Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2023

Supplementary Information

Silica gel-mediated chemical degradation of dimeric pyranonaphthoquinones into their monomeric units

Chiharu Ozakai, Kei Kitamura,* and Hiroto Kaku*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tokushima Bunri University, Yamashiro-cho, Tokushima, 770-8514

Table of Contents

1.	General	S2
2.	Screening and optimization	S3
3.	Experimental procedure	S6
4.	Mechanistic study	S18
5.	UV–Vis spectra	S19
6.	¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra	S21
7.	Reference	S25

1. General

Infrared (IR) spectra were measured on a JASCO FT/IR-4200 spectrophotometer. Ultraviolet– Visible (UV–Vis) spectra were measured on a JASCO V-650 spectrophotometer. Melting points (Mp) were determined on a Büchi B-545 apparatus, and were uncorrected. Optical rotations ($[\alpha]_D$) were measured with a JASCO P-2300 polarimeter. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were recorded on a JEOL JMS-700 (EI/CI) or a JEOL JMS-S3000 Spiral TOF mass spectrometers (MALDI). For column chromatography, silica gel 60 N (Spherical, 63–210 µm, Kanto Chemical Co., Inc.) was used. For thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis, Merck precoated silica gel plates 60 F₂₅₄ were used. Preparative TLC (PTLC) was performed with Merck precoated silica gel plates (60F₂₅₄). ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE-III (500 MHz) spectrometer; chemical shifts were referenced to tetramethylsilane as an internal standard and the residual solvent signal (CDCl₃: δ_H 7.26; methanol-*d*₄: δ_H 3.31). ¹³C NMR spectra were referenced to the residual solvent signal (CDCl₃: δ_C 77.0; methanol-*d*₄: δ_C 49.3).

2. Screening and optimization



Table S1. Screening of acid.

entry	acid (equiv)	solvent	temp. (°C)	time (h)	result
1	alumina (pH 4.5) ^a	MeOH	50	6	decomposition
2	montmorillonite K10 ^a	MeOH	50	6	decomposition
3	amberlite IR-120B ^a	MeOH	reflux	24	decomposition
4	AcOH ^b	_	reflux	24	decomposition
5	TsOH·H ₂ O (45)	MeOH	reflux	15	decomposition
6	H ₂ SO ₄ (120)	MeOH	reflux	8	decomposition
7	TsOH·H ₂ O (45)	toluene	80	15	decomposition
8	H ₂ SO ₄ (120)	toluene	80	8	decomposition

^a 54 g/mmol of solid acid was used. ^b AcOH was used as a solvent (2.0 mM).



Table S2. Screening of solvent^a.

ontry		solvent	yield (%)			recovery (%)
entry	Silica gei		6	7	8	(5 <mark>a</mark> :5b)
1	silica gel	MeOH	21	21	28	0
2	silica gel	-	0	0	0	56 (1.1:1)
3	_	MeOH	0	0	4	71 (1:2.0)
4	silica gel	toluene	0	0	0	83 (1:2.6)
5	silica gel	chlorobenzene	0	0	0	67 (<mark>1</mark> :1.5)
6	silica gel	EtOAc	0	0	0	88 (1:6.1)
7	silica gel	<i>n</i> -PrOH	9	11	6	35 (2.5:1)
8	silica gel	MeOH/H ₂ O = 1/1	9	6	46	0

^a The reaction was performed using silica gel (54 g/mmol) in solvent (2.0 mM).



Table S3. Screening of silica gel^{*a*}.

ontry	silion gol	manufacturer	yield (%) recovery (%)			
entry	Silica gei		6	7	8	(5 <mark>a:5b</mark>)
1	silica gel (pH 7, 63–210 μm)	Kanto Chemical Co., Inc.	21	21	28	0
2	silica gel (pH 7, 40–50 μm)	Kanto Chemical Co., Inc.	12	14	29	0
3	silica gel (pH 7, 64–210 μm)	FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Co., Inc.	22	15	24	0
4	silica gel (pH 6, 63–210 μm)	Kanto Chemical Co., Inc.	0	0	0	29 (1:1.5)
5	oxalated silica gel ^[1]	-	0	2	0	37 (1:1.6)

^a The reaction was performed using silica gel (54 g/mmol) in methanol (2.0 mM).

3. Experimental procedure

3-1. Silica gel-induced degradation of 5b



To a solution of compound **5b** (43.2 mg, 71.0 µmol) in MeOH (36 mL) was added silica gel (3.84 g, dried with a heat gun under vacuum). After stirring for 24 h under reflux, the suspension was filtered through a Celite[®] pad, and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 3/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to afford pyranonaphthoquinones **6** (9.1 mg, 21%) as yellow solids, **7** (8.5 mg, 21%) as yellow solids, and **8** (12.7 mg, 28%) as red solids.



 $R_f 0.61$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1/1);

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{21}$ –34.2 (*c* 0.50, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3511, 2981, 2920, 2855, 1635, 1610, 1568, 1302, 1263, 1206, 1145, 1107, 1081, 1062, 1044, 985, 847, 787, 752, 721, 620 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.41 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 1.62 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 3.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.2 Hz), 3.87 (dq, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 6.2 Hz), 3.91 (s, 3H), 4.44 (brd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.92 (brq, 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 6.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 7.17 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.5 Hz), 12.16 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 18.6, 19.2, 56.1, 67.16, 67.17, 67.8, 106.4, 108.5, 109.4, 133.2, 141.2, 148.5, 164.6, 166.2, 185.4, 186.6;
HRMS (MALDI) calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₆ [M]⁻ *m/z* 304.0941; found *m/z* 304.0950;
Mp 128–129 °C.

 $R_{f} 0.81$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1/1);

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +53.2 (*c* 0.50, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3074, 2975, 2930, 2896, 1645, 1608, 1383, 1326, 1293, 1266, 1252, 1203, 1147, 1140, 1100, 1054, 991, 842, 821, 789, 741, 614 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.35 (d, 3H, J = 6.2 Hz), 1.56 (d, 3H, J = 6.9 Hz), 2.22 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 19.3 Hz), 2.72 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, 19.3 Hz), 3.90 (s, 3H), 4.00 (ddq, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 6.2 Hz), 5.00 (dq, 1H, J = 2.1 Hz, 6.9 Hz), 6.63 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz), 7.18 (d, 1H, J = 2.5 Hz), 12.27 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 19.9, 21.5, 29.9, 56.0, 62.5, 67.0, 106.1, 107.8, 109.4, 133.3, 142.0, 146.8, 164.4, 165.9, 183.3, 186.8;

HRMS (MALDI) calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₅ [M]⁻ *m/z* 288.0992; found *m/z* 288.0993; Mp 151–152 °C.

 $R_f 0.29$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1/1);

 $[\alpha]_{D^{21}}$ +14.3 (*c* 0.50, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3592, 2981, 2919, 2849, 1600, 1568, 1464, 1427, 1371, 1352, 1281, 1249, 1208, 1107, 1070, 1053, 1030, 958, 854, 792, 742, 596 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.46 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 1.68 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 3.80 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.6 Hz), 3.97 (s, 3H), 4.00 (dq, 1H, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 6.8 Hz), 4.61 (dd, 1H, *J* = 2.6 Hz, 7.9 Hz), 5.11 (q, 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 6.22 (s, 1H), 12.99 (s, 1H), 13.05 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 18.3, 18.8, 56.9, 67.3, 67.7, 67.8, 108.8, 110.1, 110.3, 135.2, 144.0, 156.3, 159.9, 160.7, 179.8, 185.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₇ [M]⁺ *m/z* 320.0896; found *m/z* 320.0893; Mp 141–142 °C.



3-2. Silica gel-induced degradation of 5a

3-3. Silica gel-promoted isomerization of uroleuconaphin $B_2(S1)$ to uroleuconaphin $B_1(S2)$



Yellow pigment S1 (15.3 mg, 26.4 µmol) was dissolved in EtOAc, which was charged with silica gel (418 mg). The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was suspended in MeOH (15 mL). After stirring for 36 h under reflux, the suspension was filtered through a Celite[®] pad and was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH = $40/1 \rightarrow 10/1$) to afford the red pigment S2 (3.0 mg, 20%).

3-4. Silica gel-induced regiospecific dimerization of 6



To a solution of compound 6 (6.3 mg, 0.021 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was added silica gel (1.1 g, dried with a heat gun under vacuum). After stirring for 24 h under reflux, the suspension was filtered through a Celite[®] pad and was washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 2/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to afford bisquinone 9 (1.5 mg, 24%) as a 1:1 mixture of atropisomers, and starting material 6 (1.7 mg, 27%) was recovered. The atropisomers of 9 were separated by preparative TLC (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = $2/1 \times 2$).

The axial chirality of each diastereomer was determined as follows: We previously reported the strong acid-promoted conversion of uroleuconaphin A_1 (1)^[2] to viridaphins 2 and S4, along with S6 (Scheme S1). The absolute stereochemistry of the axis in S6 was determined by X-ray analysis after bis-MOM protection.^[3] Under these conditions, S7 derived from S2 was methylated by TMSCHN₂ to obtain 9a. The spectroscopic data of 9a was in good agreement with the less polar diastereomer of 9. The more polar diastereomer of 9 was then assigned as 9b.



Scheme S1. Acid-promoted chemical conversion of pigments 1 and S2.

Methylation of biaryl compound S7



To a solution of biaryl compound S7 (3.8 mg, 6.6 μ mol) in toluene/MeOH (0.3 mL/0.3 mL) was added trimethylsilyldiazomethane (0.6 M in *n*-hexane, 385 μ L, 231 μ mol) at room temperature. After stirring for 15 h, the reaction was quenched by adding AcOH aqueous at this temperature. The products were extracted with EtOAc (×3), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄. Concentration and purification by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 2/1) afforded compound **9a** (2.2 mg, 54%) as an orange solid.



 $R_f 0.23$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1/1×2);

 $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ +57.6 (*c* 0.09, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3522, 2963, 2928, 2853, 1640, 1609, 1381, 1261, 1232, 1206, 1114, 1084, 1029, 799, 780, 760, 473, 444, 412 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.34 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.2 Hz), 1.42 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 1.61 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 1.62 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 3.46 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 3.80 (s, 3H), 3.81–3.86 (m, 5H), 3.89–3.92 (m, 1H), 4.26 (brd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.47 (brd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.91 (q, 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 4.93 (q, 1H, *J* = 6.8 Hz), 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.33 (s, 1H), 12.06 (s, 1H), 12.91 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 18.57, 18.58, 19.0, 19.2, 56.5, 56.6, 66.9, 67.1, 67.2, 67.3, 67.5, 67.8, 103.1, 104.5, 109.7, 110.2, 117.0, 119.4, 130.4, 132.2, 141.1, 141.9, 147.2, 148.1, 160.4, 163.4, 164.3, 165.4, 185.5, 185.6, 187.1, 187.2;

HRMS (MALDI) calcd for $C_{32}H_{30}O_{12}Na [M+Na]^+ m/z$ 629.1630; found *m/z* 629.1642; Mp 66.8–67.0 °C.



Figure S1. Key HMBC correlation of 9a



 $R_f 0.18$ (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = 1/1×2);

 $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ –282 (*c* 0.07, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3522, 2930, 2855, 1642, 1609, 1381, 1361, 1311, 1291, 1269, 1231, 1114, 1081, 1036, 794, 755, 432 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.34 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 1.43 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.0 Hz), 1.62 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 1.63 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 3.42 (brs, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.81–3.86 (m, 5H), 3.88–3.93 (m, 1H), 4.28 (brd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.47 (brd, 1H, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 4.92 (q, 2H, *J* = 6.5 Hz), 6.75 (s, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 12.07 (s, 1H), 12.91 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 18.5, 18.6, 19.1, 19.3, 56.5, 56.6, 66.8, 67.17, 67.20, 67.3, 67.5, 67.8, 103.3, 104.6, 109.7, 110.4, 117.0, 119.5, 130.3, 132.2, 141.2, 141.8, 147.3, 148.1, 161.1, 162.5, 164.3, 165.5, 185.6, 185.7, 187.1, 187.3; HRMS (MALDI) calcd for $C_{32}H_{30}O_{12}Na [M+Na]^+ m/z$ 629.1630; found *m/z* 629.1616;

Mp 105–106 °C.

3-5. Preparation of 6



To a solution of pyranonaphthoquinone $S9^{[4]}$ (232 mg, 0.492 mmol) in EtOAc (25 mL) was added 10% Pd/C (28.0 mg, 26.3 µmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 11 h under hydrogen atmosphere, the reaction was filtered through a short pad of silica gel on Celite[®] and washed with CH₂Cl₂. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to afford the compound S10 (140 mg, 98%) as red solids.

To a solution of compound **S10** (160 mg, 0.551 mmol) in toluene/MeOH (11 mL/11 mL) was added trimethylsilyldiazomethane (0.6 M in THF, 8.5 mL, 5.1 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 4 h, the reaction was quenched by adding AcOH (300 μ L) at this temperature. Concentration and purification by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/Acetone = 9/1) afforded compound **6** (90.9 mg, 54%) as yellow solids.



 $R_f 0.48$ (*n*-hexane/acetone = 6/4);

 $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ –27.0 (*c* 0.43, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 3235, 2979, 1608, 1269, 1178, 1067, 854, 763, 629 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.41 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 1.62 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 3.86 (brs, 1H), 3.89 (dq, 1H, *J* = 8.1, 6.1 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.1 Hz), 4.92 (q, 1H, *J* = 6.9 Hz), 6.32 (brs, 1H), 6.61 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 7.06 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 12.06 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 18.6, 19.1, 67.11, 67.12, 67.8, 108.6, 108.8, 109.6, 133.5, 140.8, 148.5, 162.8, 164.5, 185.2, 186.5;

HRMS (CI) calcd for C₁₅H₁₅O₆ [M+H]⁺ *m/z* 291.0863; found *m/z* 291.0870;

Mp 107-108 °C.

3-6. Silica gel-induced degradation of 4b



To a solution of compound **4b** (44.5 mg, 75.1 mmol) in MeOH (38 mL) was added silica gel (4.06 g; dried with a heat gun under vacuum). After stirring for 48 h under reflux, the suspension was filtered through a Celite[®] pad, and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 2/1$) to afford the compounds **6** (1.0 mg, 2%) as yellow solids, **7** (8.5 mg, 24%) as yellow solids, and **16** (8.0 mg, 22%) as red solids.

 $R_f 0.35$ (*n*-nexane/EtOAc = 2/1);

 $[\alpha]_{D^{22}}$ +90.4 (*c* 0.65, CHCl₃);

IR (ATR) 2973, 2936, 1596, 1439, 1387, 1298, 1238, 1202, 1106, 1055, 990, 966, 856, 811, 753, 708, 645, 600, 492, 445 cm⁻¹;

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 1.38 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.1 Hz), 1.59 (d, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 2.37 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.5 Hz, 18.4 Hz), 2.87 (dd, 1H, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 18.4 Hz), 3.94 (s, 3H), 4.06 (ddq, 1H, *J* = 3.4 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 6.1 Hz), 5.15 (q, 1H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 6.18 (s, 1H), 12.72 (s, 1H), 13.17 (s, 1H);

¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 19.2, 21.7, 30.0, 56.7, 62.1, 68.1, 107.7, 109.6, 109.8, 135.0, 142.7, 157.7, 160.5, 160.6, 178.5, 184.9;

HRMS (CI) calcd for C₁₆H₁₆O₆ [M]⁺ *m/z* 304.0947; found *m/z* 304.0947;

Mp 187–188 °C.



3-7. Silica gel-induced degradation of 4a

4. Mechanistic study

4-1. Silica gel-induced degradation of **5b** in methanol- d_4 .



To a solution of compound **5b** (14.4 mg, 23.7 µmol) in CD₃OD (12 mL) was added silica gel (1.28 g; dried with a heat gun under vacuum). After stirring for 24 h under reflux, the suspension was filtered through a Celite[®] pad, and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc = $5/1 \rightarrow 3/1 \rightarrow 2/1 \rightarrow 1/1$) to afford the deuterated compounds **6** (4.3 mg, 30%) as yellow solids, **7** (0.4 mg, 3%) as yellow solids, and **8** (3.2 mg, 21%) as red solids.

5. UV–Vis spectra



Figure S2. UV–Vis spectra (MeOH, 2.0×10^{-5} M).

	$\lambda_{\max} \operatorname{nm} (\log \varepsilon)$		
6	269 (4.07), 429 (3.57)		
7	297 (3.74), 498 (3.66), 531 (3.47) 267 (4.03), 427 (3.45)		
8	297 (3.74), 498 (3.66), 531 (3.47)		
9	273 (4.48), 439 (3.98)		





Figure S3. UV–Vis spectra (0.09 M NaOH/MeOH, 2.0×10^{-5} M).

	$\lambda_{\max} \operatorname{nm} (\log \varepsilon)$		
6	284 (3.71), 523 (3.29)		
7	284 (3.74), 523 (3.27)		
8	305 (3.87), 549 (4.04), 586 (4.05)		
9	284 (4.37), 550 (4.06)		





Figure S5. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **8** (125 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S7. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **9a** (125 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S9. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **9b** (125 MHz, CDCl₃).



Figure S11. ¹³C NMR spectrum of **16** (125 MHz, CDCl₃).

7. Reference

- 1. D. W. Cameron, A. G. Riches, A. G. Aust. J. Chem. 1997, 50, 409.
- 2. M. Horikawa, T. Hashimoto, Y. Asakawa, S. Takaoka, M. Tanaka, H. Kaku, T. Nishii, K. Yamaguchi, H. Masu, M. Kawase, S. Suzuki, M. Sato, T. Tsunoda, *Tetrahedron* 2006, **62**, 9072.
- C. Ozakai, K. Kitamura, M. Horikawa, T. Hoshiyama, A. Imamura, T. Yoneyama, A. Umeyama, M. Noji, T. Tsunoda, H. Kaku, *New J. Chem.* 2022, 46, 2600.
- 4. K. Kitamura, H. Kanagawa, C. Ozakai, T. Nishimura, H. Tokuda, T. Tsunoda, H. Kaku, *Synthesis* 2021, **53**, 1629.