Supporting Information

Molecular electrostatic potential and volume-aided drug design based on an isoindolinone-containing cyclopeptide S-PK6

Lei Zhao[†], Tingting Li[†], Hongyu Xu, Xiong Zhang, Huiming Lin, Na Liu, Yingxue Jin

* and Zhiqiang Wang*.

Key Laboratory for Photonic and Electronic Bandgap Materials, Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, 150025, China.

*Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: wzqprof@163.com (Z. Wang), jyxprof<u>@163.com</u> (Y Jin).

¹ Lei Zhao and Tingting Li contributed equally to this work.

Content	Page
Table S1. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 1(S-PK6).	S3
Fig.S1. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential of compound 1 (S-PK6) with numbered	S3
atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with	
green number represent the maximum extreme.	
Table S2. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 2.	S4
Fig.S2. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound 2 with numbered	S4
atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with	
green number represent the maximum extreme.	
Table S3. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 3.	S5
Fig.S3. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound 3 with numbered	S5
atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with	
green numbers represent the maximum extreme.	
Fig.S4. The 3D molecular docking between compounds 4 (A), 5 (B), 6 (C), 7 (D), 8 (E), and 9 (F) with	S6
MDM2.	
Fig.S5. The 2D molecular docking sites for compounds 4 (A), 5 (B), 6 (C), 7 (D), 8 (E), and 9 (F).	S7
Fig.S6. The 3D molecular docking for compounds 11(A), 12(B), 13(C), 14(D), 15(E), 16(F), 17(G),	S8
and 18 (H).	
Fig.S7. The 2D molecular docking sites for compounds 11(A), 12(B), 13(C), 14(D), 15(E), 16(F),	S9
17 (G), and 18 (H).	
Fig.S8. The 3D molecular docking between compounds 19(A), 20(B), 21(C), 22(D), 23(E), and	S10
24 (F) with MDM2.	
Fig.S9. The 2D molecular docking sites between compounds 19(A), 20(B), 21(C), 22(D), 23(E),	S11
and 24 (F) with MDM2.	
Fig.S10. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound 19 with numbered	S12
atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with	
green number represent the maximum extreme.	
Table S4. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 19.	S12
Fig.S11. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound 20 with numbered	S13
atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with	
green number represent the maximum extreme.	
Table S5. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 20.	S13
Table S6. Docking process parameter settings for those with relative high binding energy.	S14
Table S7. Drug-likeness prediction of compounds 1-24	S15

Ī

Number of	Potential	Number of Potential		Number of	Potential
surface	(kcal·mol ⁻¹)	surface	(kcal·mol ⁻	surface maxima	(kcal·mol ⁻¹)
1	12.18	1	14.60	20	12 21
1	-12.10	1	14.09	20	-15.21
2	-22.38	2	10.249	21	5.01
3	-56.01	3	45.71	22	11.69
4	-22.11	4	11.29	23	-22.29
5	19.04	5	13.05	24	5.68
6	-50.26	6	9.72	25	7.82
7	2.34	7	21.24	26	-24.19
8	-30.23	8	21.06	27	10.25
9	-37.963	9	-23.02	28	32.25
10	-39.26	10	12.14	* 29	52.04
11	5.66	11	22.92	30	21.70
12	-48.61	12	3.86	31	10.87
13	-5.30	13	20.32	32	29.70
*14	-64.19	14	4.75	33	2.35
15	-33.459	15	19.06	34	12.30
16	29.61	16	-0.95	35	15.48
17	24.57	17	11.18	36	21.96
18	8.05	18	-26.68	37	14.54
		19	-30.99		

Table S1. Electrostatic potential distribution extreme of compound 1 (S-PK6).



Fig.S1. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential of compound 1 (S-PK6) with numbered atoms. The

blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with green number represent the maximum extreme.

Number of surface minima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)
1	-7.78	1	15.39	22	-3.60
2	-10.43	2	15.84	23	7.64
3	-2.60	3	22.04	24	8.39
4	-21.45	4	15.58	25	0.03
5	-4.64	5	15.58	26	12.58
6	-49.93	6	18.75	27	-1.78
7	-59.07	* 7	52.53	28	-9.49
8	6.77	8	17.44	29	4.44
*9	-59.80	9	6.36	30	10.09
10	-9.65	10	10.12	31	-2.44
11	-43.94	11	9.86	32	8.99
12	-1.49	12	7.03	33	10.31
13	5.41	13	6.79	34	8.81
14	-38.76	14	-0.13	35	-0.61
15	0.47	15	2.24	36	10.77
		16	-3.34	37	9.53
		17	10.30	38	4.16
		18	7.29	39	2.18
		19	-36.19	40	33.60
		20	11.54	41	12.67
		21	8 46	42	11.88

Table S2. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 2.



Fig.S2. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound **2** with numbered atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with green number represent the maximum extreme.

Number of surface minima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻ ¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)
1	-14.09	1	13.77	25	19.20
2	-11.27	2	13.60	*26	34.89
3	6.52	3	13.50	27	-6.88
4	-2.54	4	10.62	28	-15.33
5	-12.09	5	9.55	29	16.60
6	-1.77	6	12.49	30	17.44
7	-1.77	7	4.24	31	15.50
8	-47.38	8	10.72	32	2.75
9	9.84	9	10.99	33	16.28
10	-32.09	10	7.12	34	-2.29
11	10.99	11	-0.58	35	-1.11
*12	-55.87	12	12.67	36	15.67
13	-3.97	13	10.83	37	14.64
14	-40.75	14	14.83	38	11.83
15	14.89	15	10.72	39	2.22
16	-30.03	16	12.09	40	2.26
17	8.12	17	-6.35	41	0.38
18	-7.07	18	18.65	42	7.00
19	-5.28	19	5.54	43	0.81
20	0.85	20	7.02	44	8.98
21	-36.27	21	-18.06	45	4.13
		22	8.21	46	2.82
		23	4.69	47	3.30
		24	7.39		

 Table S3. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 3.



Fig.S3. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound **3** with numbered atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with green numbers represent the maximum extreme.



Fig.S4. The three-dimensional (3D) molecular docking between compounds 4(A), 5(B), 6(C), 7(D), 8(E), and 9(F) with MDM2.



Fig.S5. The 2D molecular docking sites between compounds 4(A), 5(B), 6(C), 7(D), 8(E), and 9(F) with MDM2.



Fig.S6. The three-dimensional (3D) molecular docking between compounds **11**(A), **12**(B), **13**(C), **14**(D), **15**(E), **16**(F), **17**(G), and **18**(H) with MDM2.



Fig.S7. The two-dimensional (2D) molecular docking sites between compounds 11(A), 12(B), 13(C), 14(D), 15(E), 16(F), 17(G), and 18(H) with MDM2.



Fig.S8. The three-dimensional (3D) molecular docking between compounds 19(A), 20(B), 21(C), 22(D), 23(E), and 24(F) with MDM2.



Fig.S9. The two-dimensional (2D) molecular docking sites between compounds 19(A), 20(B), 21(C), 22(D), 23(E), and 24(F) with MDM2.



Fig.S10. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound **19** with numbered atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with green number represent the maximum extreme.

Number of surface minima	Potential (kcal·mol-1)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal∙mol-1)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol-1)
1	-42.84	1	18.91	21	-1.43
2	-43.21	2	18.95	22	13.67
3	-33.61	3	17.33	23	-4.58
4	22.23	4	27.27	24	23.31
5	-13.75	5	-14.77	25	34.21
6	-43.51	6	-2.36	26	-3.46
7	-19.42	7	7.24	27	13.99
8	-2.09	8	-11.87	28	26.93
9	-43.33	9	9.97	29	10.76
10	-42.19	*10	35.31	30	24.88
11	-25.42	11	-17.15	31	12.57
12	-24.66	12	-9.26	32	28.06
13	-43.37	13	29.11	33	12.52
14	-3.13	14	25.32		
15	-6.17	15	-20.27		
16	-3.32	16	28.24		
17	6.28	17	4.34		
18	-47.05	18	16.13		
*19	-49.73	19	7.13		
20	3.68	20	9.25		

 Table S4. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 19.



Fig.S11. Distribution of the surface electrostatic potential extreme of compound **20** with numbered atoms. The blue points with pink numbers represent the minimum extreme, and the red points with green number represent the maximum extreme.

Number of surface minima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)	Number of surface maxima	Potential (kcal·mol ⁻¹)
1	6.81	1	14.70	21	16.10
2	-6.76	2	15.68	22	11.14
3	-51.19	3	16.47	23	6.35
4	-47.28	4	13.90	24	-39.66
5	-16.60	5	-0.98	25	23.07
6	-40.20	6	4.84	26	9.081
7	-28.01	7	12.13	27	8.97
8	-4.43	8	0.71	28	15.75
* 9	-49.05	9	11.07	29	22.99
10	-57.72	10	10.66	30	19.52
11	-32.13	11	10.10	31	31.04
12	-42.55	12	0.57	32	26.21
13	-22.01	13	0.49	33	20.86
14	-41.13	14	-19.63	34	20.24
15	18.16	15	-1.48	35	21.77
16	-25.06	16	-2.60	36	22.93
17	-30.34	17	7.60	37	11.51
18	-32.10	18	-2.24	* 38	34.18
19	12.14	19	-38.87	39	32.47
20	6.99	20	0.66	40	32.37

 Table S5. Electrostatic potential distribution diagram of compound 20.

Parameter	Compound 1	Compound 2	Compound 3	Compound 8	Compound 14	Compound 19
Grid box (nm)	40×40×40	40×40×40	40×40×40	40×40×40	40×40×40	40×40×40
spacing	0.375	0.375	0.375	0.375	0.375	0.375
grid center x	-7.442	-7.442	-7.442	-7.442	-7.442	-7.442
grid center y	0.621	0.621	0.621	0.621	0.621	0.621
grid center z	6.892	6.892	6.892	6.892	6.892	6.892
GA runs	20	20	20	20	20	20
population size	150	150	150	150	150	150

Table S6. Docking process parameter settings for those with relative high binding energy.

Compounds	Number of HBA	HBD	MolLogP	MolPSA (A ²)	BBB Score	Drug-likeness model score
Compound 1	8	3	1.86	150.95	1.00	0.36
Compound 2	8	3	1.86	150.95	1.00	0.36
Compound 3	8	3	1.86	150.95	1.00	0.36
Compound 4	4	1	1.71	66.26	3.55	0.22
Compound 5	5	2	1.95	91.64	2.11	0.47
Compound 6	6	2	1.59	108.58	1.64	0.47
Compound 7	7	3	2.31	134.02	1.00	0.36
Compound 8	9	5	3.18	185.97	0.20	0.3
Compound 9	10	7	4.39	221.21	0.00	0.3
Compound 10*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Compound 11	8	3	1.48	150.95	1.00	0.49
Compound 12	8	3	1.92	150.95	1.00	0.88
Compound 13	8	3	2.79	150.95	1.00	0.44
Compound 14	10	3	1.78	184.34	1.00	0.18
Compound 15	8	3	2.46	150.95	1.00	0.49
Compound 16	8	5	2.30	150.95	1.00	0.47
Compound 17	8	5	0.77	171.76	1.17	0.51
Compound 18	9	3	1.81	158.50	1.00	0.54
Compound 19	10	3	1.71	184.34	1.00	0.24
Compound 20	10	3	2.01	184.03	1.00	-0.07
Compound 21	10	3	1.16	184.03	1.00	-0.29
Compound 22	10	3	1.97	184.03	1.00	-0.31
Compound 23	10	3	1.91	184.34	1.00	-0.06
Compound 24	10	3	1.27	184.03	1.00	0.12

 Table S7. Drug-likeness prediction of compounds 1-24

* The druglikeness of compound 10 could be not predicted by MolSoft programme due to it large molecular weight.