## Supplementary Information

# Validation and Expansion of Sex Determination Method Through Analysis of Human Hair Using Electrothermal Vaporization Coupled to Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry 

Margaret MacConnachie ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and Diane Beauchemin

Fig. S1 PCA score plot using $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Zn as predictors. Red stars: female, blue circles: male.


Table S1 Predictions by LDA for the eight samples used as the statistical model with $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Zn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TW | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| LH | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| CW | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AT | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| FN | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| KB | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| RLAS | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| MS | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |

Table S2 Predictions by LDA for the 11 dyed hair samples, with undyed samples used as the statistical model with $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Zn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| JT | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| TH | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| SU | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| BS | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| EV | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| LN | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| MM | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AN | Male | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AC | Male | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| DH | Male | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |

Table S3 Predictions by LDA for both dyed and undyed hair samples, with dyed female samples and undyed male samples in the training set, using $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Zn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Dyed? | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TW | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.997 | Male |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.003 | Male |
| LH | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.922 | Male |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.078 | Male |
| CW | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.002 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.998 | Female |
| AT | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.962 | Male |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.038 | Male |
| SPN2 | Male | Undyed | Male | 0.998 | Male |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.002 | Male |
| BS | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.075 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.925 | Female |
| EV | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 | Female |
| LN | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.019 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.981 | Female |
| MM | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.088 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.912 | Female |
| AN | Male | Dyed | Male | 0.028 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.972 | Female |
| AC | Male | Dyed | Male | 0.164 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.936 | Female |
| DH | Male | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 | Female |

Table S4 Predictions by LDA for both dyed and undyed hair samples, with only dyed samples in the training set with $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Sr}$, and Zn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Dyed? | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BS | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| EV | Female | Dyed | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| LN | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| MM | Female | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| DH | Male | Dyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| TW | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| LH | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| CW | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AT | Female | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| FN | Male | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| KB | Male | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| RLAS | Male | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| MS | Male | Undyed | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |

Table $\mathbf{S 5}$ Predictions by LDA for the eight samples used as the statistical model with $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Sn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TW | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| LH | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| CW | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AT | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| FN | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| KB | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| RLAS | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| MS | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |

Table S6 Predictions by LDA for the 11 dyed hair samples, with undyed samples used as the statistical model with $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Sn as predictor elements.

| Sample | Known Group | Probabilities |  | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| AM | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| JT | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  | Female | 1.000 |  |  |
| TH | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| SU | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| BS | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| EV | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| LN | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| MM | Female | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| AN | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
| AC | Male | Male | 1.000 | Male |
| DH | Male | Female | 0.000 |  |
|  |  | Male | 1.000 | Male |

Table S7 LDA classification of samples belonging to close family groups, both with and without the inclusion of close family members in the training set, using $\mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and Sn as predictor elements.

| Family | Sample | Known Group | Inclusion of family members in model? | Prob | ities | Predicted Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MT | Female | No | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
|  | AT | Female | No | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
|  | MT | Female | Yes | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
|  | AT | Female | Yes | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
| 2 | MC | Male | No | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
|  | CC | Female | No | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
|  | RS | Female | No | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |
|  | MC | Male | Yes | Male | 1.000 | Male |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 0.000 |  |
|  | CC | Female | Yes | Male | 0.826 | Male |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 0.174 |  |
|  | RS | Female | Yes | Male | 0.000 | Female |
|  |  |  |  | Female | 1.000 |  |

