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## General Information

All commercially available reagents and solvents were purchased and used directly without any further treatment unless specified. Solvents for chromatography were laboratory grade. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using Supelco silica gel aluminum sheets with $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ indicator, visualized by irradiation with UV light. Column chromatography was performed using Acros Organics silica gel $60 \AA$ (particle size $0.035-0.070 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Thermo Scientific HAAKE EK90 with an acetone bath in Dewar vessel was applied together to cool reactions to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Preparative HPLC was performed using BÜCHI Pure C-850 FlashPrep equipped with a C18 column (Macherey-Nagel, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 125 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). Analytical HPLC-MS was performed by using Agilent 1200 Infinity II LC system equipped with a C18 column (Agilent Poroshell 120, $2.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $0.4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, temperature: $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and Agilent InfinityLab LC/MSD G6125C, and the compounds were eluted with a linear gradient from $5 \%$ to $95 \%$ (percentage of B. A: $0.1 \%$ TFA in H2O; B: $0.1 \%$ TFA in ACN) over 20 min . The whole UV-VIS spectrum was recorded during the run, and the selected channel (210 / 254 nm ), was used to plot and calculate the purity of the samples. High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on a LTQ-XL Orbitrap mass spectrometer coupled to an Accela HPLC System (HPLC column: Hypersyl GOLD, $50 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}, 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$, COSYNMR, HSQC-NMR, HMBC-NMR were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD NanoBay ( 400 MHz ) or a Bruker Avance III HD ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}, 700 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$. Chemical shifts (d) are reported in ppm, coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz), splitting patterns are indicated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet). Gels were imaged using a Bio-Rad ChemiDoc MP imaging system. Reverse transcription (RT) was performed by Eppendorf Mastercycler ep gradient, and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) was performed by Bio-Rad CFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System.

## Preparation of Small Molecules



S1
(a)


S2
(b)

(c)

S4


S5
(e)


S6


Supplemental scheme 1. Synthetic scheme for compound 2. (a) NaH, 1,3-dibromopropane, DMSO, rt, 24 h ; (b) Phenyl formate, phenol, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HBF}_{4}, \mathrm{Net}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN} 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sealed tube, 24 h ; (c) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (d) $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{TBAB}, \mathrm{DCE} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt; (f) $\mathrm{HSO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$; (g) Pyridine, DCM, rt; (h) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, DIPEA, THF, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 1-(3-Bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)cyclobutane-1carbonitrile (S2)


$\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq})$ was added portion wise to a stirring solution containing 2-(3-Bromo-4-methoxyphenyl)acetonitrile (S1, $1.13 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and 1,3dibromopropane ( $612 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMSO ( 50 mL ). After the reaction was stirred for 16 h at rt , the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{EtOAc}_{\mathrm{Ct}}^{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$, then the organic phase was washed with water ( 3 X ). The aqueous layer was back extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (sat.), , concentrated, and purified by normal phase column chromatography (petroleum ether/EtOAc gradient from 19:1 to 0:1) to provide the $\mathbf{S 2}$ as yellowish oil ( $867 \mathrm{mg}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.30$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ )
LRMS: calculated for [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrNONa}$ : 288.0, found: 287.8
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), $3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12-2.00 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (151 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 155.5, 133.3, 130.7, 125.9, 124.1, 112.2, 112.0, 56.4, $39.3,34.8,17.0 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Phenyl 5-(1-Cyanocyclobutyl)-2-methoxybenzoate (S3)



Phenyl formate ( $290 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}(558 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to a sealed tube reactor containing a solution of $\mathbf{S 2}(335 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(15.1$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.067 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{PH} \cdot \mathrm{BF}_{4}(78.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq})$ and phenol ( $117 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ with a stirring bar, then the reactor was sealed, heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 24 h . After the reaction cooled down to rt , the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite, and the filtrate was collected and concentrated. The residue was redissolved in EtOAc and washed with water, then the organic layer was collected, concentrated, and purified by normal phase column chromatography (petroleum ether / EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 0:1) to provide the product as a colorless solid ( $\mathbf{S 3}, 328.9 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.04$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ )
LRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : 308.1, found: 308.0
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 8.02$ (d, J = $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (dd, J=8.7, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.45 $-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.88-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.06 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (176 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 164.2, 159.5, 151.0, 131.8, 131.7, 129.6, 129.4, 126.0, $124.3,122.0,119.8,112.9,56.5,39.6,34.9,17.2$ ppm.

## Phenyl 5-(1-Cyanocyclobutyl)-2-hydroxybenzoate (S4)



A solution of $\mathbf{S 3}(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 1 ml ) was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an acetone bath cooling with HAAKE EK90 under Argon. $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ ( 1 M in hexane, 0.8 ml , $0.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise to the stirring solution, and the reaction was continued for another 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then the reaction was allowed to warm up to rt . After the reaction returned to rt , it was quenched by pouring over an ice/water slurry. The whole slurry was then extracted with EtOAc, then the organic phase was washed with water and brine successively. The organic phase was collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated, and purified by normal phase column chromatography (petroleum ether / EtOAc gradient from 19:1 to 0:1) to provide the product as a colorless oil ( $89.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 76.5 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.26$ (Petroleum ether: $\mathrm{EtOAc}=9: 1$ )
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 10.55$ (s, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.59 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.06 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 176 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 168.7, 161.9, 150.1, 133.9, 131.1, 129.9, 127.4, 126.8, $124.3,121.8,118.9,112.0,39.6,34.8,17.2 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Phenyl nitrobenzoate (S5)



Compound S4 ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was suspended in DCE ( $300 \mathrm{\mu l}$ ) and the solution was cooled using an ice/water bath. A solution of Tetrabutylammonium bromide ( 4.83 mg , $0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ and concentrated nitric acid ( $38 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added, followed by vigorous stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction was allowed to cool down to rt , then diluted with DCM, and the organic phase was washed with water, collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated, and purified by normal phase column chromatography to provide the product as pale-yellow solid ( $71.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.84$ (EtOAc)
LRMS: calculated for [ $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ : 361.1 , found: 361.0
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , Chloroform-d) $\delta 11.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $7.56-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-$ $2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.08 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta$ 167.1, 155.6, 149.7, 138.2, 133.6, 131.0, 130.0, 129.2, $127.2,123.1,121.5,116.4,39.3,34.8,17.2$ ppm.

## Phenyl 3-Amino-5-(1-cyanocyclobutyl)-2hydroxybenzoate (S6)



Compound S5 ( $66.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.196 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a slurry of $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ ( $5 \%$ by wt, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH} / E t O A c(1: 1,4 \mathrm{ml})$, then the hydrogenation was carried out by stirring under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere for 16 h . After the starting material was consumed (monitored by TLC), the reaction was filtered through a pad of celite, the filtrate was collected, concentrated and purified by normal phase column chromatography (petroleum ether / DCM gradient from 1:1 to $0: 1$, then DCM / EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 0:1) to give the product ( $27.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$, 45\%).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.19$ (DCM)
LRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 309.1, found: 309.0
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $10.94-9.55$ (br, s, 1H), $7.53-7.45$ (m, 2H), 7.38-7.30 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-4.65(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.62-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 5-Bromo-3-chloro-2-hydroxybenzenesulfonyl Chloride (S8)



Chlorosulfonic acid ( $932 \mu \mathrm{l}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was cooled down to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 4-bromo-2chlorophenol ( $\mathbf{S 7}, 514 \mathrm{mg}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added portion-wise and stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After warming to room temperature and stirring for 23 h , the reaction was quenched by carefully pouring over a slurry of ice, DCM, and brine. The whole slurry was extracted with DCM, and the organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated then purified by normal phase column chromatography (petroleum ether / DCM gradient from 1:1 to $0: 1$ ) to provide the pure product as white crystals ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$ yield).
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.89$ (DCM)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ 148.9, 133.5, 133.0, 128.2, 121.8, 109.2 ppm.

## 3-((5-Bromo-3-chloro-2-hydroxyphenyl)sulfonamido)-5-(1-cyanocyclobutyl)-2-hydroxy-N-methylbenzamide (7k)



S8 ( $33.6 \mathrm{mg}, 110 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and pyridine ( $21.8 \mu \mathrm{l}, 270 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added to compound $\mathbf{S 6}$ ( $27.4 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DCM ( 0.45 ml ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. Additional compound $\mathbf{S 8}(16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.6 \mathrm{eq})$ and pyridine ( $7.3 \mu \mathrm{l}, 90 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added and the mixture was stirred for another 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with

EtOAc, and washed with $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}_{(\text {(aq) }}$ and brine. The organic layer was collected, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure, then purified by normal phase column chromatography ( MeOH / DCM gradient from 0:1 to 3:17) to give the intermediate product $\mathbf{S 9}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 35 \%$ yield).

To a solution of Compound $\mathbf{S 9}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}(2 \mathrm{M}$ in methanol, $86 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 173 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in one portion. The reaction was sealed and stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction was concentrated, then purified by preparative HPLC ( $0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ / $0.1 \%$ TFA in ACN gradient from 18:7 to 1:49) to give the product $\mathbf{S 1 0}(6.49 \mathrm{mg}, 12.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 37 \%$ yield).

Retention time by analytical HPLC: 15.21 min
Purity of product by analytical HPLC: 98.0\% (UV 254 nm )
HRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}^{37} \mathrm{ClS}$ : 515.98041, found: 515.98074
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz, DMSO- $_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00$ - 1.92 (m, 1H).
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( 176 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 169.5,153.4,150.5,136.5,130.3,129.6,129.2,125.6,124.3$, $124.09,123.98,120.8,114.5,109.5,38.9,33.7,26.0,16.6$ ppm.

## Preparation of peptides

In general, peptides were synthesized through the conventional Fmoc strategy. Rink Amide AM resin ( $0.3-0.6 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) was used as solid support. The reactions were conducted at room temperature unless otherwise specified. Isolated yields were calculated by dividing the amount of purified product by the amount of resin loading.

## Synthesis of WIN peptide

Peptide synthesis was performed using an automated Syro I parallel peptide synthesizer. Fmoc was deprotected by treating the resin with $25 \%$ Piperidine in DMF for 3 min twice. The new amino acid was coupled by treating resin with 4 eq amino acids, 4 eq PyBOP, and 8 eq DIPEA in DMF for 1 h . Ac capping was performed by treating resin with 10 eq $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 10 eq DIPEA in DMF for 15 min .

## Synthesis of FAM-labeled WIN peptide (1F)

[^0]Fmoc-Lys(Alloc)-OH was used as an orthogonally protected residue that could be selectively deprotected for FAM labeling. After the linear sequence was synthesized by the synthesizer, the Fmoc on the N -terminus was removed and capped with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10 eq) and DIPEA (10 eq) in DMF for 30 min . The alloc group on the lysine was deprotected by treating resin with 0.25 eq $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 25$ eq $\mathrm{PhSiH}_{3}$ in DCM for 30 min twice ${ }^{1}$, then the resin was washed with $0.5 \%$ sodium diethyldithiocarbamate in DMF for 5 min and a total of five times. FAM labeling was carried out by treating the resin with 5-FAM (2 eq), PyBOP (2 eq), and DIPEA (3 eq) in DMF for 24 h .

After the sequence was ready, peptides were cleaved from the resin by treating the resin in a solution of TFA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TIPS}(95 / 2.5 / 2.5)$ for 1 h . After the cleavage was done, the resin was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated by blowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ over the surface followed by precipitation of the peptide in cold diethyl ether three times. The product was then purified by preparative HPLC equipped with a Macherey-Nagel column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 125 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), eluting with a linear gradient from $10 \%$ to $60 \%$ (percentage of B. A: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; B: $0.1 \%$ TFA in ACN) over 60 min . The elution was monitored at 254 nm . The pure fractions were pooled together and lyophilized to give the desired product ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 3.1 \%$ ).

Retention time by analytical HPLC: 8.607 min
Purity of product by analytical HPLC: 98.6\% (UV 254 nm )
HRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{+2} \mathrm{C}_{91} \mathrm{H}_{138} \mathrm{O}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{24}$ : 975.51524, found: 975.51661

## Synthesis of non-labeled WIN peptide $\left(\mathbf{1 N H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$

Ac-A-R-T-E-V-H-L-R-K-S—NH2
After the linear sequence was synthesized by the synthesizer, the Fmoc on the N -terminus was removed and capped with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 10 eq .) and DIPEA ( 10 eq .) in DMF for 30 min .

After the sequence was ready, peptides were cleaved from the resin by treating the resin in a solution of TFA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TIPS ( $95 / 2.5 / 2.5$ ) for 1 h . After the cleavage was done, the resin was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated by blowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ over the surface followed by precipitation of the peptide in cold diethyl ether three times. The product was then purified by preparative HPLC equipped with a Macherey-Nagel column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 125 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), eluting with a linear gradient from $10 \%$ to $60 \%$ (percentage of B. A: $0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; B: $0.1 \%$ TFA in ACN) over 60 min . The elution was monitored at 210 nm . The pure fractions were pooled together and lyophilized to give the desired product ( $53.1 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ yield).

Retention time on analytical HPLC: 6.322 min
Purity of product on analytical HPLC: 96.7\% (UV 210 nm )

## Synthesis cMYC-cyclic peptide

The peptide was synthesized using the same protocol as described in our previous publication ${ }^{2}$. Peptide synthesis was performed using an automated PurePep Chorus parallel peptide synthesizer. Fmoc was deprotected by treating resin with $25 \%$ Piperidine in DMF for 3 min twice at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Amino acids were coupled by treating resin with 5 eq amino acid, 5 eq HCTU, and 10 eq DIPEA in DMF for 10 min at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ac capping was performed by treating the resin with 10 eq $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 10 eq DIPEA in DMF for 10 min .

Allyl/Alloc protecting groups were used for selective side chain protection. The elongation of peptides was paused when the second amino acid position for cyclization was reached. An oNs protecting strategy was used to prevent premature N-terminal Fmoc deprotection during cyclization. Fmoc was deprotected with $25 \%$ piperidine in DMF for 10 min twice. Reprotection was performed by treating resin in 4 eq o-NsCl, 5 eq 2,4,6-collidine, and 5 eq DMAP in DMF for 15 min twice ${ }^{2,3}$. The side chain was deprotected by treating resin with 0.1 eq $\mathrm{Pd}^{\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4},}$ 0.4 eq $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, and 8 eq Pyrrolidine in DCM for $1 \mathrm{~h}^{2,4}$. Then the resin was washed with $0.5 \%$ sodium diethyldithiocarbamate in DMF for 5 min and a total of five times. The carboxylic group on the side chain was activated by treating the resin with 2 eq PyAOP, and 2 eq HOAt in DMF for 15 min , then 4 eq $2,4,6$-collidine was added directly to the reaction and continued the reaction for 48 h . Capping of the unreacted side chain was carried out by treating the resin in 10 eq $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 10 eq DIPEA in DMF for 30 min . o-Ns group was deprotected by treating resin with 10 eq 2-mercaptoethanol and 5 eq of DBU in DMF for 16 h . Sequence elongation was then continued after cyclization and $o$-Ns deprotection were done.

## Synthesis of FITC-labeled cMYC-cyclic peptide (2F)



Sequences were further elongated with Fmoc-O2Oc-OH after the sequence was done. Fmoc was deprotected with $25 \%$ Piperidine in DMF for 10 min twice. FITC labeling was performed by treating resin with 2 eq 6 -FITC, 4 eq DIPEA in DMF for 16 h .

After the sequence was ready, peptides were cleaved from the resin by treating the resin in a solution of TFA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TIPS ( $95 / 2.5 / 2.5$ ) for 1 h . After the cleavage was done, the resin was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated by blowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ over the surface followed by precipitation of the peptide in cold diethyl ether three times. The product was then purified by preparative HPLC equipped with a Macherey-Nagel column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 125 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), eluting with a linear gradient from $5 \%$ to $60 \%$ (percentage of B . A: 15 mM $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3(\text { aq. ) }} ; \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) over 60 min . The elution was monitored at 254 nm . The pure fractions were pooled together and lyophilized to give the desired products ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 7.8 \%$ ).

Retention time on analytical HPLC: 10.70 min
Purity of product on analytical HPLC: 96.0\% (UV 254 nm )
HRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} \mathrm{C}_{80} \mathrm{H}_{108} \mathrm{O}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{15} \mathrm{~S}: 1790.70992$, found: 1790.71017

## Synthesis of none-labeled cMYC-cyclic peptide (2Ac)



After the sequence was fully elongated, Fmoc was deprotected with $25 \%$ Piperidine in DMF for 10 min twice. Ac capping was performed by treating resin with 10 eq $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10$ eq DIPEA and DMF for 30 min .

After the sequence was ready, peptides were cleaved from the resin by treating the resin in a solution of TFA/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TIPS ( $95 / 2.5 / 2.5$ ) for 1 h . After the cleavage was done, the resin was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated by blowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ over the surface followed by precipitation of the peptide in cold diethyl ether three times. The product was then purified by preparative HPLC equipped with a Macherey-Nagel column ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 125 \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, flow rate: $20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), eluting with a linear gradient from $5 \%$ to $60 \%$ (percentage of B. A: 15 mM $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HCO}_{3(\text { aq. ) }}$; MeOH ) over 60 min . The elution was monitored at 210 nm . The pure fractions were pooled together and lyophilized to give the desired products ( $8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 12.5 \%$ ).

Retention time on analytical HPLC: 8.746 min
Purity of product on analytical HPLC: >99.5\% (UV 210 nm )
HRMS: calculated for $[\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}]^{+2} \mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{89} \mathrm{O}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{13}$ : 649.80916, found: 649.80998

## Recombinant protein expression and purification

WDR5 ${ }^{\text {WT}}$-FLAG ( $\Delta 1-21$ ) and WDR5 ${ }^{\text {F266A-FLAG ( } \Delta 1-21 \text { ) were expressed in BL21 DE RIL }}$ Escherichia coli. E. coli was grown in LB medium supplemented with $0.1 \%$ ampicillin and the expression was induced with $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ isopropyl-beta-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After centrifugation, the pellets were resuspended in Buffer A additionally supplemented with 1 mM PMSF and a spatula tip of DNase I (Roche, \#04716728001), then disrupted using a sonicator CL-334 ( $70 \%$ amplitude, 10 s pulse with 6 min interval) while the solution was kept cold by placing it on ice. The insoluble cell components were pelleted by centrifugation at 60000 rcf under $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The supernatant containing the desired protein was filtered with a $0.22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter, loaded on HisTrap HP His tag protein
purification column (Cytiva, \#17524801) with Buffer A and eluted by a linear gradient to Buffer B ( $100 \%, 100 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The desired fractions were collected, pooled together, and dialysis with Prescission-3C-protease ( $3.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The dialyzed protein was then purified by reverse Ni-NTA with a linear gradient of Buffer A to Buffer B in 50 min and concentrated with an Amicon ${ }^{\circledR}$ ultra centrifugation filter ( $4000 \mathrm{rcf}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The concentrated protein was cleared by centrifugation ( $10 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20000 \mathrm{rcf}$ ), and the supernatant was loaded directly on a Superdex $7516 / 600$ column eluting with SEC buffer (isocratic, flow $=1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ). For each purification step, the protein of interest was analyzed by $15 \%$ SDS-Page polyacrylamide gels and staining by Coomassie Blue staining solution.

## Fluorescence Polarization

Fluorescence polarization was measured using a Tecan Spark Microplate Reader with 384well plates (Corning, \#4514). The assay buffer contained 25 mM HEPES, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.01 \%$ Triton-X and $1 \%$ DMSO at pH 7.0. Monochromator was applied for the fluorescence measurement and the excitation wavelength was set to 485 nm with a bandwidth of 20 nm , while the emission wavelength was set to 535 nm with a bandwidth of 20 nm . All FP experiments were performed as two biological replicates of two technical replicates each.

## FP measurement for direct binding assay

A 15-point dose-response curve was generated for direct binding assays by titrating a twofold dilution series of protein against fixed FITC-labelled peptide ( 0.6 nM or 5 nM ). A sample of protein-free corresponding FITC-labelled peptide in the assay buffer was included as nonbinding control for each set of replicates. After the plate was prepared, it was sealed and incubated at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before measurement. The raw value of fluorescence polarization was used, and $K_{D}$ (dissociation constant) was calculated by fitting with GraphPad Prism 9 using the [Agonist] vs. Response - variable slope (four parameter) function for curve fitting.

Supplemental Table 1. Concentration of the components in FP direct binding assay.

| Condition | Starting protein concentration <br> (two-fold dilution) | Probe concentration <br> (Fixed concentration) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| FLAG-WDR5 ${ }^{\text {WT}-W I N ~}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.6 \mathrm{nM}(\mathbf{1 F})$ |
| FLAG-WDR5 ${ }^{\text {WT}}$-WBM | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{nM}(\mathbf{2 F})$ |
| FLAG-WDR5 | F26A-WIN | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| FLAG-WDR5 ${ }^{\text {F266A-WBM }}$ | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $5.0 \mathrm{nM}(\mathbf{1 F})$ |

## FP measurement for competitive assay

Competitive fluorescence polarization measurements were performed by 2 -fold dilution series of the inhibitor against a fixed concentration of WDR5 and tracer. The result was
plotted using a logarithmic $x$-axis and normalized using the theoretical maximum and minimum binding values as 100 and $0 \%$ respectively. The raw value of fluorescence polarization was used, and $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was calculated by fitting with $\log$ (Inhibitor) vs. normalized response - variable slope function for curve fitting of GraphPad Prism for each individual replicate. The IC50 values was then converted to the $K_{l}$ by the method reported by NikolovskaColeska et al ${ }^{5}$. using the following equation:
$K_{I}=[I]_{50} /\left(\frac{[L]_{50}}{K_{D}}+\frac{[P]_{0}}{K_{D}}+1\right)$
In this equation, $[1]_{50}$ is the concentration of the unbound inhibitor at $50 \%$ inhibition, $[\mathrm{L}]_{50}$ is the concentration of the unbound tracer at $50 \%$ inhibition, $[\mathrm{P}]_{0}$ refers to the concentration of the free protein without any inhibition and $K_{D}$ is the affinity between tracer and protein. The calculations were made using the excel sheet provided by the authors which can be found at: http://websites.umich.edu/~shaomengwanglab/software/calc ki/index.html

After all Ki values were calculated for a single compound they were averaged and the error was calculated as the standard deviation.

Supplemental Table 2. Concentration of the components in competitive FP binding assay.

| Testing site | Inhibitor | Inhibitor conc. (two-fold dilution) | Protein conc. (WDR5 ${ }^{\text {WT }}$, fixed) | Probe conc. (fixed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WIN | 1 $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 2 nM | $0.6 \mathrm{nM}(1 \mathrm{~F})$ |
| WIN | OICR-9429 | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 2 nM | 0.6 nM (1F) |
| WIN | 2Ac | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 2 nM | 0.6 nM (1F) |
| WIN | 7k | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 2 nM | 0.6 nM (1F) |
| WBM | 1 $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 500 nM | 5.0 nM (2F) |
| WBM | OICR-9429 | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 500 nM | $5.0 \mathrm{nM}(2 \mathrm{~F})$ |
| WBM | 2Ac | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 500 nM | 5.0 nM (2F) |
| WBM | 7k | $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 500 nM | 5.0 nM (2F) |

## Cell line culturing

U-2 OS (DSMZ, ACC 785) was grown in DMEM high glucose medium (Sigma-Aldrich, \#D6429) supplemented with 10\% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Cellsera, \#AU-FBSPG) and 1\% PenicillinStreptomycin (Pen-Strep, Gibco, \#15140-122) in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ under $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The subcultivation ratio followed the suggestion from ATCC.

MDA-MB-231 (DSMZ, ACC 732) were grown in DMEM, high glucose, GlutaMAX supplement, pyruvate (Gibco, 31966-021) supplemented with 10\% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS, Cellsera, \#AUFBSPG) and $1 \%$ Penicillin-Streptomycin (Pen-Strep, Gibco, \#15140-122) in a humidified atmosphere with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ under $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The subcultivation ratio followed the suggestion from ATCC.

## Total RNA isolation

For isolation of total RNA, cells in a Petri dish were washed with PBS (Gibco, \#10010-023), trypsinized ( $0.05 \%$ Trypsin, Gibco, \#25300-054), followed by pelleting of the cells by centrifugation ( $3 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{rt}, 200 \mathrm{rcf}$ ). The pellet was then washed with PBS, resuspended in TRIzol (Invitrogen, \#15596026), and the protocol from the manufacturer was followed to obtain total RNA isolates.

## RNA immunoprecipitation (RNA-IP)

Total RNA isolates, purified proteins, and inhibitors were obtained as previously described. The protocol was adapted from Fuentes-Iglesias et $a^{6}$. $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ANTI-FLAG ${ }^{\circledR}$ M2 Magnetic Beads (Millipore, \#M8823) were washed with RIP Buffer C, then loaded with target protein ( $0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, in Buffer C) supplemented with $0.1 \%$ IGEPAL, $0.1 \%$ BSA (Serva, \#11930.03) and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ yeast tRNA (Biosciences, 058 Y ) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After the incubation, the beads were washed with $0.1 \%$ IGEPAL in Buffer C five times. $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of total RNA isolated from U-2 OS or MDA-MB-231, w/wo inhibitor, and $0.1 \%$ DMSO in RNA-immunoprecipitation buffer were incubated with protein-beads complex at rt for 1 h . After the incubation, the beads were washed with RNA-immunoprecipitation buffer 5 times and then rinsed with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase free water once. The RNA/protein bound beads were resuspended in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase free water. From this resuspended solution, $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was transferred and mixed with 2 X Laemmli buffer, loaded on a $15 \%$ SDS-PAGE polyacrylamide gel to analyze the protein loading of each sample. The other $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was treated with $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TRIzol following the TRIzol protocol to extract the RNA. After the extraction process, the RNA pellet was dissolved in $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of RNase free water and used for RT-qPCR analysis. A sample of $0.5 \mu$ R RNA input underwent the same treatment starting from resuspension in $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNAse free water, including sampling for gel and TRIzol extraction, to control for sample loss between RIP product and non-treated RNA input.

## IncRNA expression level assay

MDA-MB-231 cells were seeded ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/well for one-day treatment, or $5 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well for three-day treatment.) in a Biolite 12 well Multidish (Thermo Scientific, 130185) for one day $\left(37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$. After the cells were settled, the selected compound concentration or blank (DMSO 0.1\%) was prepared in the fresh normal growing medium. Then the old growing medium was changed into the selected medium and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for the desired incubation time.

# Quantitative reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) 

cDNA was synthesized by using high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, 4368814) following the manufacturer's protocol. For RNA from RIP, all the RNA for each sample was directly put in the reaction with a reaction volume of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. For samples originating from cell treatment, the concentration of RNA was determined by nanodrop, then $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA was put in $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of reaction to generate the cDNA. After cDNA was generated, it was analyzed by PowerUp ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ SYBR $^{\text {TM }}$ Green Master Mix (Applied Bioscience, \#A25742) via the fast-cycling mode and supplemented with 500 nM for both forward and reverse primer according to the target amplicon.

## Data analysis

## Percentage of enrichment for RNA-IP

Percentage of enrichment was calculated by normalization with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}(50 \%)$ of RNA input. The percentage of enrichment was calculated by the method reported by Fuentes-Iglesias et $a l^{6}$. In short, the difference of Ct between the sample after enrichment and the $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of RNA input (compensated with the percentage of dilution, $50 \%$ ) was calculated.
$C t_{\text {sample }}-\left(C t_{\text {input }}-\log _{2}(1 /\right.$ percentage of dilution $\left.)\right)=\Delta C t_{\text {corrected }}$
Then the percentage of target RNA enrichment from the RNA pool can be calculated by the following equation:

$$
\text { Percentage of enrichment }=2^{-\Delta C t} \text { corrected } \times 100 \%
$$

The student t-test was carried out by putting the average of mean (Mean) and the standard deviation (SD) with number of replicates (N) into GraphPad prism 9 and calculated from multiple unpaired t-tests with no assumption about consistent SDs (Welch t-test) for each set of comparisons.

## Fold change of gene expression level

The raw Ct value observed from the qPCR instrument was used in $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ method to calculate the fold change of target gene expression level and GAPDH was used as the internal reference gene ${ }^{7}$. In short, the expression level of target gene was first normalized to GAPDH, then normalized to the expression level of the blank to give $\Delta \Delta C t_{\text {sample }}^{\text {gene }}$.
$C t^{\text {gene }}-C t^{G A P D H}=\Delta C t^{\text {gene }}$
$\Delta C t_{\text {sample }}^{\text {gene }}-\Delta C t_{\text {blank }}^{\text {gene }}=\Delta \Delta C t_{\text {sample }}^{\text {gene }}$
Then the fold change was calculated from the following equation:

Fold change $=2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ sample $\times 100 \%$
Each data point was represented by the mean of individual bioreplicates, and the standard deviation was calculated from the group of mean by Graphpad prism 9 automatically. The significance of the difference of each sample to the reference was calculated by Graphpad prism 9 using the one sample student t-test and the target value was set to one (reference was normalized to one), and the significance of the difference between samples was calculated by Graphpad prism 9 using t-test with settings of Welcher's corrections (unpaired, two-tailed).

## Appendix

## Buffer recipe list:

Buffer A:
50 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM Imidazole, 0.1 mM PMSF, 1 mM TCEP, $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$ Buffer B:

50 mM HEPES, $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 500 \mathrm{mM}$ Imidazole, 1 mM TCEP, $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$
Buffer C:
25 mM HEPES, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH}=7.0$
RNA-immunoprecipitation buffer ${ }^{6}$ :
25 mM Tris-HCl, $150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $0.1 \%$ IGEPAL, $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ BSA, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ yeast tRNA, $80 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ Recombinant RNasin Ribonuclease Inhibitor (freshly added, Promega, \#N251A), pH 7.5

SEC Buffer:
50 mM HEPES, $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $\mathrm{pH}=8.0$
FP assay buffer:
25 mM HEPES, 150 mM NaCl, 0.01\% Triton-X and 1\% DMSO, pH 7.0

## Primer List

| GAPDH_Fw | AGCCACATCGCTCAGACAC ${ }^{8}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| GAPDH_Rev | GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC ${ }^{8}$ |
| U6_Fw | CTCGCTTCGGCAGCACATATAC ${ }^{9}$ |
| U6_Rev | GGAACGCTTCACGAATTTGC ${ }^{9}$ |
| HOTTIP_Fw | TACCGGAATAGTGCTGGGGA ${ }^{10}$ |
| HOTTIP_Rev | TGCGTGCTGCTCTGAGTTTA ${ }^{10}$ |
| HOXC13-AS_Fw | GAAACTGCATTTCCTGGGGC ${ }^{11}$ |
| HOXC13-AS_Rev | GGCTGGAGTCTTTGTCCTCC ${ }^{11}$ |
| FOXD3-AS1_Fw | GCGAGGATGTGTGGCCAAT ${ }^{11}$ |
| FOXD3-AS1_Rev | AGACAGGGATTGGGTTCCGT ${ }^{11}$ |


| TGF- $\beta 1 \_$_Fw | CGTGGAGCTGTACCAGAAATAC ${ }^{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| TGF- $\beta 1 \_$Rev | CACAACTCCGGTGACATCAA ${ }^{12}$ |

## HPLC analysis of compounds



Supplemental Figure 1: HPLC analysis of 1F (UV 254 nm)



Supplemental Figure 3: HPLC analysis of 2F (UV 254 nm )


Supplemental Figure 4: HPLC analysis of 2Ac (UV 210 nm )


Supplemental Figure 5: HPLC analysis of molecule 7k (UV 254 nm )

## Normal IncRNA expression level in different cell lines

Supplemental Table 3. Normal Ct value of the selected IncRNA in the cell line without any treatment.

| Cell line | U-2 OS | MDA-MB-231 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ct of GAPDH (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $15.43 \pm 0.15$ | $15.66 \pm 0.11$ |
| Ct of U6 (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $19.62 \pm 0.04$ | $19.44 \pm 0.12$ |
| Ct of HOTTIP (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $27.82 \pm 0.19$ | $29.70 \pm 0.41$ |
| Ct of HOXC13-AS (Mean $\pm$ SD) | $24.30 \pm 0.08$ | n.d. |
| Ct of FOXD3-AS1 (Mean $\pm$ SD) | n.d. | $27.54 \pm 0.10$ |

n.d.: not detected.

## Results of RIP

Supplemental Table 4. Values and details of iv-RIP in Figure 3.

| RNA of origin | U-2 OS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample name | DMSO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { OICR- } \\ & 9429 \end{aligned}$ | 7k | WDR5 ${ }^{\text {F266A }}$ | No RNA | GFP |
| Sample label | 0.1\% | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| Percentage of HOTTIP enrichment (mean $\pm$ sd) | $\begin{gathered} 21.67 \\ \pm 2.47 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.97 \\ \pm 2.88 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.29 \\ \pm 1.87 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.81 \\ \pm 1.53 \end{gathered}$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| Percentage of HOXC13-AS enrichment (mean $\pm \mathrm{sd}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} 19.32 \\ \pm 2.74 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.99 \\ \pm 1.57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.39 \\ \pm 1.23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.31 \\ \pm 1.91 \end{gathered}$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| Number of replicates | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |

n.d.: not detected.


Supplemental Figure 6. Protein loading control of RIP was analyzed by 15\% SDS-Page polyacrylamide gels. Gel was stained by Coomassie Blue staining solution to visualize the protein loading.

## Results of cell treatment

Supplemental Table 5. Raw value of fold change observed from one-day treatment in each individual bioreplicates.

| Exp. <br> Nr. | Condition | Conc. | Time | HOTTIP | FOXD3-AS1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean $\pm$ SD | Mean $\pm$ SD |
| 1 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.279$ | $1.000 \pm 0.116$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.920 \pm 0.229$ | $0.769 \pm 0.075$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.963 \pm 0.284$ | $0.913 \pm 0.098$ |
| 2 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.145$ | $1.000 \pm 0.022$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.704 \pm 0.183$ | $0.625 \pm 0.035$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.521 \pm 0.182$ | $1.000 \pm 0.050$ |
| 3 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.161$ | $1.000 \pm 0.171$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.078 \pm 0.174$ | $0.889 \pm 0.111$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.129 \pm 0.176$ | $0.842 \pm 0.122$ |
| 4 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.228$ | $1.000 \pm 0.051$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.709 \pm 0.128$ | $0.693 \pm 0.048$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.970 \pm 0.197$ | $0.826 \pm 0.055$ |
| 5 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.189$ | $1.000 \pm 0.043$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.793 \pm 0.111$ | $0.841 \pm 0.041$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.988 \pm 0.141$ | $1.053 \pm 0.047$ |
| 6 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $1.000 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.037$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.607 \pm 0.020$ | $0.780 \pm 0.071$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 1 d | $0.618 \pm 0.071$ | $0.901 \pm 0.056$ |

Supplemental Table 6. Raw value of fold change observed from three-day treatment for each individual bioreplicate.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Exp. } \\ \text { Nr. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | condition | conc. | $\begin{gathered} \text { tim } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { HOTTIP } \\ \hline \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FOXD3-AS1 } \\ & \hline \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7^{\text {a }}$ | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.124$ | $1.000 \pm 0.106$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.680 \pm 0.110$ | $0.537 \pm 0.055$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.464 \pm 0.082$ | $0.756 \pm 0.076$ |
| $8^{\text {a }}$ | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.023$ | $1.000 \pm 0.090$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.463 \pm 0.056$ | $0.693 \pm 0.059$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.570 \pm 0.035$ | $1.075 \pm 0.124$ |
| $9^{\text {a }}$ | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.160$ | $1.000 \pm 0.095$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.528 \pm 0.080$ | $0.615 \pm 0.065$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.733 \pm 0.095$ | $0.847 \pm 0.077$ |
| 10 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.092$ | $1.000 \pm 0.049$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.453 \pm 0.056$ | $0.800 \pm 0.056$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.646 \pm 0.116$ | $0.798 \pm 0.040$ |
| 11 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.282$ | $1.000 \pm 0.029$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.627 \pm 0.126$ | $0.692 \pm 0.035$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.031 \pm 0.214$ | $0.944 \pm 0.033$ |
| 12 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.110$ | $1.000 \pm 0.029$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.571 \pm 0.059$ | $0.681 \pm 0.021$ |
|  | 7k | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.548 \pm 0.132$ | $0.650 \pm 0.065$ |

a: Data were also used for concentration dependency experiments (Supplemental Table 7.)

Supplemental Table 7. Raw value of fold change observed from three-day with various concentration of treatment for each individual bioreplicate.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { exp } \\ & \text { label } \end{aligned}$ | Condition | conc. | time | HOTTIP | FOXD3-AS1 | TGF- $\beta 1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mean $\pm$ SD | Mean $\pm$ SD | Mean $\pm$ SD |
| 7 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.124$ | $1.000 \pm 0.106$ | $1.000 \pm 0.067$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.895 \pm 0.148$ | $0.873 \pm 0.112$ | $0.972 \pm 0.070$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.572 \pm 0.081$ | $0.728 \pm 0.066$ | $0.873 \pm 0.050$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.680 \pm 0.110$ | $0.537 \pm 0.055$ | $0.759 \pm 0.073$ |
|  | 7k | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.923 \pm 0.164$ | $0.693 \pm 0.147$ | $0.972 \pm 0.048$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.643 \pm 0.184$ | $0.753 \pm 0.078$ | $0.916 \pm 0.056$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.464 \pm 0.082$ | $0.756 \pm 0.076$ | $0.972 \pm 0.055$ |
| 8 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.023$ | $1.000 \pm 0.090$ | $1.000 \pm 0.033$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.846 \pm 0.047$ | $0.841 \pm 0.071$ | $0.923 \pm 0.078$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.454 \pm 0.055$ | $0.636 \pm 0.056$ | $0.859 \pm 0.050$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.463 \pm 0.056$ | $0.693 \pm 0.059$ | $0.982 \pm 0.074$ |
|  | 7k | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.821 \pm 0.069$ | $0.984 \pm 0.128$ | $1.020 \pm 0.062$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.877 \pm 0.062$ | $1.178 \pm 0.131$ | $1.009 \pm 0.079$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.570 \pm 0.035$ | $1.075 \pm 0.124$ | $0.987 \pm 0.059$ |
| 9 | Blank | $0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.000 \pm 0.160$ | $1.000 \pm 0.095$ | $1.000 \pm 0.139$ |
|  | OICR-4929 | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.734 \pm 0.094$ | $0.900 \pm 0.071$ | $1.029 \pm 0.115$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.578 \pm 0.094$ | $0.535 \pm 0.043$ | $0.901 \pm 0.098$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.528 \pm 0.080$ | $0.615 \pm 0.065$ | $0.944 \pm 0.112$ |
|  | 7k | $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $1.189 \pm 0.152$ | $0.971 \pm 0.104$ | $1.060 \pm 0.127$ |
|  |  | $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.915 \pm 0.153$ | $1.020 \pm 0.085$ | $1.003 \pm 0.120$ |
|  |  | $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | 3 d | $0.733 \pm 0.095$ | $0.847 \pm 0.077$ | $0.942 \pm 0.101$ |

## TGF-ß1



Supplemental Figure 7. Fold change of TGF- $\beta 1$ after compound treatment.

## Reference

(1) Thieriet, N.; Alsina, J.; Giralt, E.; Guibé, F.; Albericio, F. Use of Alloc-Amino Acids in Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis. Tandem Deprotection-Coupling Reactions Using Neutral Conditions. Tetrahedron Letters 1997, 38 (41), 7275-7278. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4039(97)01690-0.
(2) Chang, J.-Y.; Neugebauer, C.; Schmeing, S.; Amrahova, G.; Hart, P. 't. Macrocyclic Peptides as Inhibitors of WDR5 - IncRNA Interactions. Chem. Commun. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CC03221C.
(3) Chatterjee, J.; Laufer, B.; Kessler, H. Synthesis of N-Methylated Cyclic Peptides. Nat Protoc 2012, 7 (3), 432-444. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2011.450.
(4) Fukuyama, T.; Cheung, M.; Kan, T. N-Carboalkoxy-2-Nitrobenzenesulfonamides: A Practical Preparation of N-Boc-, N-Alloc-, and N-Cbz-Protected Primary Amines. Synlett 1999, 1999 (8), 1301-1303. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-1999-2827.
(5) Nikolovska-Coleska, Z.; Wang, R.; Fang, X.; Pan, H.; Tomita, Y.; Li, P.; Roller, P. P.; Krajewski, K.; Saito, N. G.; Stuckey, J. A.; Wang, S. Development and Optimization of a Binding Assay for the XIAP BIR3 Domain Using Fluorescence Polarization. Analytical Biochemistry 2004, 332 (2), 261-273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2004.05.055.
(6) Fuentes-Iglesias, A.; Garcia-Outeiral, V.; Pardavila, J. A.; Wang, J.; Fidalgo, M.; Guallar, D. An Optimized Immunoprecipitation Protocol for Assessing Protein-RNA Interactions In Vitro. STAR Protocols 2020, 1 (2), 100093. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2020.100093.
(7) Livak, K. J.; Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using RealTime Quantitative PCR and the 2- $\Delta \Delta C T$ Method. Methods 2001, 25 (4), 402-408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.
(8) Chou, P.-H.; Wang, S.-T.; Ma, H.-L.; Liu, C.-L.; Chang, M.-C.; Lee, O. K.-S. Development of a Two-Step Protocol for Culture Expansion of Human Annulus Fibrosus Cells with TGF-B1 and FGF-2. Stem Cell Research \& Therapy 2016, 7 (1), 89. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13287-016-0332-1.
(9) lempridee, T.; Wiwithaphon, S.; Piboonprai, K.; Pratedrat, P.; Khumkhrong, P.; Japrung, D.; Temisak, S.; Laiwejpithaya, S.; Chaopotong, P.; Dharakul, T. Identification of Reference Genes for Circulating Long Noncoding RNA Analysis in Serum of Cervical Cancer Patients. FEBS Open Bio 2018, 8 (11), 1844-1854. https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.12523.
(10) Li, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chen, G.; Liu, H.; Wu, Y.; Liu, J.; Zhang, Z. HOTTIP Is Upregulated in Esophageal Cancer and Triggers the Drug Resistance.
(11) Subhash, S.; Mishra, K.; Akhade, V. S.; Kanduri, M.; Mondal, T.; Kanduri, C. H3K4me2 and WDR5 Enriched Chromatin Interacting Long Non-Coding RNAs Maintain Transcriptionally

Competent Chromatin at Divergent Transcriptional Units. Nucleic Acids Research 2018, 46 (18), 9384-9400. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky635.
(12) Scavello, F.; Zeni, F.; Milano, G.; Macrì, F.; Castiglione, S.; Zuccolo, E.; Scopece, A.; Pezone, G.; Tedesco, C. C.; Nigro, P.; Degani, G.; Gambini, E.; Veglia, F.; Popolo, L.; Pompilio, G.; Colombo, G. I.; Bianchi, M. E.; Raucci, A. Soluble Receptor for Advanced Glycation EndProducts Regulates Age-Associated Cardiac Fibrosis. International Journal of Biological Sciences 2021, 17 (10), 2399-2416. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.56379.


[^0]:    $\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$

