# **Supporting Information**

## ALD-Grown Two-Dimensional TiS<sub>x</sub> Metal Contacts for MoS<sub>2</sub> Field-

## **Effect Transistors**

Reyhaneh Mahlouji<sup>1</sup>, Wilhelmus M.M.(Erwin) Kessels<sup>1</sup>, Abhay A. Sagade<sup>2</sup> and Ageeth A. Bol<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory for Advanced Nanoelectronic Devices, Department of Physics and Nanotechnology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur 603 203, Tamil Nadu, India.

Author email: <u>abhaya@srmist.edu.in</u>, <u>a.a.bol@tue.nl</u>

#### S.1 *I-V* characterization of the second set of MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs with various TiS<sub>x</sub> contact thicknesses

To verify the repeatability of the data shown in **Figure 1**(b) of the main text, a second set of  $MoS_2$  FETs with various  $TiS_x$  contact thicknesses were fabricated on ~87 nm  $SiO_2/Si$  ( $p^{++}$ ) substrates, and their electrical performance were compared to a reference device with 5/95 nm Ti/Au contacts. The  $MoS_2$  channel aspect ratio was also kept similar to the initial set shown in the main text (500 nm long, 1 µm wide and ~1.2 nm thick). **Figure S1**(a) and (b) display the transfer data in linear and semilog scales, respectively. Similar to what is observed for the initial set (as shown in **Figure 1**(b) of the main text), the entire  $TiS_x$ -contacted  $MoS_2$  FETs outperform the reference case. Furthermore, with reducing the  $TiS_x$  contact thickness, the ON-state current increases and the threshold voltage ( $V_T$ ) shifts to more negative values, indicating an increase in the  $MoS_2$  electrostatic doping.



**Figure S1** (a) Linear and (b) semilog transfer curves for a second set of  $MoS_2$  FETs with various  $TiS_x$  contact thicknesses. Data for the reference case with 5/95 nm of Ti/Au contacts are also included.

#### S.2 Statistical analysis of the second set of MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs with various TiS<sub>x</sub> contact thicknesses

**Figure S2**(a), (b), (c) and (d) show the average statistical data of  $I_{ON}$ , maximum  $\mu_{FE}$ ,  $I_{OFF}$  as well as ON/OFF current ratio, respectively. The data were obtained by measuring three-four devices on each studied sample. Comparing the results derived from the second set of devices with those from the first set (**Figure 2** in the main text) confirm that ~1.2 nm TiS<sub>x</sub> is the most optimal thickness for the contacts to the ALD-based MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs.



**Figure S2** Average statistical data of (a)  $I_{ON}$ , (b) maximum  $\mu_{FE}$ , (c)  $I_{OFF}$  and (d) ON/OFF current ratio for the second set of MoS<sub>2</sub> FETs with various TiS<sub>x</sub> thicknesses, all obtained at  $V_{DS}$  = 5 V. Data for the reference case with Ti/Au contacts is also included.

### S.3 TCAD simulation parameters

The TCAD simulation parameters were tuned for the device characteristics shown in **Figure 1(b)** and **Figure 2** of the main manuscript. The materials properties such as mobility, band gap  $(E_g)$ , work function and etc. were chosen based on the experimental data provided in the SI (section S.1 and S.2) as well as Ref. 61 of the main manuscript.<sup>1</sup> Detailed thickness dependent properties reported by Gao et al.<sup>1</sup> in Table-1 of their manuscript were also used. **Table 1** shows some of these parameters employed in the simulations.

Table 1- Materials and	l properties used fo	or TCAD simulations
------------------------	----------------------	---------------------

Material	Property		
	Thickness [nm]	Band gap (Eg) [eV]	Dielectric constant
MoS <sub>2</sub>	1.2	1.4	4
TiS <sub>2</sub>	1.2	1.23	15 <sup>2</sup>

### References

- 1 J. Gao and M. Gupta, *npj 2D Mater. Appl.*, 2020, **4**, 26.
- 2 H. El-Kouch, L. El Farh, J. Sayah and A. Challioui, *Chinese Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **32**, 096102.