# Ultrasmall Mn-doped Iron Oxide Nanoparticles with Dual Hepatobiliary and Renal Clearances for T<sub>1</sub> MR Liver Imaging

Sanghoon Lee,<sup>a</sup> Arim Byun,<sup>a</sup> Juhee Jo,<sup>b</sup> Jong-Min Suh,<sup>c</sup> Jeasang Yoo,<sup>c</sup> Mi Hee Lim,<sup>c</sup> Ji-wook Kim,\*<sup>b</sup> Tae-Hyun Shin\*<sup>b</sup> and Jin-sil Choi\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Hanbat National University 34158 Daejeon, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup>Inventera Inc., Seoul 06588, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST), Daejeon 34141, Korea.

### **Supplementary Methods**

#### Synthesis of ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (USPIOs)

USPIOs were synthesized using a similar method as that employed for UMIOs. PAA (0.2606 g, 2.28 mmol) was mixed with 25 mL distilled water as the solvent. Then, the mixture was bubbled with Ar gas for 40 min followed by heating to 55 °C. To prepare an Fe solution, FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (0.27 mmol) and FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.1395 mmol) were dissolved in 1 mL HCl (1 M). The Fe salt solution was injected into the PAA solution while maintaining a temperature of 55 °C. Thereafter, 3.5 mL NH<sub>4</sub>OH was introduced into the resulting solution, and the reaction was conducted at 55 °C for 20 min. The resulting solution was purified three times by centrifugation with acetone and five times using Centricon (10 K) to obtain USPIOs.

#### Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy

X-band EPR spectra were obtained by a Bruker EMXplus EPR spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Silberstreifen, Rheinstetten, Germany) equipped with an ER 4141VT digital temperature control system (Bruker BioSpin) and an ER 4119HS cavity (Bruker BioSpin) using a capillary cell (d = 0.006 mL/cm). The EPR spectra of the samples were recorded with the following experimental parameters: microwave frequency, 9.38 GHz; microwave power, 2 mW; modulation amplitude, 3 G; time constant, 163.84 ms; sweep time, 120 s; number of scan, 4; room temperature.

#### Measurements of $T_1$ and $T_2$ and calculation of $T_1$ and $T_2$ relaxivity coefficients ( $r_1$ and $r_2$ )

 $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were measured under 3.0 Tesla MRI scanner with respective inversion recovery pulse sequence and Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill (CPMG) pulse sequence. In brief,  $T_1$  signal was measured with varying inversion time (*TI*) of 50, 150, 300, 600, 900, 1500, 2500, and 5000 ms, followed by exponential fitting using the equation provided below. For  $T_2$  measurements, scans were performed with various echo times (*TE*) of 15 ~ 480 ms with interval of 15 ms, and the corresponding  $T_2$  signal was fitted exponentially using the equation below.

$$T_1 \text{ signal intensity } = M_0 (1 - e^{\frac{-TI}{T_1}})$$
$$T_2 \text{ signal intensity } = M_0 e^{\frac{-TE}{T_2}}$$

relaxation time.

where,  $M_0$  is constant, TI is inversion time,  $T_1$  is  $T_1$  relaxation time, TE is echo time, and  $T_2$  is  $T_2$ 

The  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  values were determined by plotting  $1/T_1$  and  $1/T_2$  against the concentration (metal) of contrast agents. The slope of each plot was calculated as  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  (Figure S6).

#### Stabilities of UMIOs under different pH conditions and in saline solutions

Stabilities of UMIOs were assessed by measuring the hydrodynamic sizes of UMIOs under different

pH conditions (citrate buffer for pH = 3-5, phosphate buffer for pH = 6-8, and carbonate-bicarbonate buffer for pH = 9) and in saline solution. All buffer concentrations were 10 mM.

#### Hemolysis assay

The hemolysis assay was conducted following the procedure outlined by Adem Yildirim et al. with some modifications. Fresh mouse blood was obtained through cardiac puncture and stabilized using 0.5 M EDTA. Subsequently, 0.5 mL of the blood sample was mixed with 1 mL of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuged at 3,000 rcf for 5 minutes to isolate red blood cells (RBCs). These RBCs underwent five additional washes with 2.5 mL of PBS and were ultimately diluted to a volume of 5 mL with PBS. For the experimental setup, 0.2 mL of the diluted RBC suspension was mixed with 0.8 mL of the UMIO, and final concentrations were adjusted to 19, 29, 39, 59, and 78 µg/mL (test group, 39 µg/mL representing the estimated UMIO concentration in the blood when injected into mice). As controls, PBS and distilled water were employed as the negative and positive control groups, respectively. Following incubation at 37°C for 3 hours, all samples were centrifuged for 5 minutes at 3,000 rcf. Subsequently, 100 µL of the supernatant from each sample was transferred to a 96-well plate, and the absorbance was measured at 577 nm. The background was corrected using UMIO solutions at each concentration, as there is absorption at 577 nm for UMIO. The hemolytic degree was quantified using the hemolysis ratio, calculated with the following formula

Hemolysis ratio (%)=  $(OD_{test} - OD_{negative control})/(OD_{positive control} - OD_{negative control}) \times 100.$ 

#### In vitro cytotoxicity assay

Human lung (A549 cells) and liver (HepG2) cancer cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (USA) and grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% Pen Strep under a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37 °C. Cytotoxicity of UMIOs were analyzed via the CCK-8 assay. A549 cells were cultured in a 96-well plate at a density of  $5 \times 10^4$  cells per well and incubated at 37 °C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> for 24 h. After removing the culture medium, UMIOs were added to each well at various concentrations (80, 150, 400, 800, and 1250 µg Fe /mL in the culture medium) followed by overnight incubation under the same abovementioned conditions. Subsequently, the culture medium was replaced with 110 µL fresh medium containing 10 µL CCK-8 assay solution, and the plate was further incubated for 4 h. Finally, the absorbance of each well was measured at 450 nm using the multimode microplate reader to determine the extent of cell viability.

## **Supplementary Tables**

	Mn (atomic ratio)	Fe (atomic ratio)
ICP-OES	0.38	0.62
XPS	0.40	0.60
EDX	0.36	0.64

 Table S1. Chemical composition of UMIOs

Dosage (mg <sub>Fe+Mn</sub> /kg)	Animal No.	Clinical observations						
		Day 1	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 5	Day 6	Day 7
7.5	M1	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	M2	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	M3	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
15	M4	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	M5	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν
	M6	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν	Ν

**Table S2.** Clinical observations in mice after administration of UMIOs at dosages of 7.5 and 15  $mg_{Fe+Mn}/kg$ . N indicates that the mice appear normal.

## **Supplementary Figures**



Figure S1. IR spectrograph of PAA and UMIOs



Figure S2. XRD graph of UMIOs.



Figure S3. HR-TEM image of UMIO.



**Figure S4.** (a) TEM image and size histogram (inset) of USPIOs. (b) Hydrodynamic sizes of USPIOs in aqueous solution.



Figure S5. (a) EPR graph of UMIOs (red) and USPIOs (black) and (b) magnified EPR graph of UMIOs.



**Figure S6.**  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  relaxivity coefficients ( $r_1$  and  $r_2$ ) calculation. Plots of  $1/T_1$  (a,c,e) and  $1/T_2$  (b,d,f) versus metal (Fe+Mn for UMIOs, Fe for USPIOs, Gd for Dotarem) concentration. The slopes of the plots (a,c,e) and (b,d,f) determine the respective  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  for each contrast agents.



**Figure S7.** Colloidal stabilities of UMIOs measured in saline and buffer solutions with pH = 3-9.



Figure S8. Colloidal stabilities of UMIOs measured in physiological saline.



**Figure S9.** Colloidal stabilities of UMIOs measured in (a) DMEM cell media, (b) 5% FBS, and (c) 10% FBS.



**Figure S10.** Cell viabilities of (a) A549 (lung cancer cell line) and (b) HepG2 (liver cancer cell line) cells treated with varying concentrations of UMIOs.



**Figure S11**. Hemolysis assay of UMIOs. (a) A photo of hemolysis assay samples consisting of a negative control, positive control, and UMIOs at varying concentrations. (b) Hemolysis ratios of UMIOs samples.



Figure S12. Changes in the body weights of mice after the administration of UMIOs at dosages of 7.5 and 15  $mg_{Fe+Mn}/kg$ .



Figure S13.  $T_1$  MR images of mice injected with UMIOs at dosages of 1.4 and 5.6 mg<sub>Fe+Mn</sub>/kg.



**Figure S14.**  $T_2$  MR images of mice obtained at pre-injection and 60 minutes post-injection of respective UMIOs and USPIOs (dosage: 2.8 mg<sub>metal</sub>/kg). Yellow arrow indicates UMIOs condensation in the gallbladder.



**Figure S15**.  $T_2$  MR images (a) and signal intensity measured in the livers of mice injected with UMIOs, acquired at pre-injection, 1 h, day 3, and day 7 post-injection. The dotted line indicates the region of interest in the liver for the signal intensity measurement.