

1

Supplementary Material

2 **Probiotic-fermented tomato with hepatic lipid metabolism modulation effects:**
3 **analysis of physicochemical properties, bioactivities, and potential bioactive**
4 **compounds**

5 Benliang Wei^{a,b}, Wendi Zheng^{a,b}, Zhen Peng^{a,b}, Muyan Xiao^{a,b,c}, Tao Huang^{a,b,c},
6 Mingyong Xie^{a,b}, Tao Xiong^{a,b,*}

7 a State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Resources, No. 235 Nanjing East Road,
8 Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330047, PR China

9 b School of Food Science and Technology, Nanchang University, No. 235 Nanjing East
10 Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330047, PR China

11 c International Institute of Food Innovation, Nanchang University, No. 235 Nanjing
12 East Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330047, PR China

13 * Corresponding author: Tao Xiong

14 * Email: xiongtao0907@163.com

15 Address: State Key Laboratory of Food Science and Resources, No. 235 Nanjing East
16 Road, Nanchang, Jiangxi, 330047, PR China

17 Tel.: +86 13697084048

18 Fax: +86 0791 3063627

19 **1. Supplementary materials and methods**

20 **1.1 Total phenolic content measurement**

21 Total phenolic content was measured in line with the Folin-Ciocalteu method with
22 modification.¹ In brief, 500 μL of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (10%, v/v) was added to 100
23 μL of sample supernatant and then stood for 5 min. Afterward, 400 μL of Na_2CO_3 (75
24 g/L, w/v) was added to the mixture and stood for another 30 min avoiding light. The
25 absorbance value of the mixture at 765 nm was detected by the microplate reader
26 (Varioskan LUX, Massachusetts, USA). Total phenolic content was calculated from the
27 gallic acid standard curve and presented as milligrams of gallic acid equivalent (GAE)
28 per milliliter of LFT (mg GAE/mL).

29 **1.2 Total flavonoid content measurement**

30 Total flavonoid content was determined following a previous study.² Briefly, 100
31 μL of sample supernatant, 50 μL of NaNO_2 (50 g/L, w/v), and 300 μL of distilled water
32 were mixed and stood for 5 min. Subsequently, 100 μL of AlCl_3 (100 g/L, w/v) was
33 added to the mixture followed by vortexing and standing for 5 min. Finally, 200 μL of
34 NaOH (2 mol/L) was added to the mixture to react for 5 min and the absorbance value
35 of the mixture was determined at 510 nm via the microplate reader (Varioskan LUX,
36 Massachusetts, USA). Total flavonoid content was calculated from the rutin standard
37 curve and presented as milligrams of rutin equivalent (RE) per milliliter of LFT (mg
38 RE/mL).

39 **1.3 Antioxidant activities assessment**

40 The antioxidant activities of samples were assessed by measuring 2,2'-azino-

41 bis(3-ethyl-benzothiazoline)-6-sulphonic acid (ABTS⁺·), 2,2-diphenyl-1-
42 picrylhydrazyl (DPPH·), and hydroxyl (·OH) radical scavenging capacity according to
43 the instructions of commercial kits (Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute,
44 Nanjing, China).

45 **2. Supplementary results and discussion**

46 **2.1 Changes in total phenolic and flavonoid contents of tomato before and after** 47 **fermentation**

48 Phenols and flavonoids are valuable phytochemicals with beneficial effects on
49 human health. As illustrated in Fig. S2, total phenolic and total flavonoid contents were
50 remarkably higher in LFT than in UFT ($P < 0.05$), indicating that total phenol and total
51 flavonoid contents were increased after LP fermentation. These were in line with the
52 previous findings that LAB fermentation enhanced the contents of total phenols and
53 flavonoids of plant-based samples.^{3,4} These could be due to the fact that the hydrolytic
54 enzymes (like glycosidases and tannase) produced by LAB during fermentation
55 released more bound and complex phenolic compounds or transformed them into
56 simpler forms.^{5,6} Moreover, the low pH environment created by LAB fermentation may
57 also help avoid phenolic acid degradation.^{7,8} Notably, total phenolic and flavonoid
58 contents were lower in SFT than in LFT, which may be due to the transformation or
59 degradation of several readily degradable or thermally unstable phenols and flavonoids
60 upon sterilization,⁹ suggesting that LFT may be more bioactive and healthful than SFT.

61 **2.2 Changes in antioxidant activities of tomato before and after fermentation**

62 It is well known that antioxidant activities contribute to the alleviation or

63 suppression of oxidative stress in the body, which helps to reduce the risk of cancer,
64 inflammation, and metabolic disorders.¹⁰ In this current study, ABTS⁺·, DPPH·, and
65 ·OH radical scavenging capacities were determined to investigate the effects of
66 fermentation on the antioxidant activity of tomato. As depicted in Fig. S3, ABTS⁺·,
67 DPPH·, and ·OH radical scavenging rates were notably higher in LFT compared with
68 UFT ($P < 0.05$), and sterilization had no remarkable effect on the scavenging rate except
69 for that of DPPH·, demonstrating that LP fermentation improved the antioxidant
70 activity of tomato. Previous studies have pointed out that phenols and flavonoids are
71 natural antioxidants and fermentation facilitates the release of simpler forms of free
72 phenols, which help to improve the antioxidant activity of foods.^{6, 10} The findings of
73 this study support this notion, as the contents of total phenols and flavonoids of tomato
74 were significantly increased after fermentation, which partly explained why LFT
75 exhibited enhanced antioxidant activity than UFT. In addition, LAB also had been
76 reported to possess antioxidant activity, and this could also account for the higher
77 antioxidant activity of LFT.¹¹⁻¹³

78 References

- 79 1. H. Liu, Y. Ni, Q. Yu and L. Fan, Evaluation of co-fermentation of *L. plantarum*
80 and *P. kluyveri* of a plant-based fermented beverage: Physicochemical,
81 functional, and sensory properties, *Food Res. Int.*, 2023, **172**, 113060.
- 82 2. F. Shi, L. Wang and S. Li, Enhancement in the physicochemical properties,
83 antioxidant activity, volatile compounds, and non-volatile compounds of
84 watermelon juices through *Lactobacillus plantarum* JHT78 fermentation, *Food*
85 *Chem.*, 2023, **420**, 136146.
- 86 3. J. Nie, X. Fu, L. Wang, J. Xu and X. Gao, Impact of *Monascus purpureus*
87 fermentation on antioxidant activity, free amino acid profiles and flavor
88 properties of kelp (*Saccharina japonica*), *Food Chem.*, 2023, **400**, 133990.
- 89 4. Z. Li, Y. Dong, Y. Zhang, M. Zheng, Z. Jiang, Y. Zhu, S. Deng, Q. Li and H. Ni,
90 *Lactobacillus*-fermentation enhances nutritional value and improves the
91 inhibition on pancreatic lipase and oral pathogens of edible red seaweed *Bangia*
92 *fusco-purpurea*, *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **179**, 114643.
- 93 5. J. Meng, J.-L. Wang, Y.-P. Hao, M.-X. Zhu and J. Wang, Effects of
94 *Lactobacillus fermentum* GD01 fermentation on the nutritional components and
95 flavor substances of three kinds of bean milk, *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023,
96 **184**, 115006.
- 97 6. Z. Wang, Y. Feng, N. Yang, T. Jiang, H. Xu and H. Lei, Fermentation of
98 kiwifruit juice from two cultivars by probiotic bacteria: Bioactive phenolics,
99 antioxidant activities and flavor volatiles, *Food Chem.*, 2022, **373**, 131455.

- 100 7. H. Xie, P. Gao, Z.-M. Lu, F.-Z. Wang, L.-J. Chai, J.-S. Shi, H.-L. Zhang, Y.
101 Geng, X.-J. Zhang and Z.-H. Xu, Changes in physicochemical characteristics
102 and metabolites in the fermentation of goji juice by *Lactiplantibacillus*
103 *plantarum*, *Food Biosci.*, 2023, **54**, 102881.
- 104 8. M. Friedman and H. S. Jürgens, Effect of pH on the Stability of Plant Phenolic
105 Compounds, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2000, **48**, 2101-2110.
- 106 9. Q. Hong, G. Chen, Z. Wang, X. Chen and J. Kan, Effects of different thermal
107 processing methods on bioactive components, phenolic compounds, and
108 antioxidant activities of Qingke (highland hull-less barley), *Food Sci. Hum.*
109 *Wellness*, 2023, **12**, 119-129.
- 110 10. E. Martinelli, D. Granato, L. Azevedo, J. E. Gonçalves, J. M. Lorenzo, P. E. S.
111 Munekata, J. Simal-Gandara, F. J. Barba, C. Carrillo, M. S. Riaz Rajoka and L.
112 Lucini, Current perspectives in cell-based approaches towards the definition of
113 the antioxidant activity in food, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **116**, 232-243.
- 114 11. F. Ghiasi, S. M. B. Hashemi and E. Abedi, Effective enhancement of food
115 oxidative stability induced by *Lactobacillus* strains: In vitro activity, *Food*
116 *Control*, 2023, **153**, 109912.
- 117 12. S. Wu, Y. Chen, Z. Chen, Q. Zhou, F. Wei, P. Li and Q. Gu, Antioxidant
118 properties and molecular mechanisms of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* ZJ316:
119 A potential probiotic resource, *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **187**, 115269.
- 120 13. X. Xu, Y. Qiao, Q. Peng, V. P. Dia and B. Shi, Probiotic activity of ropy
121 *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* NA isolated from Chinese northeast sauerkraut

122 and comparative evaluation of its live and heat-killed cells on antioxidant
123 activity and RAW 264.7 macrophage stimulation, *Food Funct.*, 2023, **14**, 2481-
124 2495.