# Supporting Information for "Theory of flow-induced covalent polymer mechanochemistry in dilute solutions"

Etienne Rognin, Niamh Willis-Fox, and Ronan Daly

# 1 Supporting dataset and software

The simulation dataset and post-processing code used for this study are available at https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.101595 under open access license CC BY 4.0.

**Main file** The *Jupyter Notebook* Supporting information.ipynb can be used to run the data analysis and recreate the figures of the paper. Alternatively, a pdf file provides a view of the notebook outputs without having to install and run the scripts.

**Raw data** The folder bead-rod\_dataset contains the results of bead-rod model simulations. For each simulation there is binary .npz Python file containing the data, and a .json text file containing metadata (such as date of the simulation, parameters...). The data is imported using numpy.load function which creates a Python dictionary for each simulation file. This dictionary contains the following labels:

- t the time axis.
- gradU the time series of velocity gradients used as forcing terms in the bead-rod simulation.
- g\_max the time series of the maximum tensile force, for each molecule of the simulation ensemble.
- i\_max the time series of the positions of the maximum force in the chain (not used in this study)
- g\_12 the time series of the tensile force at the center of the chain, for each molecule.
- A\_average the time series of the average conformation tensor (second-order moment of the end-to-end vector). Used in section 4 for model validation.

Values are dimensionless (normalisation coefficients are described in the next section).

# 2 Polymer models

Backbone tensions are simulated using coarse-grained molecular models of polymers. In this study we use the freely-jointed bead-rod model: a polymer molecule is represented by a series of beads and links. Friction with solvent molecules is located at beads only and links

are rigid rods. There can also be conservative interactions between non-contiguous beads, for example excluded volume effects, and dissipative hydrodynamic interactions (HI).

Assuming the chain has N links, N + 1 beads, then for each bead i, the position vector,  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , relative to the centre of friction is evolved by a time step dt using the following stochastic differential equation:

$$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{x}_{i} = \left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\nabla\mathbf{u} + \sum_{j=1}^{N+1}\mathsf{M}_{ij}\mathbf{f}_{j}\right)\mathbf{d}t + \sqrt{2k_{B}T}\sum_{j=1}^{N+1}\mathsf{B}_{ij}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{w}_{j}$$
(1)

where  $\nabla \mathbf{u}$  is the gradient of the solvent velocity field imposed far from the molecule (background flow),  $M_{ij}$  is the pair-wise mobility tensor between beads *i* and *j*,  $\mathbf{f}_j$  is the net force on bead *j* including links tension and conservative interactions if present,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, *T* is the temperature,  $B_{ij}$  is a matrix so that  $M_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} B_{ik} B_{jk}^T$ , and  $d\mathbf{w}_j$  is a Wiener process. In this notation, the block-matrix M also includes self-mobility (or drag), which is given by:

$$\mathsf{M}_{ii} = \frac{\mathsf{I}}{\zeta_i} \tag{2}$$

where  $\zeta_i$  is the bead friction coefficient. The rest of M is null if HI are ignored (*free-draining* approximation), or otherwise given by the Rotne-Prager-Yamakawa hydrodynamic tensor:

$$\mathsf{M}_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8\pi\eta|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} \left( \left( 1 + \frac{2a^2}{3|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^2} \right) | + \left( 1 - \frac{2a^2}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^2} \right) \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ij}\mathbf{r}_{ij}}{|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|^2} \right) & \text{if } |\mathbf{r}_{ij}| > 2a\\ \frac{1}{6\pi\eta a} \left( \left( 1 - \frac{9|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|}{32a} \right) | + \frac{3}{32a|\mathbf{r}_{ij}|} \mathbf{r}_{ij}\mathbf{r}_{ij} \right) & \text{if } |\mathbf{r}_{ij}| \le 2a \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i$ , *a* is the beads hydrodynamic radius and  $\eta$  is the solvent viscosity.

For rigid links, rod tension is solved by a fixed-point method derived from the rods length constraint, as detailed in our previous work.<sup>1</sup> If we note *b* the rod length (same length for all rods), a dimensionless model is derived by normalizing lengths with *b*, forces with  $k_BT/b$  and time with  $\zeta b^2/k_BT$ .

When there are no HI nor non-contiguous beads interactions, the mobility block-matrix M (in eqution 1) is sparse and evolving a molecule is rather computationally cheap as it requires solving tridiagonal matrices. When HI are included, the strength of hydrodynamic interaction is set by the value of *a*, larger beads yielding stronger interaction. It is more common to use  $h = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}a$  and its dimensionless counterpart,  $h^*$ . In the case of infinite Weissenberg number, Brownian forces are negligible and therefore there is no need to compute the matrix B from a factorization of M. However, M is dense and solving rod tensions becomes computationally expensive. Therefore only a reduced ensemble of molecules can be simulated.

### 2.1 Simulation software

We used our own bead-rod model solver which can be accessed at: https://github.com/etiennerognin/DiluteBrownianDynamics

## 3 Model validation

#### 3.1 Flows definition

Here we describe how the model is validated in different scenarios. Note that all flows are obtained assuming Newtonian stress (ultra-dilute regime). Below are details on how the La-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E. Rognin, N. Willis-Fox, T. A. Aljohani and R. Daly, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 2018, 848, 722–742. (link)

grangian trajectories were obtained:

**4:1 contraction** This is a steady 2D-axisymmetric simulation done with OpenFOAM using a high-resolution mesh, at a Reynolds number of 100. Lagrangian trajectories are extracted at the post-processing step using Paraview. Initial seeds span from the centreline up to a small distance from the wall.

**Sonication** We follow the approach taken by Turetta and Lattuada,<sup>2</sup> and solve the Rayleigh–Plesset equation:

$$\rho\left(R\ddot{R} + \frac{3}{2}(\dot{R})^2\right) = \left(p_0 + \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} - p_\nu\right) \left(\frac{R_0}{R}\right)^{3\kappa} + p_\nu - \frac{2\sigma}{R} - \frac{4\eta\dot{R}}{R} - p_0 - p_A(t) \tag{4}$$

where  $\rho$  is the solvent density, *R* is the bubble radius,  $p_0$ ,  $p_v$  and  $p_A(t)$  are the initial, saturation and gauge external pressure respectively,  $\sigma$  is the surface tension,  $R_0$  is the initial radius,  $\kappa$  is the polytropic coefficient of the gas, and  $\eta$  is the solvent voscosity. The equation can be rearranged as  $\dot{y} = f(y)$  with y = (R, V) and

$$\dot{R} = V$$

$$\dot{V} = -\frac{3}{2}\frac{V^2}{R} + \frac{1}{\rho R} \left( \left( p_0 + \frac{2\sigma}{R_0} - p_v \right) \left( \frac{R_0}{R} \right)^{3\kappa} + p_v - \frac{2\sigma}{R} - \frac{4\eta V}{R} - p_0 - p_A(t) \right)$$
(5)

Bellow is a code snippet to solve this equation in Python using the scipy solve\_ivp function, with physical parameters used in this study:

```
from scipy.integrate import solve_ivp
R0 = 10e-6
             # m
p0 = 1.01325e5 # Pa
eta = 0.56e-3 # Pa.s
sigma = 0.028 # N/m
rho = 940
            # kg/m^3
kappa = 1.4
p1 = p0 + 2*sigma/R0 - pv
f = 20e3
             # Hz
def forcing(t):
   """External gauge pressure forcing term."""
   return pA*np.sin(2*np.pi*f*t)
def RayleighPlesset(t, y):
   """Rayleigh-Plesset eqution where y=[R, R_dot]"""
   out = np.empty_like(y)
   out[0] = y[1]
   out[1] = -1.5*y[1]**2/y[0] + 1./(rho*y[0])*(
      p1*(R0/y[0])**3*(kappa) + pv - p0 - 2*sigma/y[0] - 4*eta*y[1]/y[0] - forcing(t)
   )
   return out
sol = solve_ivp(RayleighPlesset, (0, 100e-6), [R0, 0], max_step=1e-8)
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>L. Turetta and M. Lattuada, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2021, **60**, 10539–10550 (https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.1c00233)

To get Lagrangian strain rates, we define initial positions as:  $R_L(0) = \alpha R_0$  where  $\alpha = 1$  if the molecule is sitting at the bubble interface,  $\alpha > 1$  otherwise. Using conservation of the volume of the shell between R(t) and  $R_L(t)$ :

$$R_{I}^{3}(t) = R(t)^{3} + (\alpha^{3} - 1)R_{0}^{3}$$
(6)

The velocity at the bubble interface is just  $\dot{R}(t)$ . Again, with conservation of volume, we have:

$$U(r)r^2 = \dot{R}(t)R^2 \tag{7}$$

and therefore:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial r} = -2\frac{\dot{R}(t)R^2}{r^3} \tag{8}$$

Finally, the Lagrangian strain rate is:

$$\dot{\varepsilon}(\alpha, t) = -2 \frac{\dot{R}(t)R(t)^2}{R(t)^3 + (\alpha^3 - 1)R_0^3}$$
(9)

We let  $\alpha$  vary from 1 to 10 to obtain different trajectories.

**Turbulence** Lagrangian trajectories are extracted from the open-access *Johns Hopkins Turbulence Databases*<sup>3</sup> using the *Channel flow* dataset. See our supporting dataset and software for further information.

**Inkjet** This flow is a 2D-axisymmetric simulation done with OpenFOAM using a high-resolution mesh and the *Volume-of-Fluid* method. The time-dependent flow rate is imposed at the inlet (far upstream of the nozzle) based on experimental measurements of a single-nozzle *Micro-fab* system. Lagrangian trajectories are extracted at a post-processing step using flow tracers in Paraview. See our supporting dataset for a video displaying the tracers as they pass the nozzle. The largest values of the Lagrangian velocity gradient are obtained by the tracer flow-ing near the wall, and by the tracer on the centreline which is caught in the filament breakup.

#### 3.2 Normalization and rescaling step

For each flow scenario described above, the maximum dimensioned strain rate,  $\dot{\varepsilon}_{max}$ , is extracted as the maximum eigenvalue of the velocity gradient  $\nabla \mathbf{u}$  of the combined trajectories. For the bead-rod model simulations, the number of links, N, (or average number of links for a polydispersed ensemble), and the maximum desired Weissenberg number, Wi<sub>max</sub>, are used to build a normalized velocity gradient time series,  $\nabla \mathbf{u}^+$ , as follows:<sup>4</sup>

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}^{+}(t^{+}) = \frac{\mathrm{Wi}_{\mathrm{max}}}{0.0142N^{2}\dot{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{max}}} \nabla \mathbf{u}(t) \tag{10}$$

with respect to the normalised time,  $t^+$ :

$$t^{+} = \frac{0.0142N^{2}\dot{\varepsilon}_{\max}}{\text{Wi}_{\max}}t$$
(11)

In this study, we have  $Wi_{max} = 10^3$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>http://turbulence.pha.jhu.edu/datasets.aspx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>E. Rognin, N. Willis-Fox, T. A. Aljohani and R. Daly, *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 2018, 848, 722–742. (link)

## 3.3 Results

Results for the four scenarios are shown below. See figure 5 in the main text for an explaination of one of the turbulence trajectory. Key:

Ci	Concentration of intact polymer chains (solid line: simulated from bead-rod model, dashed line: computed by the closure model).
c <sub>m</sub>	Concentration of activated mechanophores (solid line: simulated from bead-rod model, dashed line: computed by the closure model).
non-spec	Concentration of non-specific scission (such that $c_i + c_m + \text{non-spec} = 1$ )
$\varepsilon_{ m eff}$	Effective positive strain (see main text, equation 12).
φ	Buckling strain (see main text, equation 11).
w	Instantaneous stain rate normalised by the critical strain rate for mechanophore activation.
$w_0$	Normalised threshold for the onset of non-specific scission (see main text, equa- tion 8).



Figure 1: Results for the 4:1 contraction.



Figure 2: Results for sonication.



Figure 3: Results for turbulence.



Figure 4: Results for inkjet.