Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)†

Iron phthalocyanine integrated with boron-doped reduced graphene oxide for the highly selective four-electron oxygen reduction: An experimental study

Vikram Rathour,¹Smita Singh,¹ Varsha Singh,¹ Devesh Kumar Singh,² Mamta Yadav,¹ Ananya Tiwari,¹ and Vellaichamy Ganesan^{*,1}

 Department of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, UP, India

2. Kutir Post Graduate College, Chakkey, Jaunpur-222146, UP, India

E-mail: velganesh@yahoo.com and velgan@bhu.ac.in

*Corresponding author

Experimental section

Chemicals

Graphite flakes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, India. Boric acid (H_3BO_3), ethanol (C_2H_5OH), and potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) were purchased from Qualigens. Potassium hydroxide (KOH) and methanol were obtained from SRL. Sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), orthophosphoric acid (H_3PO_4), and *N*, *N* dimethylformamide (DMF) were purchased from S.D Fine Chemicals (India). Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was purchased from Merck, India.

Instrumentation

All electrochemical studies were done on CH Instruments (CHI-660C), USA and Squidstat potentiostats (Admiral Instruments, USA) with a three-electrode system. Glassy carbon rotating disc electrode (GC_{RDE}) is used as a working electrode for the study of activity and the kinetics of ORR. Rotating ring disc electrode with glassy carbon disc and gold ring (GC_{RRDE}) is used to study the four-electron selectivity of the materials. The surface area of GC_{RDE} is 0.196 cm² and the area of the disc and ring of GC_{RRDE} is 0.238 and 0.236 cm², respectively. (The disc diameter of GG_{RRDE} is 5.5 mm and the ring's outer and inner diameters are 8.5 and 6.5 mm.) A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) is used as a reference electrolyte, respectively. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were obtained from Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer using Cu- k α radiation (0.1541 nm) with a scan rate of 2 degrees per minute. Raman spectroscopy studies were done on Renishaw micro-Raman spectroscope using a (50%) 532 nm solid-state diode laser source in the range of 500-3000 cm⁻¹. FT-IR spectra were recorded over the range of 400-4000 cm⁻¹ on a Perkin Elmer spectrophotometer using the KBr pellet method. Transmission electron

microscopy (TEM) images were captured from a TECNAI 20 G² microscope operated at 200 kV. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images with energy dispersive X-ray (EDAX) elemental mapping analyses were carried out by SEM VEGA 3TESCAN and operated at 30 kV. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data of different elements were recorded on Physical Electronics, PHI 5000VersaProbe III. The formulas used are given in Equations S1 and S2. $E_{RHE} = E_{SCE} + 0.059 \times pH + 0.241 V$ (S1)

(To convert the observed potentials with respect to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale)

The Koutecky-Levich (K-L) equation is as follows

$$j^{-1} = j_{K}^{-1} + j_{L}^{-1} = \omega^{-1/2} B^{-1} + j_{K}^{-1} \dots (S2)$$

j = observed current density, $j_k = (kC_onF)$ kinetic current density, $\omega =$ angular rotation of the electrode, $B = (0.62C_onFu^{1/6}D^{2/3}) =$ Levich constant. The other symbols have the usual meaning and are produced from literature.¹

To calculate the number of electrons and percentage of H_2O_2 produced using the RRDE experiment (Equations S3 and S4) disk electrode was scanned in the potential of ORR region (1.1 to 0.2 V *vs* RHE) and the disk current is denoted as (I_D) and ring electrode was kept at 1.5 V *vs* RHE and ring current is denoted as I_R. The collection efficiency (N) of the RRDE ring electrode is 0.3.²

Number of electrons =
$$4 \times I_D / (I_D + (I_R/N))$$
 ...(S3)

%
$$H_2O_2 \text{ produced} = [(I_R/N)/(I_D+(I_R/N))] \times 200 \dots (S4)$$

Synthesis of the materials

Graphene oxide (GO) was synthesized by the modified Hummers method. In this method, 1.0 g graphite powder was mixed with 6.0 g KMnO₄ and ground with a mortar and pestle. In a 500 mL beaker, 120.0 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄ was mixed with 13.0 mL of concentrated H₃PO₄. The first mixture of graphite and KMnO₄ was slowly added to the beaker containing the acids and the temperature was maintained at 50 °C. The mixture was stirred magnetically for 4 h and then cooled to 3-5 °C using ice. To the ice-cold mixture, 5.0 mL H₂O₂ was added very slowly with string. The mixture was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for a few min and the material was washed with water until the pH of the washings became 7.0. The residue, graphene oxide (GO) was collected and dried at 40 °C.³⁻⁴ To prepare boron-doped reduced GO (B-RGO), 200.0 mg of boric acid is mixed with 50.0 mg of GO in a water-ethanol mixture (20.0, 8.0 mL respectively) and sonicated for 10 min and put in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 160 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, the materials were filtered and washed with ethanol and water.^{5,6} Immobilization of FePc on B-RGO was achieved by mixing 50.0 mg B-RGO and 5.0 mg FePc in 20.0 mL DMF and equilibrating for 24 h by continuous magnetic stirring. The formed product (FePc@B-RGO) was washed with water and DMF and dried at room temperature. In a similar way, FePc@RGO was also prepared, however, replacing B-RGO with RGO. The suspensions (5 mg in 1.0 mL DMF, *i.e.*, 0.5 %) of RGO, B-RGO, FePc@RGO, FePc@B-RGO, and Pt/C in DMF were prepared and sonicated for 45 min to modify the electrodes. The cleaned electrodes were coated with the respective suspensions to get the respective material-modified electrodes. The catalyst loadings for GC_{RDE} and GC_{RRDE} are approximately the same (357 μ g/cm²).



Fig. S1 Powder XRD patterns (A), Raman spectra (B), and FT-IR spectra (C) of RGO (a), B-RGO (b), FePc@RGO (c), FePc@B-RGO (d), and FePc (e).





Fig. S2 TEM images of FePc@B-RGO at different magnifications (scale: 200 nm (A) and 500 nm (B)).



Fig. S3 SEM mapping images (A-G) and EDAX spectrum (H) of FePc@B-RGO.



Fig. S4 N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of (A) RGO, (B) B-RGO, (C) FePc@RGO, and (D) FePc@B-RGO.



Fig. S5 CV responses of (a) RGO, (b) B-RGO, (c) FePc@RGO, and (d) FePc@B-RGO in N₂ saturated in 0.1 M KOH at 20 mVs⁻¹ scan rate (A), CV responses of FePc@RGO (B), and FePc@B-RGO (C) recorded in N₂ saturated 0.1 M KOH at 50 mVs⁻¹ scan rate.



Fig. S6 LSV response of RGO (A), B-RGO (B), FePc@RGO (C), and Pt/C (D) in basic medium showing the disc current in the potential window of 0.0 to 1.0 V vs. RHE and ring current at an applied potential of 1.5 V vs. RHE and the corresponding plots (E-H, respectively) showing the percentage of H_2O_2 formed and the number of electrons transferred during the ORR.



Fig. S7 (A) Methanol tolerance study: LSV responses of FePc@B-RGO (a, a') and Pt/C (b, b') before (a', b') and after (a, b) the addition of 3.0 M methanol. (B) Stability study: Amperometry response of FePc@B-RGO for 7200 s at -0.5 V (vs. SCE).



Fig. S8 CV response of FePc@B-RGO catalyst in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH for 7000 continuous cycles (A) and after a 10 min break, further 3000 CV cycles (B). LSV curves before and after 10,000 CV cycles (C) measured in O₂-saturated 0.1 M KOH with a scan rate of 5 mVs⁻¹ and at a rotation rate of 1600 rpm.

Material	Support material	Eonset (RHE)	E _{1/2} (RHE)	References
FePc ^A	RGO ^E	0.90 V	-	S7
FePc	ERGO ^F	0.92 V	-	S 8
FePc	NGA ^G	0.92 V	-	S9
FeTPPc ^B	Gr ^H	0.88 V	-	S10
TQFePc ^C	RGO/CB ^I	0.88 V	-	S11
FePc	CNT N ^J	0.95 V	0.84 V	S12
FePc(CP) ₄ ^D	Gr ^H	0.81 V	-	S13
FePc	B-RGO ^K	0.95 V	0.85 V	This work
FePc	MCN ^L	0.93 V	-	S14

 Table S1.
 Comparison of the ORR efficiency of FePc@B-RGO with other catalysts in terms

 of onset potential

^A Iron phthalocyanine; ^B Iron(II) tetrapyridyloxyphthalocyanine; ^C Tetra-β-(8-quinolinoxy) iron phthalocyanine; ^D Iron(III) tetracumylphenoxy phthalocyanine; ^E Reduced graphene oxide; ^F Electrochemical reduced graphene oxide; ^G Nitrogen-doped graphene aerogel; ^H Graphene; ^I Carbon black; ^J Nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes; ^K Boron-doped reduced graphene oxide; ^LMesoporous carbon nitride.

References

- S1 P. K. Sonkar, K. Prakash, M. Yadav, V. Ganesan, M. Sankar, R. Gupta and D. K. Yadav,
 J. Mater. Chem. A, 2017, 5, 6263–6276.
- S2 D. K. Singh, M. Natchimuthu Karuppusamy, A. Shrivastava, T. Palanisamy, I. Sinha and
 V. Ganesan, ACS Catal., 2023, 4155–4167.
- M. Yadav, V. Ganesan, R. Gupta, D. K. Yadav and P. K. Sonkar, *Microchem. J.*, 2019, 146, 881–887.
- S4 C. Nethravathi, C. R. Rajamathi, M. Rajamathi, X. Wang, U. K. Gautam, D. Golberg andY. Bando, *ACS Nano*, 2014, 8, 2755–2765.
- S5 Y. Tian, C. Deng, Z. Sun, Y. Zhao, T. Tan, F. Yin and X. Wang, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 2018, 13, 3441–3451.
- V. Thirumal, A. Pandurangan, R. Jayavel and R. Ilangovan, *Synth. Met.*, 2016, 220, 524–532.
- S7 Y. Jiang, Y. Lu, X. Lv, D. Han, Q. Zhang, L. Niu and W. Chen, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1263–1271.
- S8 T. Taniguchi, H. Tateishi, S. Miyamoto, K. Hatakeyama, C. Ogata, A. Funatsu, S.
 Hayami, Y. Makinose, N. Matsushita, M. Koinuma and Y. Matsumoto, *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.*, 2013, **30**, 1063–1070.
- S9 H. Yin, C. Zhang, F. Liu and Y. Hou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, 24, 2930–2937.
- S10 L. Cui, G. Lv and X. He, J. Power Sources, 2015, 282, 9–18.
- S11 S. Wang and X. L. Song, *Dye. Pigment.*, 2021, **189**, 109254.
- S12 M. A. C. de Oliveira, V. C. A. Ficca, R. Gokhale, C. Santoro, B. Mecheri, A. D'Epifanio,
 S. Licoccia and P. Atanassov, *J. Solid State Electrochem.*, 2021, 25, 93–104.

- S13 L. Cui, G. Lv, Z. Dou and X. He, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **106**, 272–278.
- S14 D. K. Singh, V. Ganesan, D. K. Yadav and M. Yadav, *Langmuir*, 2020, **36**, 12202–12212.