## **Supporting Information**

Water-based eco-friendly fabrication of physicochemically crosslinked and highly wettable PU-rich electrospun PU/PEO nanofiber composites with exceptional chemical and thermal stability

Osamu Ohsawa,<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> Mayakrishnan Gopiraman,<sup>a,b</sup> Yan Ge,<sup>e</sup> Chunhong Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Kei Watanabe,<sup>a,c,\*</sup> and Ick Soo Kim<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Nano Fusion Technology Research Group, <sup>b</sup>Division of Molecules and Polymers, Institute for Fiber Engineering (IFES), Interdisciplinary Cluster for Cutting Edge Research (ICCER), Shinshu University, Tokida 3-15-1, Ueda, Nagano 386-8567, Japan.

<sup>c</sup>NafiaS Inc., Fii building, Shinshu University (Ueda Campus), Tokida 3-15-1, Ueda, Nagano 386-8567, Japan.

<sup>d</sup>Smart Materials Science and Technology Unit, Textile Technology Division, Department of Science and Technology, Graduate School of Medicine, Science and Technology, Shinshu University, Tokida 3-15-1, Ueda, Nagano 386-8567, Japan.

<sup>e</sup>School of Textile and Clothing, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, PR China.

Email addresses of corresponding authors\*

<u>kim@shinshu-u.ac.jp</u> (I.S. Kim); <u>k.watanabe@nafias-jp.com</u> (K. Watanabe)

	Polymer solution conditions			Electrospinning co		
Sample code	DI	PEO	CI	Applied voltage	TCD	Solution feeding
	FU		CL	(kV)	(cm)	(mm/sec)
PU <sub>10</sub> /s-PEO <sub>0</sub> /CL <sub>1</sub> /bc-NFs	10	0	1	-	-	-
PU <sub>9</sub> /s-PEO <sub>1</sub> /CL <sub>0.9</sub> /bc-NFs	9	1	0.9	8	8	0.0022
PU <sub>8</sub> /s-PEO <sub>2</sub> /CL <sub>0.8</sub> /bc-NFs	8	2	0.8	8	10	0.0018
PU <sub>7</sub> /s-PEO <sub>3</sub> /CL <sub>0.7</sub> /bc-NFs	7	3	0.7	8	10	0.0016
PU <sub>6</sub> /s-PEO <sub>4</sub> /CL <sub>0.6</sub> /bc-NFs	6	4	0.6	8.5	12	0.0015
PU <sub>5</sub> /s-PEO <sub>5</sub> /CL <sub>0.5</sub> /bc-NFs	5	5	0.5	8.5	12	0.0014
PU <sub>4</sub> /s-PEO <sub>6</sub> /CL <sub>0.4</sub> /bc-NFs	4	6	0.4	8.5	12	0.0013
PU <sub>3</sub> /s-PEO <sub>7</sub> /CL <sub>0.3</sub> /bc-NFs	s <b>3</b>	7	0.3	8.5	13	0.0010
PU <sub>2</sub> /s-PEO <sub>8</sub> /CL <sub>0.2</sub> /bc-NFs	s 2	8	0.2	8.5	13	0.0006
PU <sub>1</sub> /s-PEO <sub>9</sub> /CL <sub>0.1</sub> /bc-NFs	1	9	0.1	8.5	12	0.0004
PU <sub>0</sub> /s-PEO <sub>10</sub> /CL <sub>0</sub> /bc-NFs	0	10	0	9	12	0.0002

Table S1. Parameters applied for optimizing PU<sub>x</sub>/s-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>/bc-NFs blend nanofibers.

PU aqueous dispersion 30 wt%; PEO aqueous solution 6 wt%, CL- crosslinker 41.3 wt% aqueous solution

**Table S2**. Parameters applied for optimizing PU<sub>x</sub>/8-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>/bc-NFs blend nanofibers.

	Polymer solution conditions		Electrospinning cond			
Sample code	DIT	PEO	CI	Applied voltage	TCD	Solution feeding
	ru		CL	(kV)	(cm)	(mm/sec)
$PU_{10}/8-PEO_0/CL_1/bc-NFs$	10	0	1	-	-	-
$PU_9/8$ -PEO $_1/CL_{0.9}/bc$ -NFs	9	1	0.9	8	8	0.0022
$PU_8/8$ -PEO $_2/CL_{0.8}/bc$ -NFs	8	2	0.8	8	10	0.0018
PU <sub>7</sub> /8-PEO <sub>3</sub> /CL <sub>0.7</sub> /bc-NFs	7	3	0.7	8	10	0.0016
PU <sub>6</sub> /8-PEO <sub>4</sub> /CL <sub>0.6</sub> /bc-NFs	s6	4	0.6	8.5	12	0.0015
PU <sub>5</sub> /8-PEO <sub>5</sub> /CL <sub>0.5</sub> /bc-NFs	s5	5	0.5	8.5	12	0.0014
PU <sub>4</sub> /8-PEO <sub>6</sub> /CL <sub>0.4</sub> /bc-NFs	4	6	0.4	8.5	12	0.0013
PU <sub>3</sub> /8-PEO <sub>7</sub> /CL <sub>0.3</sub> /bc-NFs	3	7	0.3	8.5	13	0.0010
PU <sub>2</sub> /8-PEO <sub>8</sub> /CL <sub>0.2</sub> /bc-NFs	2	8	0.2	8.5	13	0.0006
$PU_1/8$ -PEO <sub>9</sub> /CL <sub>0.1</sub> /bc-NFs	1	9	0.1	8.5	12	0.0004
PU <sub>0</sub> /8-PEO <sub>10</sub> /CL <sub>0</sub> /bc-NFs	0	10	0	9	12	0.0002

PU aqueous dispersion 30 wt%; PEO aqueous solution 6 wt%, CL- crosslinker 41.3 wt% aqueous solution

	Polymer solution conditions			Electrospinning conditions			
Sample code	PU	PEO	CL	Applied voltage	TCD	Solution feeding	
				(kV)	(cm)	(mm/sec)	
PU <sub>10</sub> /3-PEO <sub>0</sub> /CL <sub>1</sub> /bc-NFs	10	0	1	-	-	-	
$PU_9/3$ - $PEO_1/CL_{0.9}/bc$ - $NFs$	9	1	0.9	8	8	0.0022	
$PU_8/3\text{-}PEO_2/CL_{0.8}/bc\text{-}NFs$	8	2	0.8	8	10	0.0018	
$PU_7/3\text{-}PEO_3/CL_{0.7}/bc\text{-}NFs$	7	3	0.7	8	10	0.0016	
$PU_6/3$ - $PEO_4/CL_{0.6}/bc$ - $NFs$	6	4	0.6	8.5	12	0.0015	
$PU_5/3$ - $PEO_5/CL_{0.5}/bc$ - $NFs$	5	5	0.5	8.5	12	0.0014	
$PU_4/3$ - $PEO_6/CL_{0.4}/bc$ - $NFs$	4	6	0.4	8.5	12	0.0013	
PU <sub>3</sub> /3-PEO <sub>7</sub> /CL <sub>0.3</sub> /bc-NFs	s3	7	0.3	8.5	13	0.0010	
PU <sub>2</sub> /3-PEO <sub>8</sub> /CL <sub>0.2</sub> /bc-NFs	s2	8	0.2	8.5	13	0.0006	
$PU_1/3$ -PEO <sub>9</sub> /CL <sub>0.1</sub> /bc-NFs	1	9	0.1	8.5	12	0.0004	
PU <sub>0</sub> /3-PEO <sub>10</sub> /CL <sub>0</sub> /bc-NFs	0	10	0	9	12	0.0002	

**Table S3**. Parameters applied for optimizing PU<sub>x</sub>/3-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>/bc-NFs blend nanofibers.

PU aqueous dispersion 30 wt%; PEO aqueous solution 6 wt%, CL- crosslinker 41.3 wt% aqueous solution

**Table S4**. Parameters applied for optimizing PU<sub>x</sub>/4-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>/bc-NFs blend nanofibers.

	Polymer solution conditions			Electrospinning conditions			
Sample code	PU	PEO	CL	Applied voltage	TCD	Solution feeding	
	1.0				(cm)		
$PU_{10}/4-PEO_0/CL_1/bc-NFs$	10	0	1	-	-	-	
PU <sub>9</sub> /4-PEO <sub>1</sub> /CL <sub>0.9</sub> /bc-NFs	9	1	0.9	8	8	0.0022	
PU <sub>8</sub> /4-PEO <sub>2</sub> /CL <sub>0.8</sub> /bc-NFs	8	2	0.8	8	10	0.0018	
PU7/4-PEO3/CL0.7/bc-NFs	7	3	0.7	8	10	0.0016	
PU <sub>6</sub> /4-PEO <sub>4</sub> /CL <sub>0.6</sub> /bc-NFs	6	4	0.6	8.5	12	0.0015	
PU <sub>5</sub> /4-PEO <sub>5</sub> /CL <sub>0.5</sub> /bc-NFs	s5	5	0.5	8.5	12	0.0014	
PU <sub>4</sub> /4-PEO <sub>6</sub> /CL <sub>0.4</sub> /bc-NFs	s4	6	0.4	8.5	12	0.0013	
PU <sub>3</sub> /4-PEO <sub>7</sub> /CL <sub>0.3</sub> /bc-NFs	3	7	0.3	8.5	13	0.0010	
$PU_2/4\text{-}PEO_8/CL_{0.2}/bc\text{-}NFs$	2	8	0.2	8.5	13	0.0006	
$PU_1/4$ -PEO <sub>9</sub> /CL <sub>0.1</sub> /bc-NFs	1	9	0.1	8.5	12	0.0004	
PU <sub>0</sub> /4-PEO <sub>10</sub> /CL <sub>0</sub> /bc-NFs	0	10	0	9	12	0.0002	

PU aqueous dispersion 30 wt%; PEO aqueous solution 6 wt%, CL- crosslinker 41.3 wt% aqueous solution



Fig. S1. Electrospinning Setup



Fig. S2. Average fiber diameter of PU/PEO nanofibers at different PU:PEO mass ratios.



Fig. S3. SEM images of  $PU_x$ /s-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofibers before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S4. SEM images of  $PU_x/4$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofibers before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S5. SEM images of  $PU_x/8$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofibers before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S6. Physical stability of  $PU_x/8$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofibers before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S7. FT-IR spectra of PEO nanofibers and PU nanofiber composite.



Fig. S8. FT-IR spectra of  $PU_x$ /s-PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofiber composites before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S9. FT-IR spectra of  $PU_x/4$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofiber composites before and after crosslinking.



Fig. S10. XRD patterns of (a)  $PU_x/3-PEO_y/CL_z$ -bc-NFs and (b)  $PU_x/4-PEO_y/CL_z$ -bc-NFs nanofiber composites and before and after thermal crosslinking ( $PU_x/g$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>-ac-NFs).



Fig. S11. SEM images of  $PU_x/8$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> nanofiber composites before and after dipped in hot water at 90°C for 3h (red – crosslinked and blue – non-crosslinked).



Fig. S12. SEM images of  $PU_x/8$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub> NFs composites before and after dipped in DMF at 60°C for 3h (red – crosslinked and blue – non-crosslinked).



Fig. S13. TG-DTA curves of (a)  $PU_x/s$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>-bc-NFs, (b)  $PU_x/3$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>-bc-NFs and (c)  $PU_x/4$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>-bc-NFs nanofibers composites and the nanofiber composites after crosslinking ( $PU_x/g$ -PEO<sub>y</sub>/CL<sub>z</sub>-ac-NFs).