Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Nano. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supporting information

Insight into lanthanides REVO₄ (RE= Ce, Pr, Nd): a comparative study on RE–site variants in electrochemical detection of metronidazole in environmental samples

Aravind Radha,[¥] Sea-Fue Wang $^{\text{¥},*}$

[¥]Department of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering, National Taipei University of Technology, Taipei 106, Taiwan.

*Corresponding author Sea-Fue Wang: <u>sfwang@ntut.edu.tw</u>

Chemicals and reagents

Cerium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO₃)₃·6H₂O), praseodymium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Pr(NO₃)₃·6H₂O), neodymium(III) nitrate hexahydrate (Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O), sodium orthovanadate (Na₃VO₄), cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), ethylene glycol (CH₂OH)₂, metronidazole (C₆H₉N₃O₃), [Fe(CN₆)]^{3-/4-}, potassium chloride (KCl), sodium dihydrogen phosphate (NaH₂PO₄), sodium phosphate dibasic (Na₂HPO₄: \geq 99.0%) and ethanol were produced. Ultrapure fresh water is obtained from a millipore water purification system (Milli-Q, specific resistivity > 18 MΩcm, S.A.; Molsheim, France) and is used in all the experiments. Na₂HPO₄ and NaH₂PO₄ are utilized to prepare 0.1 M (pH 7) PB (phosphate buffer). All the electrochemical experiments are carried out using 0.1 M PB (pH 7) as the supporting electrolyte.

Instrumentation and methods

Phase configuration is identified using X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) (Bruker (XRD, 2D Phaser)) instrument and crystal structure by using VESTA software. FTIR spectrum of the as-prepared catalyst was documented by using 'Perkin-Elmer RX1 FTIR' spectrometer. The samples surface morphology and the elemental composition are studied utilizing high resolution (HR) transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEM–2100F (HR), JEOL LTD) and energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy by using JOEL Serive Advanced Technology. By utilizing these characterization methods, the physical properties of the as-prepared samples are investigated. The electrochemical properties are explored using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) through Autolab (PGSTAT101). CHI 1211c electrocatalytic workstation is functional to carry out the electrochemical measurements like cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) in a conventional three electrode cell. Here, the modified GCE (surface area = 0.072 cm^2), saturated Ag|AgCl and Pt wire are active as working, reference and counter electrodes, respectively.



Figure S1. EDX spectra with weight% bar diagram of (a, b) CeVO₄, (c, d) PrVO₄, and (e, f) NdVO₄.



Figure S2. (a and b) CV curves of $PrVO_4/GCE$ by increasing scan rate from 0.02 to 0.2 V s⁻¹ and linear plot of current vs square root of scan rate. (**c and d**) CV curves of NdVO₄/GCE by increasing scan rate from 0.02 to 0.2 V s⁻¹ and linear plot of current vs square root of scan rate.

DPV analysis: For comparison, **Fig. S3a-d** displays the corresponding DPV measurement responses for $PrVO_4$ and $NdVO_4$. The calculated LODs and sensitivity of $PrVO_4/GCE$ is 6.1 nM and 1.2 $\mu A \cdot \mu M^{-1} \cdot cm^2$, respectively. Similarly, the calculated LOD and sensitivity of $NdVO_4/GCE$ is 6.9 nM and 0.85 $\mu A \cdot \mu M^{-1} \cdot cm^2$, respectively. When compared to $CeVO_4/GCE$ exhibit high sensitivity and lower LOD than those other two modified electrodes. Due to the high electroactive surface area, and numerous active sites, which enhanced rapid electron transfer between electrode and electrolyte solution the $CeVO_4$ exhibits superior electrochemical activity when compared to other electrodes.



Figure S3. DPV analysis of MNZ at (a) NdVO₄ and (c) PrVO₄with a sequential concentration of (b) NdVO₄= $0.05-75 \mu$ M and (d) PrVO₄= $0.02-75 \mu$ M in 0.1 M PB and its calibration plot of reduction peak current versus concentration of MNZ.

Modified electrode	Detection	LOD	Linear range	Ref.
	technique (µM)	(μM)	(μM)	
PTH/GCE	DPV	0.96	35-500	50
Cu-poly (Cys)/GCE	LSV	0.37	0.5-400	51
Carbon fiber microdisk electrode	SWASV	0.5	1-22	52
Polydopamine/MWCNTs- COOH nanocomposites/GCE	DPV	0.25	5–5000	53
p-GR–Ag/GCE	LSV	0.028	0.05-10 10-4500	54
Co/GCE	LSV	0.2	0.4–100	55
DMIP/CPE	DPV	0.091	0.4–200	56
PCHAGCE	DPV	0.33	10-400	57
CeVO ₄ /GCE	DPV	0.0045	0.02-75	This work

Table S1. Comparison table of various analytical methods for MNZ determination



Figure S4. (a, b) Real-world samples DPV analysis of spiked and un-spiked MNZ at CeVO₄/GCE with a different concentration MNZ in 0.1 M PB (pH-7).

Samples	Added ^a	Detected ^b (µM)		Detection rate (%)	
	(µM)	DPV	HPLC	(mean \pm RSD) (n = 3) ^c	
Tap water	0	_	_	-	
	5	4.92	4.98	98.4 ± 0.24	
	10	9.86	9.91	98.6 ± 0.019	
	15	14.85	14.99	99.02 ± 0.021	
River water	0	_	_	_	
	5	4.82	4.93	96.58 ± 0.016	
	10	9.89	9.95	98.9 ± 0.022	
	15	14.72	14.87	98.13 ± 0.018	

Table S2. Determination of MNZ in different water samples using CeVO₄/GCE.

^aAmount of sample added. ^bAverage value of three measurements. ^cRelative standard deviation (RSD) of three individual measurements (n = 3).