Supplementary materials

Effective Heterogeneous Fenton-Like Degradation of Antibiotics by Ferroferric Oxide Nanoparticle Coated Reduced Iron Powder with Accelerated Fe(II)/Fe(III) Redox Cycling

Jiahui Zhou^a, Xuesong Li^{a,*}, Qiuying Yi^a, Zhiwei Wang^{a,b,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resources Reuse, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, PR China

^b Shanghai Institute of Pollution Control and Ecological Security, Shanghai, 200092, PR China

*Corresponding authors. E-mail addresses: xuesong_li@tongji.edu.cn (X. Li); zwwang@tongji.edu.cn (Z. Wang)

> 4 Figures 7 Tables 8 Pages

Text S1

Preparation of FONP@RIP

Firstly, RIP with a diameter of approximately 100 μ m, which was utilized as the iron core for FONP@RIP, was vacuum-dried at 378 K for 24 h. Then, FeCl₃·6H₂O (3.9 g) and FeCl₂·4H₂O (1.4 g) were dissolved in a beaker with 450 mL ultrapure water at 70 °C, following with an addition of RIP (1.18 g) into the solution, then NaOH (50 mL) solution was injected (concentration: 5M; velocity: 10 mL/min) into the mixture. The suspension was kept mechanical stirring for 1 h and then maturing for 2 h. After separation, the collected particles were washed several times with deionized water until the pH of washing solution became neutral. At last, the particles were dried by freeze-drying.

Text S2

Analysis of TC and Intermediates

The residual concentration of TC was quantified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, 1200 Infinity, Agilent, USA) equipped with a Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column (4.6 mm \times 150 mm, 5 µm, Agilent, USA). The mixed solvent of sodium dihydrogen phosphate solution (0.01 mol/L) and acetonitrile (65:35, v/v) was used as the mobile phase. The column temperature was maintained at 25 °C and the flow rate of the mobile phase was kept at 1 mL/min. The injection volume of samples was 10 µL and the wavelength for detection was 360 nm.

The intermediates of TC were identified by UPLC-MS (1290 UPLC/Q-TOF 6550, Agilent, USA) by using a mixture of formic acid solution (0.1%, m/m) and acetonitrile (90:10, v/v) as the mobile phase. The column temperature was set at 40°C and the injection volume was 5 μ L. The flow rate of the mobile phase was kept at 0.3 mL/min. TC and intermediates were estimated using ESI in positive ion mode.



Fig. S1. SEM image of RIP.



Fig. S2. HRTEM image of FONP@RIP.

Table S1. Relative atomic percentages of Fe 2p on RIP, FONP, and FONP@RIP.

| Sample | Fe ⁰ | Fe (II) | Fe (III) | Fe (III) | Fe ⁰ | Fe (III) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | (Fe 2p _{3/2}) | (Fe 2p _{3/2}) | (Fe 2p _{3/2}) | (Fe 2p _{3/2} satellite) | (Fe 2p _{1/2}) | (Fe 2p _{1/2}) |
| RIP | 1.4% | 67.1% | n.d. | n.d. | 1.7% | 29.8% |
| FONP | n.d. | 15.9% | 51.6% | 4.0% | n.d. | 28.5% |
| FONP@RIP | n.d. | 16.3% | 49.5% | 6.7% | n.d. | 27.5% |

n.d.: not detected.



Fig. S3. The analysis of pseudo-first-order kinetics in the FONP@RIP/H₂O₂ system. ([TC]₀ = 150 ppm, FONP@RIP dosage = 0.5 g/L, [H₂O₂]₀ = 5 mM, and initial pH = 3).

| Cycle | $k_{\rm obs} ({\rm min}^{-1})$ | R^2 |
|-------|--------------------------------|--------|
| 1 | 0.0872 | 0.9329 |
| 2 | 0.0775 | 0.9863 |
| 3 | 0.0684 | 0.9966 |
| 4 | 0.0676 | 0.9953 |
| 5 | 0.0576 | 0.9941 |

Table S2. The kinetic parameters of TC degradation in the FONP@RIP/H2O2 system.

Table S3. Relative atomic percentages of Fe 2p on FONP@RIP after H₂O₂ activation.

| Detention time | Fe (II) | Fe (III) | Fe (III) | Fe (III) |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Retention time | (Fe 2p _{3/2}) | (Fe 2p _{3/2}) | (Fe 2p _{3/2} satellite) | (Fe 2p _{1/2}) |
| 10 min | 15.0% | 53.4% | 4.4% | 27.2% |
| 20 min | 21.1% | 48.2% | 3.2% | 27.5% |
| 30 min | 24.8% | 42.7% | 3.8% | 28.7% |

| Retention time | Lattice oxygen | Oxygen vacancies | C-0 |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| 10 min | 47.5% | 36.1% | 16.4% |
| 20 min | 32.6% | 55.6% | 11.8% |
| 30 min | 27.5% | 64.1% | 8.4% |

Table S4. Relative atomic percentages of O 1s on FONP@RIP after H₂O₂ activation.

Table S5. Relative atomic percentages of N 1s on FONP@RIP after TC adsorption (FONP@RIP-

TC) and after H_2O_2 activation (FONP@RIP/H₂O₂-TC).

| Sample | -NH ₂ | Graphitic N | Oxidized N |
|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|
| FONP@RIP-TC | 13.1% | 86.9% | n.d. |
| FONP@RIP/H2O2-TC | 71.7% | n.d. | 28.3% |

n.d.: not detected.



Fig. S4. Comparison of degradation efficiency of TC in FONP/H₂O₂, RIP/H₂O₂, and FONP@RIP/H₂O₂ system. ([TC]₀ = 150 ppm, FONP@RIP dosage = 0.5 g/L, [H₂O₂]₀ = 5 mM, and initial pH = 3).

| Table S6. Toxicity estimates of intermediates by the ECOSAR program. Red boxes, very toxic (|
|---|
| 1 mg/L); blue boxes, toxic (1-10 mg/L); orange boxes, harmful (10-100 mg/L); green boxes, not |

| | | Acut | Acute toxicity (mg/L) | | Chronic | toxicity (ChV | ', mg/L) | |
|----------|-----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Compound | m/z | Fish (LC ₅₀) | Daphnid (LC ₅₀) | Green Algae (EC ₅₀) | Fish | Daphnid | Green Algae | Hazard category |
| TC | 445 | 61.44 | 4.49 | 10.24 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 2.52 | Toxic |
| ATC | 427 | 20.92 | 2.14 | 2.26 | 0.27 | 0.45 | 0.79 | Toxic |
| 1 | 461 | 34.21 | 2.30 | 5.21 | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.85 | Toxic |
| 2 | 417 | 90.58 | 5.72 | 18.27 | 1.54 | 1.38 | 3.84 | Toxic |
| 3 | 410 | 16.14 | 2.21 | 2.53 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.86 | Toxic |
| 4 | 509 | 388.36 | 1293.00 | 19.01 | 97.44 | 24.15 | 5.00 | Harmful |
| 5 | 477 | 6.26 | 1.09 | 1.33 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.25 | Toxic |
| 6 | 444 | 59.42 | 3.84 | 13.38 | 0.68 | 0.87 | 1.96 | Toxic |
| 7 | 426 | 4.62 | 0.89 | 1.02 | 0.06 | 0.17 | 0.20 | Toxic |
| 8 | 480 | 181.56 | 535.53 | 10.09 | 23.60 | 8.01 | 2.88 | Harmful |
| 9 | 341 | 10.06 | 1.21 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.37 | Toxic |
| 10 | 339 | 9.71 | 1.18 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.35 | Toxic |
| 11 | 316 | 0.61 | 20.78 | 1.38 | 0.04 | 2.96 | 1.37 | Toxic |
| 12 | 314 | 3.17 | 2.55 | 0.18 | 0.42 | 0.26 | 1.14 | Toxic |
| 13 | 312 | 2.93 | 2.38 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.25 | 1.09 | Toxic |
| 14 | 276 | 7.91 | 22.23 | 0.68 | 3.73 | 1.54 | 4.89 | Toxic |
| 15 | 359 | 6.95 | 0.98 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.25 | Toxic |
| 16 | 525 | 98.57 | 117.25 | 7.09 | 18.69 | 9.65 | 32.04 | Toxic |
| 17 | 342 | 2.32 | 1.95 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.97 | Toxic |
| 18 | 298 | 2.26×10^{6} | 4.28×10 ⁵ | 4.68×10 ⁴ | 2.61×10 ⁴ | 2.11×10^{4} | 1.01×10^{4} | Not Harmful |
| 19 | 183 | 349.63 | 137.36 | 30.53 | 33.88 | 14.48 | 61.55 | Harmful |
| 20 | 426 | 9.28 | 1.83 | 1.63 | 0.16 | 0.38 | 0.62 | Toxic |
| 21 | 367 | 1.79×10 ⁵ | 4.56×10^{4} | 6196.09 | 1.20×10 ⁴ | 2810.79 | 2.18×10 ⁴ | Not Harmful |
| 22 | 496 | 1153.85 | 4657.02 | 239.55 | 2911.17 | 1351.56 | 2221.50 | Not Harmful |
| 23 | 333 | 145.58 | 3.71 | 15.79 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 2.21 | Toxic |
| 24 | 260 | 6.34 | 17.32 | 0.51 | 2.44 | 1.08 | 3.59 | Toxic |
| 25 | 175 | 3.39 | 2.56 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.89 | Toxic |
| 26 | 357 | 13.06 | 1.30 | 2.28 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.40 | Toxic |
| 27 | 253 | 2.32×10 ⁷ | 7.83×10^{6} | 6.82×10 ⁵ | 1.23×10^{6} | 1.80×10^{5} | 5.62×10 ⁴ | Not Harmful |
| 28 | 308 | 1.47 | 1.66 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.49 | Toxic |
| 29 | 351 | 2.11×10^{4} | 6702.04 | 1077.80 | 1625.22 | 490.10 | 4065.73 | Not Harmful |
| 30 | 256 | 11.96 | 36.59 | 1.26 | 10.42 | 3.54 | 9.65 | Toxic |
| 31 | 146 | 1.50×10^{4} | 6887.14 | 2102.55 | 1140.55 | 368.46 | 340.56 | Not Harmful |
| 32 | 116 | 5.33×10 ⁴ | 2.53×10 ⁴ | 8964.33 | 4216.20 | 1496.17 | 1573.47 | Not Harmful |
| 33 | 100 | 2.10 | 1.19×10^{4} | 3592.18 | 0.17 | 607.51 | 315.94 | Toxic |
| 34 | 85 | 0.19 | 10.18 | 1.84 | 0.02 | 1.72 | 0.59 | Toxic |
| 35 | 90 | 1.68×10 ⁵ | 6.75×10^{4} | 1.21×10^{4} | 1.09×10 ⁴ | 2519.06 | 1466.06 | Not Harmful |
| 36 | 61 | 556.80 | 49.33 | 73.20 | 80.07 | 3.04 | 19.62 | Harmful |
| 37 | 61 | 1.52×10 ⁵ | 6.02×10 ⁴ | 1.02×10^{4} | 9714.58 | 2167.92 | 1205.14 | Not Harmful |

harmful (> 100 mg/L).

| Catalyst | pН | TC initial | Conc. of catalyst | Removal of TC | Degradation rate ^a | Ref. |
|---|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|------------|
| | | conc. (ppm) | and H ₂ O ₂ | and HRT | (mmol.g ⁻¹ .min ⁻¹) | |
| Ca _{0.9} FeO _{3-δ} | 3 | 50 | 0.1 g/L, 20 mM | 88.3%, 150 min | 2.4×10 ⁻³ | 1 |
| Fe-MPC | 4.3 | 40 | 0.02 g/L, 1 mM | 83.0%, 10 min | 1.7×10 ⁻³ | 2 |
| Cu _{2.5} Ni _{0.5} Co-LDH/GO | 10.2 | 20 | 0.06 g/L, 10 mM | 96.5%, 40 min | 1.4×10 ⁻³ | 3 |
| CuFeO ₂ /BC | 6.27 | 20 | 0.6 g/L, 57.6 mM | 89.1%, 300 min | 1.8×10 ⁻³ | 4 |
| 14Fe ₃ O ₄ -Cs beads | 3 | 48 | 0.5 g/L, 10 mM | 96.2%, 120 min | 1.7×10 ⁻³ | 5 |
| FeNi ₃ @SiO ₂ | 7 | 20 | 0.1 g/L, 200 mM | 73.1%, 180 min | 1.8×10 ⁻³ | 6 |
| FONP@RIP | 4 | 150 | 0.5 g/L, 5 mM | 94.5%, 60 min | 1.1×10 ⁻² | This study |
| | M _{TC} | $-M_{TC_t}$ | | | | |

Table S7. Comparison of the TC degradation performance in the FONP@RIP/ H_2O_2 system with those of other reported heterogeneous catalysts.

^a TC degradation rate = C.t, where M_{TC_0} is the initial molar concentration (mM) of TC; M_{TC_t} is the molar concentration (mM) of TC at time t (min); C is the concentration of catalyst (g/L); t is the reaction time (min).

References

- 1 J. X. Li, W. C. Ma, D. Zhong, K. F. Li, J. Ma, S. B. Zhang and X. Du, Oxygen vacancy concentration modulation of perovskite-based heterogeneous catalysts for Fenton-like oxidation of tetracycline, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2022, **362**, 132469.
- 2 C. Q. Wang, R. R. Sun, R. Huang and H. Wang, Superior fenton-like degradation of tetracycline by iron loaded graphitic carbon derived from microplastics: Synthesis, catalytic performance, and mechanism, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2021, **270**, 118773.
- Z. Z. Wu, Y. Y. Gu, S. S. Xin, L. L. Lu, Z. W. Huang, M. Y. Li, Y. F. Cui, R. B. Fu and S. B.
 Wang, Cu_xNi_yCo-LDH nanosheets on graphene oxide: An efficient and stable Fenton-like catalyst for dual-mechanism degradation of tetracycline, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, 434, 134574.

- 4 S. Xin, G. Liu, X. Ma, J. Gong, B. Ma, Q. Yan, Q. Chen, D. Ma, G. Zhang, M. Gao and Y. Xin, High efficiency heterogeneous Fenton-like catalyst biochar modified CuFeO₂ for the degradation of tetracycline: Economical synthesis, catalytic performance and mechanism, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2021, **280**, 119386.
- 5 X. Y. Li, K. P. Cui, Z. Guo, T. T. Yang, Y. Cao, Y. P. Xiang, H. H. Chen and M. F. Xi, Heterogeneous Fenton-like degradation of tetracyclines using porous magnetic chitosan microspheres as an efficient catalyst compared with two preparation methods, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **379**, 122324.
- 6 M. Khodadadi, A. H. Panahi, T. J. Al-Musawi, M. H. Ehrampoush and A. H. Mahvi, The catalytic activity of FeNi₃@SiO₂ magnetic nanoparticles for the degradation of tetracycline in the heterogeneous Fenton-like treatment method, *J. Water Process Eng.*, 2019, **32**, 100943.