic		
Space group	<i>P</i> -1		
a/Å, b /Å, c /Å	15.0847(10), 17.9471(13), 21.4137(13)		
$lpha/^{\circ}, eta/^{\circ}, \gamma/^{\circ}$	95.903(5), 107.495(6), 113.144(7)		
Volume/Å ³	4920.1(6)		
Z	2		
$ ho calc / g/cm^3$	1.280		
μ/mm -1	0.762		
F(000)	1938.0		
Crystal size/mm ³	0.35 imes 0.25 imes 0.11		
Radiation	Mo K α (λ = 0.71073)		
2θ range for data collection/°	5.8 to 52.74		
Index ranges	$-18 \le h \le 18, -22 \le k \le 22, -26 \le l \le 26$		
Reflections collected	60772		
Independent reflections	20094 [$R_{int} = 0.1208, R_{sigma} = 0.1511$]		
Data/restraints/parameters	20094/0/1116		
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.636		
Final R indexes $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.1893, wR_2 = 0.4465$		
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.2703, wR_2 = 0.5230$		
Largest diff. peak/hole/e Å-3	5.60/-3.17		

Selected	bond (Å)	Selected angles (°)		
Gd-O3	2.341(11)	O3-Gd-O4	70.4(4)	
Gd-O4	2.430(10)	O8-Gd-O4	77.8(4)	
Gd–O7	2.344(12)	O7–Gd–O8	71.4 (4)	
Gd–O8	2.388(10)	O7-Gd-O12	76.4(4)	
Gd-011	2.375(13)	O11-Gd-O12	70.2(4)	
Gd-O12	2.383(11)	O15-Gd-O11	138.5(3)	
Gd-015	2.362(12)	O15-Gd-O16	71.3(3)	
Gd-016	2.400(9)	O3-Gd-O16	72.8(3)	

Table S4. The selected bond and angels for the Gd(III) complex of marbofloxacin.

The spectral characterizations for MB-Ln complexes:











Figure S3. The TGA spectrum of MB-Tb.





Figure S5. The TGA spectrum of MB-Ho.



Figure S6. The TGA spectrum of MB-Er.



Figure S9. The TGA spectrum of MB-Lu.



Figure S10. The IR spectrum of MB-Y.



Figure S11. The IR spectrum of MB-Gd.



Figure S12. The IR spectrum of MB-Tb.



Figure S13. The IR spectrum of MB-Dy.



Figure S14. The IR spectrum of MB-Ho.



Figure S15. The IR spectrum of MB-Er.



Figure S16. The IR spectrum of MB-Tm.



Figure S17. The IR spectrum of MB-Yb.



Figure S18. The IR spectrum of MB-Lu.











Figure S21. The HRMS of MB-Tb.



























Figure S28. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB. (¹H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 15.06 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 5.31 (s, 2H), 3.34 (s, 4H), 3.01 (s, 3H), 2.45 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 4H),

2.23 (s, 3H)).



Figure S29. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB-Y for comparison with MB. (¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 15.08 (s, 1H), 8.78 (s, 2H), 8.56 (s, 2H), 7.61 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 2H), 7.25 (s, 2H), 5.32 (s, 8H), 3.27 (s, 12H), 3.02 (s, 8H), 2.82 (s, 8H), 2.46 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 16H), 2.26 (s, 12H)).



Figure S30. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB-Gd for comparison with MB. (¹H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 14.99 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 7.60 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 2H), 3.24 (s, 4H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 4H), 2.23 (s, 3H)).



Figure S31. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB-Tb for comparison with MB. (¹H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 15.07 (s, 1H), 8.76 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (s, 2H), 3.31 (s, 4H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.44 (s, 4H), 2.23 (s, 3H)).



Figure S32. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB (1:1 proportion of deuterated DMSO- d_6 and D₂O). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz) δ 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.58 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 3.55 (s, 4H), 3.12 (s, 4H), 2.99 (s, 3H), 2.70 (s, 3H).



Figure S33. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of MB-Y for comparison with MB (1:1 proportion of deuterated DMSO- d_6 and D₂O). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz) δ 8.53 (s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 2H), 3.48 (s, 4H), 3.08 (s, 4H), 2.81 (s, 3H), 2.69 (s, 3H).



Figure S34. The antibacterial activity of the MB-Ln complexes presented by MIC values (µg/mL).



Figure S35. The antibacterial activity of the MB-Ln complexes presented by MBC values (µg/mL).