Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Food & Function. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

1 Joint Associations Among Non-essential Heavy Metals Mixtures and Nutritional

2 Factors on Glucose Metabolism Indexes in the US Adults: Evidence from

4 Xiaolang Chen ^{1,2‡}, Peipei Li ^{1,2‡}, Yuanhao Huang ^{1,2‡}, Yingnan Lv ³, Xia Xu ^{1,2}, Huiyun Nong ^{1,2},
5 Lulu Zhang ³, Huabei Wu ⁴, Chao Yu ^{1,2}, Lina Chen ^{1,2}, Di Liu ^{1,2}, Lancheng Wei ^{1,2}, Haiying

6 Zhang^{1,2,3*}

7 CONTENTS

8	Supplementary Method
9	Supplementary Tables
10	Table S1. Descriptive table of urinary metal concentrations. 5
11	Table S2. Distribution of glucose metabolism indexes. 6
12	Table S3. The posterior inclusion probabilities of metals under the Bayesian kernel machine
13	regression model in glucose metabolism indexes
14	Table S4. Descriptive table of nutrients grouped by quantile of energy-adjusted DII. (Median
15	[IQR])7
16	Table S5. Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for the interquartile change of natural log-
17	transformed urinary metals (ln μ g/g creatinine) on glucose metabolism indexes and the
18	interaction term between metal and energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index estimated using
19	multivariate linear regression models. The diet inflammatory index was estimated by 28
20	nutrients which represent the total consumption from food intake
21	Supplementary Figures
22	Figure S1. Flowchart of the overall design, NHANES from 2011-2016. NHANES, National
23	Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index. 9
24	Figure S2. Directed acyclic graph of select covariates10
25	Figure S3. Correlations between urinary metal concentrations (ln ug/g creatinine)11
26	Figure S4. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and fasting plasma glucose
27	(ln-mg/dL) in multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta indicates change in
28	predicted fasting plasma glucose (ln-mg/dL) for a unit change in metal. Beta =0 means no
29	association between exposures and the outcome; beta >0 means a positive association between
30	exposures and outcomes; beta <0 means a negative association between exposures and
31	outcomes. The solid red lines correspond to the central estimates, and the red-shaded regions
32	indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The model was adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES
33	(2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index
34	(continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic
35	Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and
36	hypertension (yes or no). NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; CI,
37	confidence interval
38	Figure S5. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and hemoglobin A1c (%) in
39	multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta indicates change in predicted hemoglobin
40	A1c (%) for a unit change in metal. Beta =0 means no association between exposures and the
41	outcome; beta >0 means a positive association between exposures and outcomes; beta <0
42	means a negative association between exposures and outcomes. The solid red lines correspond

³ NHANES 2011–2016

43 to the central estimates, and the red-shaded regions indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The 44 model was adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), 45 age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other 46 Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (\geq 47 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). NHANES, National Health 48 49 Figure S6. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and homeostatic model 50 assessment for insulin resistance (ln) in multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta 51 indicates change in predicted homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (ln) for a 52 unit change in metal. Beta =0 means no association between exposures and the outcome; beta 53 >0 means a positive association between exposures and outcomes; beta <0 means a negative 54 association between exposures and outcomes. The solid red lines correspond to the central 55 estimates, and the red-shaded regions indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The model was 56 adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age 57 (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, 58 non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (> 12 59 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). NHANES, National Health 60 Figure S7. The Cd-hemoglobin A1c (%) relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the 61 62 hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.14 63 Figure S8. The W-hemoglobin A1c (%) relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the 64 hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index......15 65 Figure S9. The Ba- homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (ln) 66 relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, 67 68 Figure S10. The Tl- homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (ln) relationships in 69 the "E-DII Q4" group and in the hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.17 70 71 Figure S11. Impact of E-DII and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure-response 72 functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the other 73 variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 50th, and 74 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for survey 75 cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass 76 index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-77 Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), 78 79 Figure S12. Impact of macro-nutritions and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure-80 response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the 81 other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 82 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for 83 survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, 84 body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic 85 White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 1286

87 Figure S13. Impact of minerals and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure-88 response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the 89 other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 90 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for 91 survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, 92 body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic 93 White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 1294 95 Figure S14. Impact of A vitamins and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure-96 response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the 97 other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 98 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for 99 survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic 100 body mass index 101 White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 12102

103 Supplementary Method

We defined diabetes according to the following criteria: (1) fasting blood glucose (FPG) \geq 126 mg/dL; (2) or postprandial 2h plasma glucose \geq 200 mg/dL, (3) or glycated hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) \geq 6.5%, (4) or self-reported doctor diagnosis of diabetes, (5) or use of insulin, (6) or oral hypoglycemic medication ¹. According to this definition, our study included 395 individuals with diabetes and 1,343 individuals without diabetes.

109 We use the Bayesian kernel machine regression (BKMR) model to visualization the interaction 110 between nutritional factors and metals on the outcome of diabetes. When the remaining other variables 111 were fixed at the median, the dose-response curve between expos1 concentration and outcome changed 112 in slope as the expos2 concentration from 10th to 90th, indicating a possible interaction.

113 Supplementary Tables

	LOD	LOD		Urinary concentration (ug/L)					Creatinine-adjusted urinary concentration				
Matal	(ug/L)	(ug/L)		ermary concentration (ug/L)				(ug/g creatinine)					(creatinine)
Wietai	(2011-	(2013-	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	5th	25th	50th	75th	95th	Mean (SD)
	2012)	2016)	511	2541	5000	/501) 5 th	541	2500	5000	7501))til	Mean (SD)
Barium	0.1000	0.060	0.200	0.510	1.000	1.950	4.482	22.881	58.333	102.964	184.386	425.762	4.625 (0.908)
Cadmium	0.056	0.036	0.041	0.131	0.255	0.496	1.241	6.065	13.771	26.165	46.034	111.141	3.242 (0.887)
Lead	0.080	0.030	0.080	0.200	0.35	0.62	1.380	11.292	21.564	35.279	57.913	117.919	3.575 (0.741)
Antimony	0.041	0.022	0.016	0.029	0.044	0.074	0.176	1.870	3.183	4.531	6.905	16.111	1.579 (0.659)
Thallium	0.02	0.018	0.047	0.098	0.159	0.251	0.430	6.203	10.98	15.74	22.385	40.866	2.756 (0.579)
Tungsten	0.026	0.018	0.013	0.026	0.053	0.107	0.280	1.545	3.25	5.429	9.214	23.705	1.732 (0.840)
Uranium	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.005	0.010	0.029	0.166	0.319	0.523	0.938	3.025	-0.542 (0.901)
Arsenic	1.25	0.260	1.510	3.592	6.840	14.45	56.982	199.033	377.597	644.827	1369.921	6616.069	6.677 (1.056)

114 Table S1. Descriptive table of urinary metal concentrations.

Original distribution Ln-transformation Variables 5th 25th 50th 75th 95th Mean (SD) FPG (mg/dL) 93.00 100.00 110.00 4.65 (0.23) 82.61 165.71 HbA1c (%) 4.80 5.30 5.60 5.900 7.70 HOMA-IR 0.70 1.42 2.34 4.00 10.43 0.90 (0.85)

116 Table S2. Distribution of glucose metabolism indexes.

117

118 Table S3. The posterior inclusion probabilities of metals under the Bayesian kernel machine regression

Variables	Barium	Cadmium	Lead	Antimony	Thallium	Tungsten	Uranium	Arsenic
FPG	0.004	8E-05	0	0	0.016	0.002	0.002	0
HbA1c	0.005	1	1	0.013	0.021	0.897	0.003	0.004
HOMA-IR	1	0.232	0.269	0.379	0.874	0.207	0.544	0.114

119 model in glucose metabolism indexes.

121 Table S4. Descriptive table of nutrients grouped by quantile of energy-adjusted DII. (Median [IQR]).

Nutrients	Overall (n = 1738)	E-DII Q1 (n = 434)	E-DII Q2 (n = 435)	E-DII Q3 (n = 435)	E-DII Q4 (n = 434)
Protein (mg)	78.50 [65.30, 92.11]	87.39 [75.08, 102.91]	82.20 [70.39, 95.13]	77.33 [64.00, 90.11]	68.01 [57.64, 79.37]
Fat (mg)	76.86 [65.70, 87.85]	77.41 [65.30, 88.42]	74.73 [63.23, 86.26]	76.43 [65.99, 85.98]	78.61 [68.32, 89.59]
Carbohydrate (mg)) 242.27 [212.97, 273.05]	237.04 [203.34, 267.65]	237.88 [203.79, 269.58]	240.93 [214.36, 268.22]	256.22 [226.56, 289.65]
Fiber (mg)	16.29 [11.89, 20.91]	20.43 [15.79, 25.89]	17.85 [12.94, 21.81]	15.15 [11.47, 19.24]	12.93 [9.87, 16.64]
VitB1 (mg)	1.50 [1.25, 1.79]	1.60 [1.34, 1.91]	1.51 [1.27, 1.83]	1.48 [1.25, 1.79]	1.38 [1.12, 1.65]
VitB2 (mg)	1.89 [1.52, 2.34]	2.15 [1.73, 2.65]	1.97 [1.61, 2.39]	1.85 [1.49, 2.24]	1.63 [1.30, 2.00]
Niacin (mg)	23.69 [19.29, 28.72]	27.24 [22.61, 33.70]	25.61 [20.79, 29.96]	23.15 [19.20, 27.00]	19.76 [16.78, 23.66]
VitB6 (mg)	1.88 [1.49, 2.33]	2.37 [1.96, 2.97]	2.04 [1.71, 2.45]	1.78 [1.49, 2.10]	1.39 [1.09, 1.67]
Folate (µg)	465.21 [366.53, 601.39]	549.43 [406.76, 689.70]	479.66 [387.00, 610.31]	456.08 [362.43, 554.81]	416.47 [313.31, 527.58]
VitB12 (µg)	4.02 [2.78, 5.52]	5.00 [3.26, 7.45]	4.33 [2.95, 5.90]	3.76 [2.57, 5.03]	3.45 [2.43, 4.59]
Calcium (mg)	861.36 [662.48, 1108.72]	953.08 [734.70, 1178.01]	879.26 [684.19, 1107.15]	850.15 [616.57, 1093.05]	801.21 [597.42, 1037.07]
Phosphorus (mg)	1309.74 [1127.10, 1490.40]	1418.60 [1247.29, 1609.61]	1339.05 [1192.37, 1502.98]	1273.99 [1103.69, 1438.05]	1197.24 [1002.27, 1341.12]
Sodium (mg)	3302.07 [2821.10, 3836.44]	3436.56 [2909.12, 3955.52]	3393.01 [2898.41, 3902.03]	3227.25 [2789.78, 3795.48]	3215.48 [2719.35, 3676.03]
Potassium (mg)	2531.89 [2124.93, 2988.90]	3070.07 [2640.53, 3469.88]	2637.30 [2274.20, 3032.93]	2413.73 [2075.62, 2760.26]	2081.79 [1751.14, 2438.53]
Iron (mg)	13.07 [10.98, 16.08]	14.54 [11.93, 17.96]	13.13 [11.18, 16.27]	12.89 [10.99, 15.69]	12.09 [10.10, 14.27]
Zinc (mg)	10.05 [8.40, 12.26]	11.09 [9.09, 14.04]	10.22 [8.76, 12.16]	9.84 [8.23, 12.04]	9.27 [7.84, 11.02]
Selenium (µg)	109.92 [91.12, 130.42]	118.70 [96.59, 138.00]	115.32 [95.84, 134.28]	108.30 [92.13, 129.68]	98.08 [83.13, 114.24]
Magnesium (mg)	283.17 [231.24, 346.04]	357.81 [302.51, 403.29]	299.10 [263.77, 355.19]	269.47 [224.25, 306.30]	223.23 [185.26, 262.45]
Copper (mg)	1.13 [0.92, 1.40]	1.41 [1.19, 1.71]	1.20 [1.00, 1.46]	1.06 [0.90, 1.26]	0.92 [0.74, 1.09]
Cholin (mg)	310.22 [247.48, 383.69]	352.68 [294.12, 425.07]	325.39 [270.66, 394.23]	309.45 [252.67, 368.66]	250.57 [202.60, 313.15]
VitC (mg)	65.07 [32.96, 112.42]	102.71 [62.62, 153.56]	69.63 [37.53, 118.88]	56.85 [29.28, 99.70]	39.74 [15.58, 72.44]
A vitamins (µg)	1271.86 [858.96, 1981.37]	2214.76 [1486.14, 3433.06]	1435.05 [987.99, 1997.38]	1113.42 [778.57, 1572.27]	889.13 [598.51, 1213.81]
Omega 3 (mg)	1.87 [1.46, 2.40]	2.30 [1.77, 2.94]	1.99 [1.56, 2.51]	1.84 [1.45, 2.22]	1.56 [1.25, 1.94]
Omega 6 (mg)	15.56 [12.16, 19.34]	16.85 [13.63, 21.64]	15.84 [12.50, 20.69]	14.91 [11.92, 18.67]	13.91 [11.25, 17.13]

122 Notes: E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.

123 Table S5. Coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for the interquartile change of natural log-124 transformed urinary metals (ln μ g/g creatinine) on glucose metabolism indexes and the interaction term 125 between metal and energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index estimated using multivariate linear 126 regression models. The diet inflammatory index was estimated by 28 nutrients which represent the total 127 consumption from food intake.

	Bariu	ım	Cadmiu	ım	Tungsten	
	Coefficient	Р	Coefficient	Р	Coefficient	P
Metal	-0.070	< 0.001	-0.142	0.030	-0.126	0.001
E-DII	-0.187	0.018	-0.127	0.177	-0.097	0.236
Metal x E-DII	0.050	0.046	-0.166	0.091	-0.063	0.099
Metal	0.167	< 0.001	-0.144	0.030	-0.135	0.001
Dietary range1	0.002	0.134	-0.099	0.143	-0.186	0.168
Metal ×	-0.001	0.388	-0.002	0.190	-0.001	0.030
Dietary range1						
Metal	0.127	0.000	-0.150	0.030	-0.130	0.001
Dietary range2	0.002	0.558	-0.087	0.004	0.139	0.500
Metal ×	-0.001	0.620	0.000	0.184	0.002	0.736
Dietary range2						
	0.192	< 0.001	0 1 4 1	0.020	0.127	0.001
Metal	0.183	< 0.001	-0.141	0.030	-0.137	0.001
Dietary range3	2.818e-04	0.669	0.024	0.599	-0.172	0.003
Metal ×	-6.611e-05	0.256	0.001	0.608	-0.001	0.045
Dietary range3						
Metal	0.1710	< 0.001	-0.144	0.030	-0.129	0.001
Dietary range4	0.001	0.000	0.031	0.006	-0.006	0.398
Metal ×			-			
Dietary range4	-0.001	0.212	0.002	0.478	-0.001	0.263

128 Notes: Barium corresponds to homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance as the 129 outcome; Cadmium and Tungsten correspond to hemoglobinA1c as the outcome. The dietary 130 range 1 to 4 for Barium-homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance were 131 carbohydrate, protein, phosphorus, and magnesium; the dietary range 1 to 4 for Cadmium-132 hemoglobin A1c were carbohydrate, phosphorus, potassium, and iron; the dietary range 1 to 4 for 133 Tungsten-hemoglobin A1c were carbohydrate, protein, phosphorus, and selenium. All linear 134 regression models were adjusted with one metal, one interaction term of metal and nutrient, 135 and the same set of covariates. All linear regression models have included the following 136 covariates: survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), 137 sex, BMI (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-138 Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). 139

140 Supplementary Figures

Figure S1. Flowchart of the overall design, NHANES from 2011-2016. NHANES, National Health and
Nutrition Examination Survey; E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.



143 Figure S2. Directed acyclic graph of select covariates.



Ва								
								- 0.8
0.05	Cd							- 0.6
0.22	0.44	Pb						- 0.4
0.11	0.11	0.21	Sb					- 0.2
								- 0
0.23	0.15	0.20	0.09	TI				0.2
0.14			0.25	0.04	W			0.4
0.18	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.04	0.32	U		0.6
0.07	0.14	0.19	0.10	0.31	0.05	0.08	As	0.8
								— -1

144 Figure S3. Correlations between urinary metal concentrations (ln ug/g creatinine).

Figure S4. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and fasting plasma glucose (ln-mg/dL) 145 146 in multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta indicates change in predicted fasting plasma 147 glucose (ln-mg/dL) for a unit change in metal. Beta =0 means no association between exposures and the 148 outcome; beta >0 means a positive association between exposures and outcomes; beta <0 means a 149 negative association between exposures and outcomes. The solid red lines correspond to the central 150 estimates, and the red-shaded regions indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The model was adjusted for 151 survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass 152 index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic 153 Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (\geq 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension 154 (yes or no). NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; CI, confidence interval.



Figure S5. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and hemoglobin A1c (%) in 155 156 multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta indicates change in predicted hemoglobin A1c (%) 157 for a unit change in metal. Beta =0 means no association between exposures and the outcome; beta >0158 means a positive association between exposures and outcomes; beta <0 means a negative association 159 between exposures and outcomes. The solid red lines correspond to the central estimates, and the red-160 shaded regions indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The model was adjusted for survey cycle of 161 NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index 162 (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and 163 other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). 164 NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; CI, confidence interval.



Figure S6. Association of single heavy metal (ln ug/g creatinine) and homeostatic model assessment for 165 166 insulin resistance (ln) in multivariable-adjusted restricted cubic splines. Beta indicates change in 167 predicted homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (ln) for a unit change in metal. Beta =0 168 means no association between exposures and the outcome; beta >0 means a positive association between 169 exposures and outcomes; beta <0 means a negative association between exposures and outcomes. The 170 solid red lines correspond to the central estimates, and the red-shaded regions indicate the 95% 171 confidence intervals. The model was adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 172 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other 173 Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 174 drinks/year and <12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). NHANES, National Health and Nutrition 175 Examination Survey; CI, confidence interval.





Figure S7. The Cd-hemoglobin A1c (%) relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the hypothetically"E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.



178 Figure S8. The W-hemoglobin A1c (%) relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.



Figure S9. The Ba- homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (ln) relationships in the "E-DII Q4" group and in the hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group.
E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory index.

- 181 Figure S10. The Tl- homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance (ln) relationships in the "E-DII
- 182 Q4" group and in the hypothetically "E-DII Q1-3" group. E-DII, energy-adjusted diet inflammatory
- 183 index.

1.8 1.7 1.6 **-**

1.5 -

E-DII Q1 E-DII Q2 E-DII Q3 E-DII Q4

4

3

TI (In ug/g creatinine)



184 Figure S11. Impact of E-DII and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure-response functions 185 for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the other variables presented on 186 the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other 187 variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, 188 and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other 189 Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (≥ 12 190 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no). DII-ad, adjusted energy diet 191 inflammatory index.



Figure S12. Impact of macro-nutritions and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure–response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (\geq 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no).



Figure S13. Impact of minerals and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure–response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (\geq 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no).



Figure S14. Impact of A vitamins and metal interactions on diabetes. Bivariate exposure–response functions for each of the exposure presented on the upper coordinate axis when the other variables presented on the right longitudinal axis holding at different quantiles (10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles) and the other variables were held at the median. Model adjusted for survey cycle of NHANES (2011-2012, 2013-2014, and 2015-2016), age (continuous), sex, body mass index (continuous), race (Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, and other race), alcohol consumption (\geq 12 drinks/year and < 12 drinks/year), and hypertension (yes or no).



213 Reference

- 214 1. Qiu Z, Chen X, Geng T, et al. Associations of serum carotenoids with risk of
- 215 cardiovascular mortality among individuals with type 2 diabetes: results from NHANES.
- 216 *Diabetes care*, 2022, **45**: 1453-1461.