Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supporting Information

Trihalomethane, haloacetic acid, haloacetonitrile behavior in electric storage water heaters

Duarte Batista, M. (University of Colorado Boulder); Summers, R.S. (University of Colorado

Boulder); Bartrand, T.A. (ESPRI); Yu, Y. (CU/BC); Tolofari, D. (Drexel University; Seidel, C.

(Corona Environmental Consulting); Masters, S.V. (University of Colorado Boulder)*

Tracer studies

A tracer solution consisting of a mix of building feed water, previously flushed for 15 minutes and at around 22 °C, and spiked sodium chloride was continuously pumped into the 50-gallon electric water heater for five theoretical tank residence times. The conductivity of the tracer solution was approximately 1.7 mS/cm. Three flow rates (1.5, 2.0 and 2.5 gpm) and two temperature settings (45 °C and 60 °C) were tested. Flow, temperature, and conductivity data were monitored every 2 seconds. Normalized operation time, or throughput, θ , is

$$\theta = t/\tau$$
 [S.1]

where t is time from the start of tracer injection in minutes,

Normalized conductivity during the fill portion of the experiment was calculated as:

$$C^{*} = \frac{C - C_{0}}{C_{S} - C_{0}}$$
[S.2]

where C is conductivity, C_0 is conductivity in the water heater at the initiation of the tracer study, C_S is the conductivity of the tracer solution in the water heater influent water and C is the conductivity in the water heater effluent with time.

At time zero of the tracer experiment, water temperature within the tank was about 44.5 °C and normalized conductivity was close to zero. As cold water with sodium chloride (initial temperature of 22 °C and conductivity of 1.7 mS/cm) was pumped into the tank, conductivity and temperature gradually changed, and after two theoretical residence times conductivity was equal to the influent value and temperature of the tank effluent dropped to about 37 °C. Temperatures within the tank were not measured. As cold water with tracer is introduced near the bottom of the

tank, it likely displaces hot tank water upwards and the tank behaves as a PFR. After 20 minutes of tank use, which corresponds to the simulated low use pattern in this study, approximately 60% of the tank volume had been displaced with fresh feed water, and the remaining 40% corresponds to hot tank water. As the tank behaved as a PFR at that point in time, it is expected that water quality in tank effluent samples would significantly differ from the feed water quality. After about one tank residence time, temperature difference between feed and tank water decreased, thus allowing mixing to occur, and possibly short-circuiting. At 40 minutes of tank use, which is the duration chosen for the simulated high use pattern in this study, the tank volume had been completely displaced, the temperature difference between influent water and water remaining in the tank decreased and the tank hydraulics approached a mixed reactor, thus causing tank water quality to be similar to the feed water quality (Figure 1). That is, after long periods of use, water in the tank effluent was a mixture of feed water and tank water. For the tanks under high use, the difference in water quality between an early use in the morning and a later use in the afternoon was also assessed. At the beginning of the first use, the hot water within the tank was partially displaced, whereas at the end of the third use, the tank had already been displaced three times throughout the day. Tank hydraulics are likely complex and require additional experimentation or computational fluid dynamic model to understand.

Samples were collected at three locations along the hot water system (Figure 1). The influent sample, or the cold-water supply of the tank, was taken from the feed sample port after the influent line had been flushed for 30 minutes. Flushing the feed line prior to use was intended to reduce the impact of water quality changes between the building entry point and the water heater supply installation on water heater operation and processes. Tank effluent samples were taken towards the end of the daily tank flushing cycle to evaluate initial water quality that remained stagnant in the tank before the actual use. Finally, POU samples were taken directly from the sample port before the showerhead. Samples were collected shortly after the beginning of a use period after water temperature had increased in the pipe connected to the POU sample port. POU samples helped to evaluate water quality consumers would likely be exposed to when using hot water for multiple uses in a house such as taking a shower, handwashing, etc.



Figure S1. Schematic of the tracer study experimental setup.



Figure S2. Normalized conductivity and temperature as a function of throughput in bed volumes.



Figure S3. HAA9 kinetic behavior normalized to the initial HAA9 concentration (n = 36) as a function of bench hold time at free chlorine study site. Estimated travel time within the water distribution system is 24 hours to the lab feed. Average initial DBP concentration was: $HAA9 = 28.8 \pm 5.1 \mu g/L$.



Figure S4. Average normalized HAA9 for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 1 (48 °C) in free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 83). Average feed concentration was $25.3 \pm 9.8 \mu g/L$.



Figure S5. Average normalized HAA9 concentrations for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 2 in free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 55). Average feed concentration was 23.1 \pm 2.9 µg/L. HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 45 °C



Figure S6. Average normalized DCAA concentrations for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 2 in free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 55). Average feed concentration was 10.5 \pm 1.1 µg/L. HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 45 °C



Figure S7. Average normalized TCAA concentrations for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 2 in Boulder, CO (n = 55). Average feed concentration was $10.7 \pm 1.1 \mu g/L$. HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 45 °C



Figure S8. Average normalized BDCAA concentrations for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 2 in free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 55). Average feed concentration was $0.6 \pm 0.2 \mu g/L$. HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 45 °C.



Figure S9. Average normalized TTHM and HAA5 for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 1 (45°C) - free chlorine system (n = 83). Average feed TTHM concentration was $26.1 \pm 7.4 \mu g/L$. Average HAA5 feed concentration was $22.9 \pm 9.0 \mu g/L$.



Figure S10. Average normalized HAN4 concentrations for all sample locations under the different use patterns in Phase 1 (45°C) in the (a) chlorine system (n = 83) and (b) chloramine system (n=82). Average HAN4 feed concentration in the chlorine system was $1.49 \pm 0.24 \mu g/L$. Average HAN4 feed concentration in the chloramine system was $2.38 \pm 0.63 \mu g/L$.

TABLES

		Sample collection					
Time	Activity	Day prior to use	Day of use				
7:00 AM - 7:30 AM	Feed flush tank = 30 min	Feed sample taken during last 5 min of flush	Feed sample taken during last 5 min of flush				
7:30 AM - 8:10 AM	Tank #3 (1 st use) = 40 min		POU high early sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				
8:10 AM - 8:15 AM	Feed flush = $5 \min$						
8:15 AM - 8:55 AM	Tank #4 (1 st use) = 40 min		POU high early sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				
8:55 AM - 9:00 AM	Feed flush = $5 \min$						
9:00 AM - 9:20 AM	Tank #1 = 20 min	Tank low use sample taken during last 5 min of use	POU low use sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				
9:20 AM - 9:25 AM	Feed flush = $5 \min$						
9:25 AM - 9:45 AM	Tank #2 = 20 min	Tank low use sample taken during last 5 min of use	POU low use sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				
11:10 AM - 11:50 AM	Tank #3 (2^{nd} use) = 40 min		Tank high late sample taken at 5 min before end of use				
11:55 AM - 12:35 PM	Tank #4 (2^{nd} use) = 40 min		Tank high late sample taken at 5 min before end of use				
2:50 PM - 3:30 PM	Tank #3 (3^{rd} use) = 40 min	Tank high early sample taken during last 5 min before end of use	POU high late sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				
3:35 PM - 4:15 PM	Tank #4 (3^{rd} use) = 40 min	Tank high early sample taken during last 5 min before end of use	POU high late sample taken at beginning of use (when stable temperature)				

Table S1. Sampling schedule and collection. Note: Tanks 3 and 4 = high use; Tanks 1 and 2 = low use.

Table S2. ANOVA for the free chlorine control study DBP formation between the experimental temperatures 48 °C and 60 °C for the reaction times of 3, 16, and 24 hours (n = 93).

	Temp (°C)	Time 1 (hr)	Time 2 (hr)	p < 0.005
TTHM				
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	48 - 60	3	3	no

		lime I (hr)	Time 2 (hr)	p < 0.005
	48 - 60	16	16	no
	48 - 60	24	24	no
HAA5				
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	48 - 60	3	3	no
	48 - 60	16	16	yes
	48 - 60	24	24	yes
HAA9				
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	48 - 60	3	3	no
	48 - 60	16	16	yes
	48 - 60	24	24	yes
DCAA				
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	48 - 60	3	3	no
	48 - 60	16	16	no
	48 - 60	24	24	no
TCAA				
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	yes
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	48 - 60	3	3	no
	48 - 60	16	16	yes
	48 - 60	24	24	yes

	Temp (°C)	Time 1 (hr)	Time 2 (hr)	p < 0.005
	48	3	16	no
		16	24	no
		3	24	no
	60	3	16	yes
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	48 - 60	3	3	no
	48 - 60	16	16	yes
	48 - 60	24	24	yes
HAN4				
	48	3	16	yes
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	60	3	16	yes
		16	24	no
		3	24	yes
	48 - 60	3	3	yes
	48 - 60	16	16	no
	48 - 60	24	24	no

Table S3. DBP yields – DBP/TOC (μg DBP/mg TOC) in free chlorine system

	Sample	Avg TTHM/ TOC	Std dev	Avg HAA5/ TOC	Std dev	Avg HAA9/ TOC	Std dev
UFC (Summers et al. 1996)	10 treated surface waters 24 hr, 20 °C	29.4	7.2	18.9*	2.8	-	-
Control Lab temp study - 22 °C (n = 18)	Feed	15.8	-	13.2	-	14.0	-
	3-day and 4-day hold at 22 °C	36.3	3.5	22.7	0.1	23.9	0.1
Control High temperature study - 48 °C and 60 °C (n=93)	Feed	14.7	1.4	12.1	0.8	13.6	1.0
	High temperature samples (3 hr-, 16 hr- and 24 hr-hold at 48 °C and 60 °C)	34.6	6.2	18.5	3.3	20.1	3.4
Combined BLOQ control samples (n = 84)	High temp samples (3 hr-, 16 hr- and 24 hr- hold at 48 °C and 60 °C) and 3-day and 4- day hold at 22 °C	34.8	6.1	18.8	3.4	20.4	3.4
Tank study -	Feed	17.8	5.3	15.7	5.9	17.1	6.0
Boulder Phase 1 – 45 °C (n=81)	All POU samples and tank low use samples	36.7	10.1	23.8	8.0	25.7	8.2
Tank study -	Feed	20.9	6.6	15.1	4.3	16.2	4.6

Boulder Phase 2 -	All POU samples and						
45 °C and 60 °C	tank low use samples	42.7	14.0	22.7	6.2	23.8	6.5
(n=55-70)	at 45 °C and 60 °C						

Note:

Uniform formation conditions (UFC) tests: (hold time 24 ± 1 h, pH 8.0 ± 0.2 , 24 hour free chlorine residual 1.0 ± 0.4 mg/L and temperature 20 ± 1 °C ⁴¹) *Data was based on HAA6/TOC.

Table S4. Average water quality parameters in Phase 1 (45 °C) – free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 290).

		Free chlor	ine (mg/L)	p	Н	TOC (mg/L)		
Use pattern	Sample	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation	
High	Feed	0.8	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.7	0.6	
High early	Tank	0.6	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.7	0.5	
	POU	BLOQ	-	8.0	0.1	1.7	0.6	
High late	Tank	0.7	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.6	0.5	
High late	POU	BLOQ	-	7.9	0.1	1.6	0.5	
Low	Feed	0.8	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.7	0.6	
	Tank	BLOQ	-	8.4	0.3	1.7	0.5	
	POU	BLOQ	-	8.4	0.2	1.4	0.7	

Table S5. Average water parameters in Phase 2 - free chlorine system Boulder, CO (n = 200).

			Free chlor	ine (mg/L)	p	Н	TOC (mg/L)		
Use pattern	Temp (°C)	Sample	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation	
High		Feed	0.7	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.5	0.3	
	60	Tank	0.5	0.04	7.9	0.1	1.5	0.3	
High	45	Tank	0.6	0.1	7.9	0.1	1.5	0.3	
early	60	POU	BLOQ	-	8.1	0.2	1.4	0.3	
	45	POU	BLOQ	-	8.0	0.2	1.4	0.3	
	60	Tank	0.5	0.04	8.0	0.3	1.5	0.4	
II:-1 1-4-	45	Tank	0.6	0.04	8.0	0.1	1.5	0.4	
High late	60	POU	BLOQ	-	8.0	0.2	1.5	0.4	
	45	POU	BLOQ	-	7.9	0.1	1.5	0.4	
		Feed	0.7	0.03	7.9	0.2	1.5	0.3	
	60	Tank	BLOQ	-	8.3	0.3	1.4	0.3	
Low	45	Tank	BLOQ	-	8.2	0.1	1.5	0.3	
_	60	POU	BLOQ	-	8.3	0.2	1.4	0.3	
	45	POU	BLOQ	-	8.1	0.2	1.4	0.3	

		Total chlor	rine (mg/L)]	pH	ТОС	(mg/L)
Use pattern	Sample	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation	Average	Standard deviation
High	Feed	1.6	0.5	7.5	0.5	1.3	0.3
High	Tank	1.3	0.5	7.4	0.5	1.3	0.2
early	POU	0.8	0.3	7.6	0.4	1.4	0.2
III ah lata	Tank	1.5	0.4	7.5	0.4	1.3	0.2
nigh late	POU	1.1	0.3	7.4	0.3	1.3	0.1
	Feed	1.7	0.2	7.6	0.6	1.3	0.3
Low	Tank	1.4	0.4	7.5	0.4	1.2	0.4
	POU	0.9	0.5	7.6	0.4	1.3	0.2

Table S6. Average water quality parameters in Phase 1 (48 °C) - chloramination system Philadelphia, PA (n = 273).

Table S7. Average water quality parameters in Phase 2 - chloramination system Philadelphia, PA (n = 194).

			Total chlorine (mg/L)		1	рН	TOC (mg/L)		
Use pattern	Temp	Sample	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	
High		Feed	0.3	0.3	6.7	0.4	1.4	0.2	
	60 °C	Tank	0.4	0.4	6.8	0.3	1.6	0.2	
High early	45 °C	Tank	0.4	0.4	7.0	0.2	1.5	0.2	
	60 °C	POU	BLOQ	0.2	7.0	0.2	1.5	0.2	
	45 °C	POU	BLOQ	0.2	6.9	0.3	1.4	0.2	
	60 °C	Tank	0.7	0.6	7.0	0.4	1.4	0.2	
Uigh lata	45 °C	Tank	0.7	0.7	7.0	0.3	1.5	0.2	
nigii iate	60 °C	POU	BLOQ	0.2	7.1	0.3	1.4	0.1	
	45 °C	POU	0.3	0.3	6.9	0.3	1.5	0.2	
		Feed	0.3	0.4	6.7	0.3	1.4	0.2	
	60 °C	Tank	BLOQ	0.1	6.8	0.2	1.4	0.1	
Low	45 °C	Tank	0.2	0.3	7.1	0.3	1.5	0.1	
	60 °C	POU	BLOQ	0.0	7.1	0.3	1.4	0.1	
-	45 °C	POU	BLOQ	0.1	7.2	0.4	1.4	0.2	

Note: Avg – average; Std dev – standard deviation

	Bould	er - Phase 1 p	-values					
Samples		Chlorine Residual	pН	тос	Norm THM	Norm HAA5	Norm HAA9	Norm HAN4
Tank high early – replicate 1	Tank high early – replicate 2	1.80×10^{-5}	0.584	0.164	0.763	0.185	0.267	0.585
Tank low – replicate 1	Tank low – replicate 2	0.066	0.600	0.797	0.226	0.046	0.057	0.152
POU high early – replicate 1	POU high early – replicate 2	BLOQ	0.054	0.959	0.223	0.495	0.508	0.209
POU low – replicate 1	POU low – replicate 2	BLOQ	0.962	0.880	0.399	0.114	0.213	0.178
Tank high late – replicate 1	Tank high late – replicate 2	0.007	0.338	0.689	0.939	0.571	0.603	0.676
POU high late – replicate 1	POU high late – replicate 2	BLOQ	0.419	0.651	0.272	0.934	0.781	0.484
Samples		Chlorine Residual	рН	тос	THM	HAA5	HAA9	HAN4
Day 0 feed	Day 1 feed	0.830	0.903	0.833	0.409	0.431	0.493	0.959

Table S8. p-values obtained from paired t-tests to evaluate the impact of tank replication on water quality in the free chlorine system (Boulder, CO) and in the chloramination system (Philadelphia, PA) during experimental phase 1 (48 °C)

Philadelphia - Phase 1 p-values											
Samples		Total Chlorine Residual	рН	тос	Norm THM	Norm HAA5	Norm HAA9	Norm HAN4			
Tank high early – replicate 1	Tank high early – replicate 2	0.116	0.353	0.337	0.085	0.160	0.141	0.056			
Tank low – replicate 1	Tank low – replicate 2	0.804	0.809	0.223	0.899	0.175	0.095	0.791			
POU high early – replicate 1	POU high early – replicate 2	0.917	0.315	0.475	0.385	0.364	0.064	0.309			
POU low – replicate 1	POU low – replicate 2	0.247	0.514	0.374	0.067	0.547	0.762	0.377			
Tank high late – replicate 1	Tank high late – replicate 2	0.172	0.603	0.491	0.841	0.882	0.323	0.867			
POU high late – replicate 1	POU high late – replicate 2	0.502	0.618	0.761	0.815	0.110	0.217	0.638			
Samples		Total Chlorine Residual	рН	тос	THM	HAA5	НАА9	HAN4			
Day 0 feed	Day 1 feed	0.367	0.618	0.467	0.409	0.415	0.350	0.335			
Note: Nor	m mammalized										

Note: Norm - normalized

Sample		TTHM		НА	HAA5		HAA9		HAN4	
point	Time or									
temperature	location	mean	stdev	mean	stdev	mean	stdev	mean	stdev	
control										
22 °C *	72 hr	2.16	-	1.36	-	1.25	-	1.03		
48 °C	3 hr	2.22	0.16	1.48	0.04	1.45	0.04	1.28	0.12	
60 °C	3 hr	2.26	0.31	1.43	0.04	1.40	0.06	0.31	0.06	
P1 - 45 °C										
High Early	POU	2.16	0.23	1.62	0.15	1.59	0.17	0.18	0.07	
High Late	POU	1.69	0.38	1.40	0.25	1.40	0.31	1.19	0.22	
Low	POU	2.30	0.16	1.61	0.11	1.58	0.13	0.02	0.04	
P2 - 45 °C										
High Early	POU	1.87	0.26	1.53	0.11	1.48	0.11	0.18	0.10	
High Late	POU	1.84	0.09	1.47	0.09	1.44	0.09	1.31	0.12	
Low	POU	1.98	0.29	1.48	0.10	1.45	0.08	0.06	0.04	
P2 - 60 °C										
High Early	POU	1.75	0.30	1.31	0.10	1.27	0.09	0.01	0.01	
High Late	POU	1.83	0.09	1.50	0.05	1.46	0.05	0.48	0.14	
Low	POU	1.86	0.38	1.19	0.10	1.15	0.08	0.01	0.01	

Table S9. Normalized DBP concentrations, DBP concentration/ DBP concentration of feed (or initial for control), at the POU sample locations and at the point that the chlorine was below the DL in the control studies

*n=1

Table S10. p-values obtained from **paired t-tests** to evaluate the impact of water use pattern on DBP concentrations during experimental Phase 1 (45 °C)- free chlorine system Boulder, CO.

Boulder - Phase 1 p-values										
Samp	oles	THM	HAA5	HAA9	HAN4					
Tank high early	Tank low	1.11×10^{-9}	1.31×10^{-7}	8.51×10^{-7}	5.15×10^{-12}					
POU high early	POU low	0.038	0.806	0.714	6.04×10^{-5}					
Tank high early	Tank high late	0.256	0.323	0.404	0.163					
POU high early	POU high late	0.006	0.026	0.086	5.85×10^{-9}					

	6									
Boulder - Phase 2 p-values										
Sam	ples	THM	HAA5	HAA9	HAN4					
Tank high early HT	Tank low HT	0.006	0.245	0.324	5.10×10^{-5}					
Tank high early LT	Tank low LT	0.003	0.004	0.004	2.09×10^{-4}					
POU high early HT	POU low HT	0.089	0.084	0.106	0.374					
POU high early LT	POU low LT	0.016	0.219	0.412	0.016					
Tank high early HT	Tank high late HT	0.212	0.325	0.235	0.455					
Tank high early LT	Tank high late LT	0.465	0.214	0.261	0.531					
POU high early HT	POU high late HT	0.605	0.016	0.022	0.002					
POU high early LT	POU high late LT	0.846	0.078	0.041	1.34×10^{-4}					

Table S11. p-values obtained from paired t-tests to evaluate the impact of water use pattern on water quality during Phase 2 - free chlorine system Boulder, CO.

Note: HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 45 °C

Table S12. p-values obtained from **paired t-tests** to evaluate the impact of **temperature** on water quality during experimental Phase 2 in free chlorine system Boulder, CO.

Boulder - Phase 2 p-values											
ples	THM	HAA5	HAA9	HAN4							
Tank high early LT	0.088	0.035	0.040	0.003							
Tank low LT	0.055	0.013	0.014	0.018							
POU high early LT	0.044	0.012	0.015	0.022							
POU low LT	0.061	3.21×10^{-4}	0.001	0.057							
Tank high late LT	0.164	0.797	0.924	0.203							
POU high late LT	0.909	0.257	0.333	4.62×10^{-5}							
	Boulder - Ph ples Tank high early LT Tank low LT POU high early LT POU low LT Tank high late LT POU high late LT	Boulder - Phase 2 p-vaplesTHMTank high early LT0.088Tank low LT0.055POU high early LT0.044POU low LT0.061Tank high late LT0.164POU high late LT0.909	Boulder - Phase 2 p-values ples THM HAA5 Tank high early LT 0.088 0.035 Tank low LT 0.055 0.013 POU high early LT 0.044 0.012 POU low LT 0.061 3.21 × 10 ⁻⁴ Tank high late LT 0.164 0.797 POU high late LT 0.909 0.257	Boulder - Phase 2 p-valuesplesTHMHAA5HAA9Tank high early LT0.0880.0350.040Tank low LT0.0550.0130.014POU high early LT0.0440.0120.015POU low LT0.061 3.21×10^{-4} 0.001Tank high late LT0.1640.7970.924POU high late LT0.9090.2570.333							

Note: HT = high temperature of 60 °C; LT = low temperature of 48 °C

Table S13. Average normalized DBP values (DBP samples/DBP feed) in Phase 1- Philadelphia, PA chloramination system (n = 328). Average feed concentration was TTHM = $22.9 \pm 4.6 \mu g/L$, HAA5 = $21.8 \pm 6.7 \mu g/L$ and HAA9 = $28.8 \pm 6.7 \mu g/L$. HAN4 = $2.4 \pm 0.6 \mu g/L$.

			Normalized		Normalized		nalized	Normalized	
Use	Sample	Avg	Std dev	Avg	AAS Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev
pattern	Ĩ	8		8		8		8	
	Feed	1.00	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
High	Tank	0.96	0.07	1.00	0.04	0.99	0.03	0.93	0.08
early	POU	1.14	0.10	1.12	0.07	1.07	0.07	0.40	0.08
High	Tank	0.99	0.06	1.02	0.02	1.01	0.03	0.96	0.10
late	POU	1.12	0.11	1.07	0.03	1.05	0.04	0.90	0.13
Low	Tank	1.05	0.09	1.06	0.09	1.04	0.08	0.65	0.26
	POU	1.12	0.11	1.12	0.07	1.07	0.07	0.33	0.27

quality in I										
Philadelphia - Phase 1 p-values										
Sam	THM	HAA5	HAA9	HAN4						
Tank high early	Tank low	0.010	0.096	0.138	1.11×10^{-3}					
POU high early	POU low	0.947	0.563	0.556	0.616					
Tank high early	Tank high late	0.180	0.157	0.127	0.495					
POU high early	POU high late	0.697	0.040	0.524	1.48×10^{-6}					

 Table S14. p-values obtained from paired t-tests to evaluate the impact of water use pattern on water

 quality in Philadelphia, PA - chloramination system during Phase 1 (48 °C).

Table S15. Average normalized TTHM, HAA5, HAA9 and HAN4 for all sample locations under the different use patterns during Phase 2 - chloramination system Philadelphia, PA. Average feed concentration were TTHM = 46.7 ± 10.4 µg/L, HAA5 = 20.6 ± 6.6 µg/L, HAA9 = 27.6 ± 8.5 µg/L, and HAN4 = 3.3 ± 0.8 µg/L.

Use	Use	Sample	TTHM (µg/L)			HAA5 (μg/L)				HAN4 (µg/L)				
pattern	time	point	Low 45	temp °C	High temp 60°C		Low temp 45°C		High temp 60°C		Low temp 45°C		High temp 60°C	
			Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev	Avg	Std dev
		Feed	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-
High	early	Tank	0.95	0.13	0.96	0.14	1.11	0.21	1.15	0.11	1.15	0.30	1.17	0.31
		POU	1.06	0.14	1.15	0.09	1.22	0.09	1.17	0.16	0.64	0.31	0.31	0.20
	late	Tank	0.95	0.09	0.92	0.18	1.10	0.11	1.01	0.05	0.85	0.24	0.84	0.29
		POU	1.02	0.06	1.02	0.17	1.07	0.08	1.02	0.08	0.80	0.12	0.33	0.13
Low		Tank	1.01	0.05	1.00	0.10	0.89	0.14	0.99	0.12	0.46	0.32	0.34	0.09
		POU	1.13	0.09	1.13	0.11	1.06	0.02	1.03	0.09	0.22	0.16	0.08	0.07

Use	Use	Sample	HAA9 (µg/L)						
pattern	time	point	Low 1	emp High C 60		temp High ten °C 60°C		temp °C	
			Avg	Std dov	Avg	Std			
		Feed	1	<u>uev</u> -	1	<u>uev</u> -			
High	early	Tank	1.06	0.16	1.10	0.03			
		POU	1.13	0.02	1.03	0.08			
	late	Tank	1.08	0.15	0.99	0.08			
		POU	1.04	0.08	0.95	0.06			
Low		Tank	0.84	0.12	0.92	0.07			
		POU	0.97	0.03	1.01	0.24			