Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Food & Function. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	[intervention]	[comparison]	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
TT												
15	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	strong association dose response gradient	395	390	-	SMD 0.78 lower (1.15 lower to 0.42 lower)	$\bigoplus_{\text{High}}\bigoplus$	CRITICAL
M F-G score	es											
8	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious ^b	dose response gradient	241	241	-	SMD 0.63 lower (0.98 lower to 0.28 lower)	$\bigoplus_{\text{High}}\bigoplus$	CRITICAL
FPG												
38	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	strong association dose response gradient	1000	992	-	SMD 0.31 lower (0.39 lower to 0.22 lower)	$\bigoplus_{\text{High}}\bigoplus$	CRITICAL
HOME-IR												
29	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^c	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	788	780	-	MD 0.68 lower (0.87 lower to 0.5 lower)	$\bigoplus_{\mathrm{High}} \bigoplus$	CRITICAL
TC												
33	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	914	903	-	SMD 0.38 lower (0.56 lower to 0.2 lower)	⊕⊕⊕ _{Ніgh}	CRITICAL
TAC										•		
17	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	477	474	-	SMD 0.59 higher (0.31 higher to 0.87 higher)	ФФФ Ніgh	CRITICAL
MDA												
18	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^d	not serious	not serious	dose response gradient	505	502	-	SMD 0.28 lower (0.69 lower to 0.14 higher)	⊕⊕⊕ _{Ніgh}	CRITICAL
Weight												
13	randomised trials	not serious	seriousa ^e	not serious	serious ^b	dose response gradient	344	343	-	MD 0.73 lower (1.35 lower to 0.11 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ _{Moderate}	CRITICAL

CI: confidence interval; MD: mean difference; SMD: standardised mean difference

Explanations

- a. It is possible that there is heterogeneity in androgens themselves
- b. Too few included studies
- c. There is certain heterogeneity among different detection methods
 d. High heterogeneity due to variability in antioxidants and PCOS patients
 e. Patient weight inconsistencies lead to high heterogeneity

Table S1.GRADE assessment to evaluate the evidence