## **Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Green Chemistry**

### A novel nutritional induction strategy flexibly switching biosynthesis of food-

## like products from methane by a methanotrophic bacterium

Zixi Gao <sup>‡</sup><sup>a</sup>, Shuqi Guo <sup>‡</sup><sup>a</sup>, Yunhao Chen <sup>‡</sup><sup>b</sup>, Hansen Chen <sup>c</sup>, Rongzhan Fu <sup>d</sup>, Qiaoqiao Song <sup>a</sup>, Shen Li <sup>a</sup>, Wenyong Lou <sup>c</sup>, Daidi Fan <sup>d</sup>, Yin Li <sup>e</sup>, Shihui Yang <sup>\*</sup><sup>b</sup>, Ramon Gonzalez <sup>\*f</sup>, Qiang Fei <sup>\*</sup><sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Xi'an Key Laboratory of C1 Compound Bioconversion Technology, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049

<sup>b</sup>State Key Laboratory of Biocatalysis and Enzyme Engineering, School of Life Sciences, Hubei University, Wuhan 430062, China

<sup>c</sup>Lab of Applied Biocatalysis, School of Food Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510641, China

<sup>d</sup>Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Degradable Biomedical Materials, School of Chemical Engineering, Northwest University, Xi'an 710069, China

<sup>e</sup>CAS Key Laboratory of Microbial Physiological and Metabolic Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100101, China

<sup>f</sup>Department of Chemical, Biological, and Materials Engineering, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA

\*Corresponding author: Shihui Yang, E-mail: <u>shihui.yang@hubu.edu.cn</u> Ramon Gonzalez, E-mail: <u>ramongonzale@usf.edu</u> Qiang Fei, E-mail: <u>feiqiang@xjtu.edu.cn</u>

**‡** These authors contributed equally to this work.

#### **Figure captions**

**Fig. S1** Comparison of process parameters and synthesis of cell protein and carbohydrates by *M. buryatense* 5GB1 in scenario I and scenario II.

**Fig. S2** Characterized methane-derived carbohydrates (glycogen and extracellular polysaccharides) produced by *M. buryatense* 5GB1.

**Fig. S3** Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of *M. buryatense* 5GB1 in scenario III versus scenario II.

Fig. S4 Schematic of *M. buryatense* 5GB1 cultures in three different scenarios.

#### **Table captions**

**Table S1** Cell protein production by methanotrophic bacteria using  $CH_4$  as the sole substrate.

**Table S2** Influence of growth rate on cell protein production performance in scenario III.

**Table S3** Elemental composition of cell protein produced in scenario III.

**Table S4** Transcriptomic response to induction of oxygen deficiency.



**Fig. S1** Comparison of process parameters and synthesis of cell protein and carbohydrates by *M. buryatense* 5GB1 in scenario I and scenario II. (A and B) Time course of cell growth  $(OD_{600})$  and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in scenario I (A) and scenario II (B) of *M. buryatense* 5GB1, respectively. (C and D) Time course of methane  $(CH_4)$  and oxygen  $(O_2)$  uptake rate, and respiratory quotient (RQ) in scenario I (C) and scenario II (D) of *M. buryatense* 5GB1, respectively. (E and F) Time course of cell protein, glycogen, and extracellular polysaccharides (EPS) titer and crude protein (CP) content in scenario I (E) and scenario II (F) of *M. buryatense* 5GB1, respectively. Scenario I and scenario II were terminated at 60 and 45 h, respectively, due to cessation of growth and uncontrollable foaming.



**Fig. S2** Characterized methane-derived carbohydrates (glycogen and extracellular polysaccharides (EPS)) produced by *M. buryatense* 5GB1. Samples of glycogen and EPS were analyzed by a Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy. Typical spectrum assignments from 3300-1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, where v = stretching vibrations. The intense band at about 3270 cm<sup>-1</sup> and the weak absorption band at approximately 2930 cm<sup>-1</sup> were the correlative characteristic peaks of O-H and C-H stretching vibrations in the sugar ring, respectively. The absorption of 1150 cm<sup>-1</sup> was caused by C-O stretching vibration, indicating the presence of a cyclic C-O-C structure.



**Fig. S3** Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of *M. buryatense* 5GB1 in scenario III versus scenario II. (A) Repeatability analysis for biological replicates between RNA-sequence samples. (B) Hierarchical clustering performed using the least-squares means of DEGs. (C) DEG involved in the terpenoid backbone synthesis. Full-names of the enzymes are as below. IspA, farnesyl diphosphate synthase. Ups, undecaprenyl diphosphate synthase. BacA, undecaprenyl-diphosphatase. Full-names of the compounds are as below. IPP, isopentenyl diphosphate. DMAPP, dimethylallyl diphosphate. GPP, geranyl diphosphate. FPP, farnesyl diphosphate. UPP, undecaprenyl diphosphate. UPP, undecaprenyl diphosphate. GPP, geranyl diphosphate. EPS, exopolysaccharides. (D-F) Volcano maps of DEGs ( $\log_2(fold change) \ge 1$  and  $\le -1$  regarded as significantly up- and down-regulated respectively, *P*-value  $\le 0.05$  for both). In (D), pairwise comparison of scenario III (Contin, sampled at 32 h) was performed (n=2). In (E), pairwise comparison of scenario III relative to the late exponential phase (Origin, sampled at 21 h) was performed (n=2). In (F), pairwise comparison of scenario III relative to the late exponential phase was performed (n=2).



**Fig. S4** Schematic of *M. buryatense* 5GB1 cultures in the three different scenarios. A gas mixture containing methane and air was sparged into the fermenter at a fixed flow rate throughout cultures. After inoculation, cell growth went through an exponential phase after adapting to the new environment, named "Origin" in this study. Nutrients were all sufficient in the "Origin" period. No additional medium was fed during scenario I, while a concentrated medium was fed at several pulses in the scenario II to maintain residual nitrate concentration. In scenario III, fresh medium modified based on the initial medium as well as culture broth was pumped in and out of the fermenter by peristaltic pumps at a constant rate equal to the growth rate multiplied by working volume.

Chucin		Titer Productivity		Content	Deference	
Strain	Culture type	(g L <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg L <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)	Neierente	
Methylosinus	Datah	14.00	50.22		Use at al. 2000 (1)	
trichosporium OB3b	Batch	14.00	58.33	n.a.	Han et al. 2009 (1)	
Methylotuvimicrobium	Datab	2.00	24.25	2.2	Cho et al. 2020 (2)	
alcaliphilum 20Z	Batch	3.00	31.25	n.d.		
Methylomonas rapida	Continuous	2.20	710.68	69.55	Tillhanaur at al. 2022 (2)	
MP1T	(µ=0.218 h⁻¹)	3.20			TIKHUHUVA EL AL, 2023 (3)	
Methylotuvimicrobium	Patch	14.80	308.33	22	Up at al. 2020 (4)	
buryatense 5GB1	Batch			11.d.	nu et al. 2020 (4)	
A4 humatonce CCD1	Cooporio I	14.65	205 21	44.42	This study	
M. buryatense SGB1	Scenario I	14.05	305.21	44.42	This study	
M. buryatense 5GB1	Scenario II	9.32	258.89	66.28	This study	
	Cooncerie III					
M. buryatense 5GB1	Scenario III $(u=0.102 \text{ b}^{-1})$	6.28	640.05	71.60	This study	
	(μ=0.102 h <sup>-</sup> )					

Table S1 Cell protein production by methanotrophic bacteria using  $CH_4$  as the sole substrate.

Note:  $\mu$ , growth rate; **n.a.**: not applicable.

μ (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.050	0.063	0.076	0.089	0.102
CP content (%, w/w)	66.77	68.09	68.11	69.06	71.60
Y <sub>X/S</sub> (g g <sup>-1</sup> CH <sub>4</sub> )	0.82	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.68
q <sub>02</sub> (mmol g <sup>-1</sup> DCW h <sup>-1</sup> )	6.22	7.71	9.46	10.83	13.29
O <sub>2</sub> /CH <sub>4</sub> uptake rate	1.64	1.53	1.53	1.51	1.42
Residual NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	7.85	102.43	143.02	186.25	196.40
Supernatant TN (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	189.21	249.14	247.04	288.16	295.31
EPS yield (g g <sup>-1</sup> protein)	0.59	0.60	0.82	1.26	1.33

Table S2 Influence of growth rate on cell protein production performance in scenario III.

**Note:**  $\mu$ , growth rate. CP, crude protein.  $Y_{X/S}$ , cell protein yield on methane (CH<sub>4</sub>).  $q_{O2}$ , specific oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) uptake rate. TN, total nitrogen. EPS, extracellular polysaccharides.

μ (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.050	0.063	0.076	0.089	0.102
C (%)	45.21±0.56	45.51±0.22	45.60±0.39	45.37±0.12	45.42±0.12
H (%)	7.21±0.12	7.26±0.01	7.35±0.07	7.38±0.02	7.30±0.05
O (%)	30.74±2.01	30.26±0.11	29.40±0.89	29.15±0.38	28.96±0.42
N (%)	11.02±0.08	11.25±0.06	11.34±0.02	11.35±0.05	11.63±0.06
S (%)	0.65±0.10	0.59±0.07	0.59±0.02	0.56±0.00	0.56±0.02
In total (%)	94.82	94.86	94.28	93.81	93.86
Others (%)	5.18	5.14	5.72	6.19	6.14

Table S3 Elemental composition of cell protein produced in scenario III.

**Note:**  $\mu$ , growth rate. C, carbon. H, hydrogen. O, oxygen. N, nitrogen. S, sulfur. Phosphorus could not be detected due to the limits of the elemental analyzer. Four replicates were assayed for each  $\mu$ , and the results (%, w/w) were expressed as (average value) ± (standard deviation).

Pathway	Name	ID (KEGG)	log <sub>2</sub> (fold-change)	P-value	Regulation	Function
Methane assimilation	ртоВ	EQU24_19300	1.32	1.20E-04	Up	Particulate methane monooxygenase alpha
						subunit
	ртоА	EQU24_19305	1.53	1.59E-04	Up	Particulate methane monooxygenase beta
						subunit
	eno	EQU24_13315	1.02	1.16E-03	Up	Phosphopyruvate hydratase
Ribosome small subunit synthesis	rpsL	EQU24_03850	1.37	4.42E-04	Up	30S ribosomal protein S12
	rpsG	EQU24_03855	1.11	7.55E-03	Up	30S ribosomal protein S7
	rpsK	EQU24_03990	1.04	2.30E-04	Up	30S ribosomal protein S11
	rpsI	EQU24_18070	1.30	6.12E-03	Up	30S ribosomal protein S9
Ribosome large subunit synthesis	rplJ	EQU24_03830	1.56	2.51E-04	Up	50S ribosomal protein L10
	rpIL	EQU24_03835	1.12	1.71E-03	Up	50S ribosomal protein L7/L12
	rplM	EQU24_18065	1.12	2.67E-02	Up	50S ribosomal protein L13
Regulation of nitrogen transportation	nifA	EQU24_17280	1.13	5.50E-03	Up	nif-specific transcriptional activator
	nifL	EQU24_17275	1.38	4.52E-02	Up	Nitrogen fixation negative regulator
	glnK	EQU24_07180	2.57	1.51E-04	Up	P-II family nitrogen regulator
	glnL	EQU24_07190	1.26	5.14E-03	Up	Nitrogen regulation protein NR(II)
	glnG	EQU24_07195	1.76	5.50E-05	Up	Nitrogen regulation protein NR(I)
Nitrogen assimilation	nrt	EQU24_08975	1.68	4.50E-03	Up	MFS transporter
	n.a.	EQU24_12620	3.02	2.82E-04	Up	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein
	n.a.	EQU24_12625	2.95	3.40E-05	Up	ABC transporter permease
	n.a.	EQU24_12630	2.99	2.64E-04	Up	Nitrate ABC transporter ATP-binding protein
	amtA	EQU24_07165	2.06	1.33E-05	Up	Ammonium transporter
	amtB	EQU24_07175	2.48	2.27E-04	Up	Ammonium transporter
	nasA	EQU24_12645	2.99	3.59E-05	Up	Nitrate reductase
	nirB	EQU24_08985	2.86	7.86E-05	Up	Nitrite reductase large subunit

# Table S4 Transcriptomic response to induction of oxygen deficiency.

	nirD	EQU24_08990	2.63	9.53E-05 U	р	Nitrite reductase small subunit
	n.a.	EQU24_12640	3.06	2.46E-04 U	р	FAD-dependent oxidoreductase
	gInA	EQU24_07185	1.48	4.13E-05 U	р	Glutamine synthetase
Terpenoid backbone synthesis	ispA	EQU24_15335	1.06	9.71E-03 U	р	(2E,6E)-farnesyl diphosphate synthase

**Note:** pairwise comparison of scenario III (sampled at the steady state under dilution rate 0.07 h<sup>-1</sup>) relative to scenario II (sampled at 32 h) was performed (n=2). Differentially expressed genes ( $\log_2(fold-change) \ge 1$ , *P*-value  $\le 0.05$ ) corresponding to Fig. 4 B and C and Fig. S3C were listed above, including 27 up-regulated genes. **n.a.**: not applicable.