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## 1. General Information

The chemical structure of compounds was identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, (Bruker Avance DPX-300). Molecular weight is measured by time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LC-QTOF). The polymer molecular weight is measured by Gel Permeation Chromatography, GPC (HLC8320). The energy levels were measured by Cyclic voltammetry (CV) via Shanghai Chenghua electrochemical workstation. Pt was used as counter electrode, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ as the reference electrode, and electrolyte solution was prepared by a 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu4NPF6) acetonitrile solution. Thermogravimetric Analysis was analyzed by Thermogravimetric/Differential Thermal Comprehensive Thermal Analyzer. The UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Lambda 950 spectrophotometer. The fluorescence spectrum and phosphorescence spectrum were measured by Fluorescence spectrometer (FL3111). The transient PL decays in microsecond ranges at different temperatures were measured by Fluorescence spectrometer (FLS1000).

### 1.1 Computational Methods

All the simulations were performed using the Gaussian 09_B01 program package. ${ }^{[1]}$ For all the investigated molecules, the ground state $\left(\mathrm{S}_{0}\right)$ geometries were optimized using the M06-2X functional with $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set in vacuum for an initial guess. All the excited state was optimized by TD-DFT/BMK/6-31G* in toluene with polarizable continuum model (PCM). ${ }^{[2]}$

### 1.2 Device Fabrication and Characterization

Device fabricate: solution-processed OLED was prepared in a traditional sandwich structure: ITO/ poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly-(styrene-sulfonic acid) (PEDOT:PSS) (30 nm)/Emitter layer (30 nm)/ (diphenylphosphino)phenyl) ether oxide (DPEPO) (10 nm) / 1,3,5-tri(m-pyrid-3-yl-phenyl)benzene (TmPyPB) (50 nm)/ 8-
hydroxyquinolinato lithium (LiF) (1 nm)/ Al (100 nm). First, chlorobenzene solution containing host material and guest material with different weight ratios and concentrations was stirred for several hours in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. Where, the concentration of host and guest materials is all $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in chlorobenzene solution. After being cleaned with deionized water, acetone, and isopropanol, the ITO glass was treated with the UV-ozone for 30 min . The PEDOT:PSS solution was spin-coated at 3000 rpm onto the ITO substrates for 1 min . While the PEDOT:PSS film was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in air. Then, the emitter was spin-coated on the PEDOT:PSS layer. The devices were transferred to the evaporation tank to deposit DPEPO, TmPyPB, Liq and Al. Deposition rates are $1-2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for organic materials and 2-5 $\AA \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ for aluminum, respectively. Electroluminescence (EL) spectra were recorded by Photo Research PR745. The current density and luminance versus driving voltage characteristics EL spectra were measured by Keithley 2400 and Konica Minolta CS-2000 chromameter. EQEs were automatically calculated from the current density, luminance, and EL spectra, assuming a Lambertian distribution.

## 2. Synthesis

All chemical raw materials were purchased without further purification. Carbazole (CAS No. : 86-74-8), 11-chlorosyldodec-11-enoyl chloride (CAS No.: 112-16-3), 4,4'Difluorobenzophenone (CAS No. : 345-92-6), 4-Bromophenol (CAS No.: 106-41-2), 1,6-Dibromohexane (CAS No. : 629-03-8), bis(pinacolato)diboron (CAS No. : 73183-34-3), 3-Bromo-9H-carbazole (CAS No. : 1592-95-6), Phenylboronic acid pinacol ester (CAS No. : 24388-23-6), NBS, bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)nickel (0) (Ni(COD) $)_{2}$, 1,5Cyclooctadiene (COD), 2,2'-bipyridyl (BPY), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CsCO}_{3}$ and dry reagent were all supplied by Alpha -Chemicals.

## The detailed synthetic procedures:



Scheme S1. The synthetic route of compound 1.
Synthesis of 1,12-di(9H-carbazol-3-yl)dodecane-1,12-dione (1): Carbazole (12.54 g, 9.75 mmol ), 11-chlorosyldodec-11-enoyl chloride ( $5.12 \mathrm{~g}, 18.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(7.50 \mathrm{~g}$, 56.25 mmol ) and 250 mL dichloromethane (DCM) were added into a 500 mL flask. The mixture was stirred for 24 hours at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then poured into 300 mL water, extracted by 100 mL dichloromethane (DCM) for three times. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether: dichloromethane $=$ $3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give 1 as a white solid ( 11.05 g , yield $65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.38-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=19.8,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 20 \mathrm{H})$. TOFMS (formula ${ }^{+}$): 529.2866, Calculated: 528.6960.


Scheme S2. The synthetic route of compound 2.

Compound $1(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol}), 250 \mathrm{~mL}$ mixed solvent of glacial acetic acid and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (glacial acetic acid: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}=1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were added into 500 mL three-necked round bottom flask. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min , later NBS (Nbromosuccinimide) ( $2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 14.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into the flask by portions to reaction for 12 h under dark. After that, the mixture was poured into 300 mL water and extracted by 100 mL DCM for three times. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether: dichloromethane $=2: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to get 2.55 g of white powder in yield of $85 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3) \delta 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 1.25 (s, 10H).TOF-MS (Formula+): 687.1055, Calculated: 686.4880.


Scheme S3. The synthetic routes of compound 3.
Synthesis of 1,1'(9H-carbazole-3,6-diyl)bis(dodecan-1-one) (3): The synthesis of compound 3 was similar to that of compound $\mathbf{1}$ generated the pure $\mathbf{3}$ as a white powder in yield of $65 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 8.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.73$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.97, 142.93, 130.22, 127.10, 123.37, 121.67, 110.76, 38.67, 31.93, 29.67, 29.65, 29.57, 29.54, 29.36, 24.84, 22.70, 14.13.TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$): 532.4158, Calculated: 531.8250


Scheme S4. The synthetic route of compound 4.
Synthesis of 3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazole (4): Compound 3 (5.00 g, 9.40 mmol ) and 100 mL tetrahydrofuran (THF) was added into 250 mL constant pressure dropping
funnel, then $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 18.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 100 mL THF were added into 500 mL three-necked round bottom flask. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ $(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 18.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dropped into the mixture slowly. Finally, the compound 3 was also dropped into the mixture to reaction for 12 h . After that, the mixture was poured into 200 mL water and extracted by 150 mL DCM for three time. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether: dichloromethane $=8: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give a pure-white powder ( $3.60 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.90-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta$ 138.23, 133.84, 126.40, 123.42, 119.53, $110.18,36.08,32.37,31.94,29.71,29.66,29.62,29.40,29.37,22.70,14.13$. TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$):504.4575, Calculated: 503.8590.


Scheme S5. The synthetic route of compound 5 .
Synthesis of (4-(3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)(4-fluorophenyl)methanone (5): Compound $\mathbf{1}$ ( $9.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(4-fluorophenyl)methanone ( $4.68 \mathrm{~g}, 21.40$ mmol ), cesium carbonate ( $7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 23.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 250 mL dry dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) were added into a 500 mL three-necked round bottom flask under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours and then poured into 300 mL water, extracted by 100 mL DCM for three times. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether : dichloromethane $=3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give a pure-yellow powder ( $7.00 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 7.94 (dd, $J=9.4,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.73 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.47-7.41$ (m, 2H), 7.23 (dd, $J=10.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$39.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3\right) \delta 194.14,166.31$, $164.62,142.31,138.78,135.29,133.78,133.76,132.66,132.59,132.52,132.46$, 131.68, 126.77, 125.94, 125.88, 124.01, 119.83, 119.73, 115.69, 115.63, 115.54, $115.48,109.54,109.44,36.00,32.30,31.93,29.70,29.66,29.61,29.52,29.38,29.37$, 29.32, 22.70, 22.67, 14.13.TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$):702.5077, Calculated: 702.0554.




Monomer

Scheme S6. The synthetic route of Monomer.
Synthesis of 1,12-bis(6-bromo-9-(4-(4-(3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)benzoyl)phenyl)-9H-carbazol-3-yl)dodecane-1,12-dione (Monomer): Following the same procedure for synthesis of $\mathbf{5}$ generated the pure Monomer as a yellow powder. Yield (67\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42-8.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16$ (dd, $J=15.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ - $7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.84-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 60 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 199.64, 143.09, 142.70, 140.39, 139.78, 138.72, $137.04,135.41,134.82,131.93,130.58,129.68,127.32,126.81,126.54,125.97$, $125.63,124.07,123.55,122.52,121.72,119.78,114.33,111.69,109.80,109.45,38.65$, 36.00, 32.30, 31.93, 29.79, 29.27, 24.76, 22.69, 14.13.TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$):2051.0502, Calculated: 2050.5860.


6
Scheme S7. The synthetic route of compound $\mathbf{6}$.
Synthesis of 1,6-bis(4-bromophenoxy)hexane (6): 4-bromophenol (10.00 g, 57.80 $\mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Br}(6.35 \mathrm{~g}, 26.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(30.00 \mathrm{~g}, 47.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Acetone ( 300 mL ) were added into a 500 mL flask. After refluxing overnight, the mixture was filtrated, and the filtrate was evaporated to get a white solid. The solid was washed with water and finally recrystallized in ethanol to give pure 6 as white crystals. Yield (9.20 g, 92 \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta 7.45-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.93$ (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , D2O) $\delta 155.67, \quad 129.70, \quad 113.78, \quad 110.12, \quad 65.64, \quad 26.59, \quad 23.30 . T O F-M S$ (formula ${ }^{+}$):427.9757, Calculated: 425.9830 .




Scheme S8. The synthetic routes of compound 7.

## Synthesis of 1,6-bis(4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)phenoxy)hexane

 (7): Compound 6 ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bis(pinacolato)diboron, ( $3.55 \mathrm{~g}, 14.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{KOAc}(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 7.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{DPPF}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 100 mL 1,4-dioxane were added into 250 mL flask. The mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h and then poured into 300 mL water, extracted by 100 mL DCM for three time. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether: dichloromethane $=5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to give pure 7 as white crystals. Yield (7.15 g, $58.6 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73$ (d, J = $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.88 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.99 (t, $J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=81.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 28 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 151 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta 161.68,136.49,113.85,83.51,67.59,29.15,25.85,24.86$. TOF-MS (formula+): 523.3350, Calculated: 522.3324.

End-capper 2
Scheme S9. The synthetic routes of End-capper 2.
Synthesis of (4-(3-bromo-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)(4-(3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazol-9yl)phenyl)methanone (End-capper 2): Following the same procedure for synthesis of Monomer generated the pure End-capper 2 as a yellow powder. Yield (67\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{ddd}, J=15.8,10.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.93$ (d, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.72$ (m, 4H), $7.58-7.45$ (m, 5H), 7.42 (d, $J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-$ 2.75 (m, 4H), $1.80-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 36 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta$ 194.37, 142.56, 141.23, 140.62, 138.97, 138.76, 136.34, 135.36, 135.05, 131.87, 128.91, 126.99, 126.79, 126.40, 125.96, 125.62, 124.04, 123.26, 122.75, 121.02, 120.68, 119.76, 113.40, 111.27, 110.01, 109.46, 36.00, 32.30, 31.93, 29.80, 29.51, 29.37, 22.70, 14.13.TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$): 929.4947, Calculated: 928.1560 .


Scheme S10. The synthetic route of compound 8 .
Synthesis of (4-(3,6-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9H-carbazol-9-
yl)phenyl)(4-(3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazol-9-yl)phenyl)methanone (8): Following the same procedure for synthesis of 7 generated the pure 8 as a yellow powder. Yield (53\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.69$ (d, J = $27.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.17 (dd, $J=8.3,6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.89$ $-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 32 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d$ ) $\delta$ 194.50, 142.83 , 142.51, 141.85, 141.59, 140.74, 139.54, 138.81, 136.16, 135.96, 135.33, 135.18, 134.67, 132.88, 132.12 ,131.76, 131.61, 127.98, 126.77, 126.69,126.11, 126.08,125.75, 124.74, 124.60, $124.20,123.83,123.76,120.73,119.72,119.24,119.00,116.76,110.17,109.97$, $109.59,109.15,83.94,83.68,36.01,32.30,31.93,29.65,29.38,24.95,22.70,14.13$. TOF-MS (Formula ${ }^{+}$): 1101.7351, Calculated: 1100.7349 .




End-capper 3
Scheme S11. The synthetic route of End-capper 3.
Synthesis of ((6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-9H,9'H-[3,3'-bicarbazole]-9,9'-diyl)bis(4,1-phenylene))bis((4-(3,6-didodecyl-9H-carbazol-9yl)phenyl)methanone) (End-capper 3): End-capper 2 ( $2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound $8 \quad(3.20 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}), \quad \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \quad(3.66 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 26.50 \mathrm{mmol})$, Tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $0.18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(240 \mathrm{~mL}$, $3: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were added into a 500 mL 3 neck round bottom flask under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours and then poured into 300 mL water, extraction with DCM, The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether : dichloromethane $=2: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to generated the pure End-capper 3 as a yellow powder. Yield ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-d\right) \delta 8.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$8.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60-8.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $7 \mathrm{H}), 8.08-8.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.69$ (m, 2H), $7.68-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (dd, $J=8.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (dd, $J=8.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 84 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-d\right) \delta 194.48,142.42,141.39,138.79,136.28$, $135.32,135.15,132.73,132.59,131.89,128.10,127.86,126.80,126.54,126.25$, 125.98, 124.03, 123.67, 121.00, 120.73, 119.74, 109.87, 109.50, 109.15, 83.75, 83.53, $83.20,36.01,32.30,31.93,31.62,29.76,29.16,29.08,24.89,24.57,22.70,14.13$. TOFMS (Formula ${ }^{+}$): 1823.2236, Calculated: 1822.4660.




Scheme S12. The synthetic routes of PZ1.
Synthesis of Target Polymer PZ1: Monomer ( $100.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), compound 7 ( $24.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{pph}_{3}\right)_{4}(3.38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(33.72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 4: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ were added into a 10 mL Schlenk tube. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Then End-capper $2(22.68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and End-capper1 ( $9.95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially for end-capping for 12 h . Then, the reaction mixture was quenched by 5 mL of chloroform and later precipitated in 150 mL of a mixture of aqueous HCl , acetone and methanol (1:1:1 by volume).

The precipitate and solution were stirred for 2 h and then the polymer was collected by filtration and dried in an oven at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Finally, the polymer was successively extracted by methanol, acetone, and chloroform, each for 24 h in a Soxhlet apparatus. After evaporation of the solvent, 80.00 mg of yellowish green PZ1 was obtained. Yield (80\%). Mw: 12645, PDI:1.58.


Scheme S13. The synthetic routes of PZ2.
Synthesis of Target Polymer PZ2: Yamamoto catalytic system of Bis(1,5cyclooctadiene) nickel (0) $\left(\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right)(34.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,5$-Cyclooctadiene (COD) ( $16.80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,2'-bipyridyl (BPY) ( $20.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added into a 10 mL Schlenk tube and dissolved in 0.4 mL of anhydrous DMF. Another Schlenk tube was charged with monomer ( $100.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 0.4 mL anhydrous THF. The catalyst solution was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes before it was transferred into the monomer solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h. Then End-capper $2(90.00 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added for end-capping for 12 h . Then, the reaction mixture was diluted with 5 mL of chloroform and later precipitated in 150 mL of a mixture of aqueous HCl , acetone and methanol (1:1:1 by volume). The mixtures were stirred for 2 h and then the polymer was collected by filtration and dried in an oven at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Finally, the polymer was successively extracted by methanol, acetone, and chloroform, each for 24 h in a Soxhlet apparatus. After evaporation of the solvent, 85.00 mg of yellowish green polymer was obtained, Yield (85\%). Mw: 11323, PDI:1.44.



PZ3

Scheme S14. The synthetic routes of PZ3.
PZ3: The synthesis of PZ3 was like that of PZ1. It was obtained as a yellow powder in yield of $75 \%$. Mw: 37544, PDI:2.49.

## 3. Thermal Properties



Figure S1. a) Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and b) Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of $\mathbf{P Z 1}, \mathbf{P Z 2}$ and $\mathbf{P Z 3}$, respectively.
4. Computation


Figure S2. The FMOs distributions of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3, respectively.


Figure S3. The hole and particle distributions of $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ vertical transitions, the corresponding transition energies, and oscillator strength $(f)$ values of $\mathbf{P Z 1}, \mathbf{P Z 2}$ and $\mathbf{P Z 3}$, respectively.

| Excited State | PZ3 |  |  | PZ3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hole |  | Particle | Hole-1 |  | Particle +1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $S_{2}$ |  | $\xrightarrow[=0.3126]{46.8 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |

Figure S4. The hole and particle distributions of $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ vertical transitions, the corresponding transition energies, and oscillator strength $(f)$ values of $\mathbf{P Z 3}$.


Figure S5. The hole and electron NTOs based on the results of TD-DFT for the $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$
$\mathrm{T}_{3}, \mathrm{~T}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ states of $\mathbf{P Z 1}, \mathbf{P Z 2}$ and $\mathbf{P Z 3}$, respectively.


Figure S6. The hole and electron NTOs based on the results of TD-DFT for the $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ state of PZ1, PZ2 and $\mathrm{T}_{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{5}, \mathrm{~T}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ of $\mathbf{P Z 3}$.

Table S1. The calculated energy and oscillator strengths $(f)$ in excited states.

| Compounds | State | $E(\mathrm{eV})$ | $f$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PZ1 | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2.873 | 0.4493 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2.976 | 0.2030 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 2.600 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | 2.716 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 2.960 | - |
| PZ2 | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2.885 | 0.4505 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2.922 | 0.3433 |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 2.588 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | 2.595 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 2.756 | - |
|  | T4 | 2.769 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | 2.921 | - |
| PZ3 | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 2.823 | 1.1700 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 2.824 | 0.3126 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 2.867 | 0.4205 |
|  | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | 2.927 | 0.0330 |
|  | T | 2.595 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | 2.598 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 2.611 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | 2.686 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | 2.707 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | 2.715 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ | 2.912 | - |

Table S2. The calculated energy and oscillator strengths $(f)$ in excited states.

| Compounds | State | $\Delta E\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)(\mathrm{eV})$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| PZ1 | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 0.273 | 0.376 | 0.710 | 0.910 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 0.157 | 0.260 | 0.594 | 0.794 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 0.087 | 0.016 | 0.350 | 0.550 |
| $\mathbf{P Z 2}$ | $\mathrm{~T}_{1}$ | 0.297 | 0.334 | 0.402 | 0.422 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 0.290 | 0.327 | 0.395 | 0.415 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 0.129 | 0.166 | 0.234 | 0.254 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 0.116 | 0.153 | 0.221 | 0.241 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | -0.036 | 0.001 | 0.069 | 0.089 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | 0.228 | 0.229 | 0.272 | 0.332 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{2}$ | 0.225 | 0.226 | 0.269 | 0.329 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{3}$ | 0.212 | 0.213 | 0.256 | 0.316 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{4}$ | 0.137 | 0.138 | 0.181 | 0.241 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{5}$ | 0.116 | 0.117 | 0.160 | 0.220 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{6}$ | 0.108 | 0.109 | 0.152 | 0.212 |
|  | $\mathrm{~T}_{7}$ | -0.089 | -0.088 | 0.045 | 0.015 |

Table S3. Summarize of spin-orbit coupling matrix element (SOCME) values between the $S_{1}, S_{2}$ states and respective triplet states.

| SOCME ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PZ1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 23.5 | 10.9 | 17.5 |  | 4.69 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 11.6 | 4.7 | 4.5 |  | 1.31 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 6.17 | 7.28 | 0.74 |  | 0.44 |  |  |
| PZ2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 23.9 | 11.47 | 2.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 10.8 | 4.84 | 1.1 |  | 0.6 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 0.11 | 0.10 | 11.09 |  | 2.46 |  |  |
| PZ3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | T5 | $\mathrm{T}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{7}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | 23.02 | 24.58 | 10.66 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 5.4 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 3.73 | 2.32 | 0.88 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 7.4 |
| $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | 12.14 | 3.14 | 1.28 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 5.09 | 9.8 |

## 5. Photo-Physical Properties



Figure S7. The absorption and PL spectra of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 in dilute toluene solution.


Figure S8. The absorption and PL spectra of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 in different solutions.


Figure S9. The low temperature PL (77K) and Pho (77K) spectra of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 in neat films.


Figure S10. The transition PL decay curves of doped films under different
temperatures of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3

## 6. Energy Level



Figure S11. a) Cyclicvoltammetry characteristics of Ferrocene, b) HOMO, c) LUMO of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 (performed in neat films).

## 7. Parameters of Photo-Physical Properties

Table S4. Photophysical and electrochemical properties of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3.

| Compounds | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{A B S ~ \mathrm{a})} \\ (\mathrm{nm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{P L \mathrm{a})} \\ & (\mathrm{nm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~b}\right) \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\mathrm{P}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & (\mathrm{~ns}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta E_{S T} \\ \text { d) } \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {Номо }} \\ & \text { e) }(\mathrm{eV}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{\text {LUMO }} \\ & \text { f) }(\mathrm{eV}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{g}^{o p t} \\ \mathrm{~g}) \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLQY } \\ & \text { h) }(\%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PZ1 | 300/420 | 460 | 260 | 2.14 | 0.46 | -5.91 | -2.93 | 2.98 | 32.9 |
| PZ2 | 300/420 | 460 | 270 | 2.39 | 0.41 | -5.92 | -2.97 | 2.95 | 40.4 |

${ }^{\text {a) }}$ Measured in dilute toluene solution; ${ }^{\text {b) }}$ Thermal decomposition temperature; ${ }^{\text {c) }}$ The fluorescence lifetime in mCP matrixes; ${ }^{\mathrm{d})}$ The $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ states energy splitting. ${ }^{\text {e) }}$ Measured from the onset of the oxidation potential; ${ }^{\text {f) }}$ calculated by the formula of $E_{\text {LUMO }}=E_{\text {НОМО }} E_{g}^{o p t} ;$ g) optical bandgap: obtained from the onset of the UV-vis absorption spectra. ${ }^{\text {h) }}$ Photoluminescence quantum yield determined by a calibrated integrating sphere.

Table S5. Detailed absorption and emission peak positions of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3 in different solvents

| Solvents | $\Delta f$ | $\mathbf{P Z 1}$ | PZ2 | PZ3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ |
| Hexane | 0.0012 | 3724 | 2477 | 3318 |
| Toluene | 0.014 | 2532 | 2304 | 2533 |
| Triethylamine | 0.048 | 2394 | 3191 | 2956 |
| Butyl ether | 0.096 | 3261 | 2696 | 2746 |
| Ether | 0.167 | 2788 | 2477 | 3149 |
| THF | 0.210 | 3814 | 3478 | 3809 |
| DCM | 0.217 | 4578 | 4582 | 4572 |
| DMF | 0.276 | 7624 | 5405 | 4564 |
| Acetone | 0.284 | 5510 | 5232 | 4838 |

## 8. EL performances



Figure S12. Scanning probe microscope spectra of PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3


Figure S13. EL spectra of non-doped devices based on PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3


Figure S14. CIE color coordinates of a) non-doped and b) doped OLEDs based on PZ1, $\mathbf{P Z 2}$ and PZ3

Table S6 EL performance of the non-doped OLEDs based on PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3.

| Emitter | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | CIE | CRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | [cd m-2] |  |  |
| PZ1 | 20.25 | 0.32, 0.32 | 83 |
| PZ2 | 39.38 | $0.31,0.33$ | 89 |
| PZ3 | 84.60 | $0.30,0.33$ | 84 |

Table S7 EL performance of the OLEDs based on PZ1, PZ2 and PZ3.

| Emitter | $\lambda_{\mathrm{EL}}{ }^{\mathrm{a})}$ | $\mathrm{EQE}_{\max / 1000}{ }^{\mathrm{b})}$ | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{on}}{ }^{\mathrm{c})}$ | $\eta_{\left.P E_{\max } \mathrm{d}\right)}$ | $\eta_{C E_{\max }{ }^{\mathrm{e})}}$ | CIE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $[\mathrm{nm}]$ | $[\%]$ | $[\mathrm{V}]$ | $\left[\mathrm{m} \mathrm{W}^{-1}\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{cd} \mathrm{A}^{-1}\right]$ |  |
|  | 470 | $1.1 /-$ | 5.5 | 1.1 | 2.0 | $0.17,0.24$ |
| PZ2 | 475 | $1.7 /-$ | 4.8 | 1.9 | 3.1 | $0.17,0.26$ |
| PZ3 | 484 | $3.8 / 0.87$ | 5.0 | 3.7 | 8.4 | $0.19,0.33$ |

${ }^{\text {a) }}$ The peak emission of EL spectra; ${ }^{\text {b) }}$ The maximum external quantum efficiency; ${ }^{\text {c) }}$ $V_{\text {on }}=$ turn-on voltage at $1 \mathrm{~cd} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} ;{ }^{\text {d) }}$ The maximum power efficiency; ${ }^{\text {e) }}$ The maximum
current efficiency
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