

**Supplemental Table 13. Postprandial Changes in Cholesterol at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	241 (10.4)	0.12 (4.38)	3.50 (4.78)	6.37 (6.30)	0.302 <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	207 (4.91)	-7.22 (6.02)	<b>-9.67 (3.95)</b> <sup>†</sup>	<b>-5.44 (4.50)</b> <sup>‡</sup>	0.102 <sup>a</sup>
RFA (n=12)	213 (7.73)	0.08 (2.04)	2.25 (2.32)	2.92 (3.05)	0.300 <sup>b</sup>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	236 (8.80)	-2.50 (1.63)	3.50 (2.82)	5.50 (3.52)	<b>0.094</b> <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	200 (8.02)	<b>-6.56 (2.43)</b> <sup>†</sup>	<b>-6.56(3.69)</b> <sup>†</sup>	<b>-3.22(1.84)</b> <sup>†</sup>	0.109 <sup>a</sup>
RFA (n=9)	212 (8.37)	5.00 (1.81)	8.33 (3.12)	8.56 (3.58)	<b>0.030</b> <sup>b</sup>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup> linear trend. No differences were observed

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>†</sup>  $P < 0.05$ , <sup>‡</sup>  $P < 0.1$ , versus White and Red Apple treatments. Significant results in **bold**.

**Supplemental Table 14. Postprandial Changes in LDL-cholesterol at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	160 (6.80)	-0.50 (3.22)	-0.37 (3.77)	3.00 (4.67)	0.308 <sup>a</sup>
AI (n=9)	129 (5.15)	-4.00 (5.13)	<b>-7.11 (3.59)</b> <sup>‡</sup>	<b>-4.89 (3.56)</b> <sup>¥</sup>	0.133 <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=12)	142 (6.28)	2.83 (3.42)	-2.58 (1.77)	-2.50 (2.14)	0.177 <sup>b</sup>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	151 (6.42)	<b>-6.62 (1.68)</b> <sup>*¶</sup>	-5.62 (2.67)	-2.62 (3.93)	<b>0.003</b> <sup>a</sup>
AI (n=9)	124 (6.20)	-4.67 (2.46)	-6.33 (2.55)	-4.33(1.58)	<b>0.018</b> <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=9)	139 (5.90)	0.67 (1.67)	0.22 (3.37)	0.78 (3.62)	0.880 <sup>b</sup>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold**.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup>linear trend \* P=0.033 versus its baseline.

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>‡</sup>P<0.1, versus White and Red Apple treatments; <sup>¥</sup>P=0.050 versus White Apple treatment; <sup>¶</sup>P<0.05 versus Red Apple treatment.

**Supplemental Table 15. Postprandial Changes in HDL-cholesterol at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	55.7 (3.69)	-0.50 (1.03)	0.00 (0.87)	-1.00 (1.39)	0.549 <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	57.7 (5.23)	-2.67 (0.96)	-1.89 (1.24)	-0.89 (1.12)	<b>0.013<sup>a</sup></b>
RFA (n=12)	50.1 (2.87)	-3.50 (3.79)	-0.33 (0.57)	0.17 (0.89)	0.325 <sup>b</sup>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	60.1 (4.11)	-0.25 (0.86)	-0.37 (0.88)	-0.50 (0.87)	0.539 <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	58.7 (4.84)	<b>-2.33 (0.74)<sup>*‡</sup></b>	-1.44 (0.96)	-0.56(0.96)	<b>0.008<sup>b</sup></b>
RFA (n=9)	48.1 (3.31)	1.33 (0.47)	0.78 (0.72)	0.56 (0.99)	0.111 <sup>a</sup>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold**.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup>linear trend \* P<0.1 versus its baseline.

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>‡</sup>P<0.1 versus White Apple treatment; <sup>¶</sup>P<0.05 versus Red Apple treatment.

**Supplemental Table 16. Postprandial Changes in VLDL-cholesterol at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	26.1 (4.92)	1.12 (1.50)	3.87 (1.47)	4.37 (2.54)	<b>0.087<sup>b</sup></b>
AI (n=9)	20.4 (2.40)	-0.56 (1.34)	<b>-0.67 (1.70)<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.33 (1.35)	0.469 <sup>a</sup>
RFA (n=12)	21.7 (2.70)	0.75 (0.73)	<b>5.16 (0.86)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>5.25 (1.76)<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>0.003<sup>b</sup></b>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	25.1 (6.58)	<b>4.37 (0.98)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>9.50 (2.49)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>8.62 (1.96)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>0.004<sup>b</sup></b>
AI (n=9)	17.1 (2.01)	<b>0.44 (1.00)<sup>¥≠</sup></b>	<b>1.22 (0.94)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>1.67 (0.73)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>0.051<sup>b</sup></b>
RFA (n=9)	24.3 (4.00)	<b>3.00 (0.97)<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>7.33 (1.34)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>7.22 (1.84)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>0.003<sup>b</sup></b>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold**.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup> linear trend \* P<0.05, <sup>†</sup>P<0.1 versus its baseline.

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>‡</sup>P<0.05 versus White and Red Apple treatments; <sup>¥</sup>P<0.01 versus White Apple treatment; <sup>¶</sup>P<0.05, <sup>≠</sup>P<0.1 versus Red Apple treatment.

**Supplemental Table 17. Postprandial Changes in Total Cholesterol/ HDL ratio at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	4.48 (0.40)	0.04 (0.06)	0.07 (0.07)	0.19 (0.16)	0.273 <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	3.88 (0.43)	0.07 (0.16)	<b>-0.09 (0.05)</b> ‡	-0.05 (0.04)	0.223 <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=12)	4.43 (0.33)	2.71 (2.71)	0.06 (0.03)	0.54 (0.10)	0.337 <sup>a</sup>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	4.11 (0.42)	-0.02 (0.04)	0.10 (0.08)	0.13 (0.07)	<b>0.069</b> <sup>b</sup>
AI (n=9)	3.60 (0.32)	0.04 (0.06)	0.01 (0.06)	0.04 (0.08)	0.745 <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=9)	4.57 (0.40)	-0.04 (0.05)	0.08 (0.05)	7.22 (1.84)	<b>0.078</b> <sup>b</sup>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold** and borderline ones in *italic bold*.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup> linear trend. No differences were observed

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. ‡ P<0.05 versus White and Red Apple treatments

**Supplemental Table 18. Postprandial Changes in LDL Cholesterol/ HDL ratio at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	2.96 (0.25)	0.02 (0.04)	-0.003 (0.05)	0.11 (0.11)	0.236 <sup>a</sup>
AI (n=9)	2.46 (0.33)	0.07 (0.14)	-0.08 (0.05)	<b><i>-0.06 (0.04)</i></b> <sup>‡</sup>	<b><i>0.075</i></b> <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=12)	2.95 (0.24)	2.47 (2.49)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.08)	0.306 <sup>b</sup>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	2.62 (0.24)	-0.09 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.01 (0.07)	<b>0.010</b> <sup>a</sup>
AI (n=9)	2.27 (0.25)	0.004 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.03)	-0.01 (0.05)	0.682 <sup>b</sup>
RFA (n=9)	3.01 (0.27)	-0.09 (0.05)	-0.07 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.05)	0.140 <sup>a</sup>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold** and borderline ones in *italic bold*.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup> linear trend. No differences were observed

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>‡</sup>P<0.1 versus White Apple treatment

**Supplemental Table 19. Postprandial Changes in Triglycerides (log) at the Beginning and at the End of the study**

Intervention	Baseline (0h)	Change after intervention			P (trend) <sup>a,b</sup>
		2h	4h	6h	
<i>Baseline</i>					
WFA (n=8)	130 (24.0)	6.00 (7.36)	20.0 (7.60)	22.9 (12.8)	<b>0.081<sup>b</sup></b>
AI (n=9)	102 (11.9)	-2.33 (6.87)	<b>-3.11 (8.61)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>1.78 (6.72)<sup>‡</sup></b>	0.494 <sup>a</sup>
RFA (n=12)	109 (13.4)	2.58 (3.66)	<b>25.7 (4.44)<sup>†</sup></b>	26.3 (8.45)	<b>0.002<sup>b</sup></b>
<i>6 weeks</i>					
WFA (n=8)	127 (33.1)	<b>20.4 (4.99)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>47.0 (12.5)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>42.1 (9.73)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>0.004<sup>b</sup></b>
AI (n=9)	84.3 (10.2)	<b>3.33 (4.72)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>6.89 (4.43)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>9.44 (3.41)<sup>‡</sup></b>	<b>0.029<sup>b</sup></b>
RFA (n=9)	122 (20.1)	14.0 (4.73)	<b>36.5 (6.75)<sup>†</sup></b>	<b>35.3 (9.11)<sup>*</sup></b>	<b>0.003<sup>b</sup></b>

Data expressed as mean (standard error) in mmHg. Significant results in **bold** and borderline ones in *italic bold*.

Intra-treatment comparison by General Linear Model with Bonferroni correction. P for: <sup>a</sup> quadratic trend; <sup>b</sup> linear trend. <sup>\*</sup> P<0.05, <sup>†</sup>P<0.01 versus its baseline.

Inter-treatment comparisons by ANCOVA Model adjusted by age and sex. <sup>‡</sup> P<0.05 versus White and Red Apple treatments; <sup>‡</sup>P<0.01 versus White Apple treatment; <sup>†</sup>P<0.05, <sup>‡</sup> P<0.1 versus Red Apple treatment.