## **Supporting information**

The potassium energy storage behavior of Nickel-Znic codoped Prussian blue analogs formed by a chelating agent assisted route and its application in a K<sup>+</sup>-proton hybrid ions aqueous alkaline Battery

Ruiqing Hua<sup>a</sup>, Caiyun Xu<sup>a</sup>, Dian Lin<sup>a</sup>, Deyu Qu<sup>a\*</sup>, Xi Li<sup>a</sup>, Ruiming Zhang<sup>c</sup>, Zhizhong Xie <sup>a\*</sup>, Haolin Tang<sup>b,d</sup>, Junsheng Li<sup>a,d</sup>, Dan Liu<sup>a,c \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Chemistry, Chemical Engineering and Life Science, Wuhan University of Technology, 122 Luoshi Road, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, P.R. China

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Advanced Technology for Material Synthesis and Processing, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, 122 Luoshi Road, Wuhan 430070, Hubei, P.R. China

<sup>c</sup> Foshan Xianhu Laboratory of the Advanced Energy Science and Technology Guangdong Laboratory, Xianhu Hydrogen Valley, Foshan 528200, China



Fig.S1. Rate performances of  $Ni_xZn_yHCF$  electrodes. (A1)(A2):  $Ni_1Zn_2HCF$ . (B1)(B2): $Ni_1Zn_1HCF$ . (C1)(C2):  $Ni_2Zn_1HCF$ . (D1)(D2):  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF$ . (E) the comparison of  $Ni_xZn_yHCF$  electrodes.



Fig.S2. (A) Open-circuit potential profiles and charge-discharge curves under an applied current density of 100 mA g<sup>-1</sup> after charging the electrode for 3 h in 30 wt% KOH solution followed by 24 h at open circuit potential. (B) Discharge capacities after resting at various of time. (C) Cyclic performances of the Ni<sub>x</sub>Zn<sub>y</sub>HCF electrodes.



Fig.S3. TEM images of  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF-10$ .



Fig.S4. Energy-dispersive spectroscopic (EDS) elemental mapping images of  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF$ -10.



Fig.S5. TEM image of Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF.



Fig.S6. Charge and discharge curves of  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF-Z$  (Z=10,15,20) electrodes after being charge for various time.



Fig.S7. (A) Coulombic efficiencies of  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF-Z$  electrode (Z=0,10,15,20) under 100 mA g<sup>-1</sup> (250 cycles). (B) Coulombic efficiencies of  $Ni_3Zn_1HCF-10$  electrode under 100 mA g<sup>-1</sup> (350 cycles).



Fig.S8. Charge and discharge curves of AC electrode after being charge for various time.



Fig.S9. Rate performance of AC.



Fig.S10. Rate performance of the full cell.



Fig.S11. Coulombic efficiencies of full cell under 100 mA  $g^{-1}$  (500 cycles).

previously.										
Cathode material	Electrolyte	Rate capability	Initial capacity	Cyclability	Ref.					
CuHCF	$Al_2(SO_4)_3$	74% capacity retention (30.3 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	41 mAh g <sup>-1</sup>	1000 cycles, 54.9%	Ref <sup>[1]</sup>					
РВ	NaSO4	$\begin{array}{l} 68\% \text{ capacity} \\ \text{retention (34.5 } 50 \text{ mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \\ \text{mAh } \text{g}^{-1} \end{array}$		200 cycles, 84%	Ref <sup>[2]</sup>					
NiHCF	ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	52% capacity retention(35 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	67 mAh g <sup>-1</sup>	100 cycles, 87%	Ref <sup>[3]</sup>					
MgFeHCF	FeSO <sub>4</sub>	75% capacity retention (65 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	86 mAh g <sup>-1</sup>	500 cycles, 70.9 %	Ref <sup>[4]</sup>					
This work	КОН	52.9% capacity retention(50.5 mAh g <sup>-1</sup> )	95.5 mAh g <sup>-1</sup>	350 cycles, 99.9%						

Table S1: The electrode performance comparison of our work and other aqueous batteries reported

## **Reference:**

[1] S. Liu, G.L. Pan, G.R. Li, X.P. Gao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 959-962.

[2] A.J. Fernández-Ropero, M.J. Piernas-Muñoz, E. Castillo-Martínez, T. Rojo, M. Casas-Cabanas, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2016, 210, 352-357.

[3] Z. Li, T. Liu, R. Meng, L. Gao, Y. Zou, P. Peng, Y. Shao, X. Liang, Energy Environ. Mater., 2020, 4, 111-116.

[4] G. Huang, Z. Lao, Z. He, F. Xiong, S. Tan, M. Huang, G. Thompson, Q. An, L. Mai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 4067-4070.

Table S2: ICP-OES, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry.

Material						Chemical formula
	К	Ni	Zn	Fe	H <sub>2</sub> O	
Ni <sub>1</sub> Zn <sub>2</sub> HCF	0.44	5.68	12.13	12.45	20.64	$K_{0.04}Ni_{0.33}Zn_{0.66}[Fe(CN)_6]_{0.80} \bullet \Box_{0.20} \bullet 4.$ 12H <sub>2</sub> O
Ni <sub>1</sub> Zn <sub>1</sub> HCF	1.21	10.8	9.66	12.97	`17.83	$K_{0.11}Ni_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}[Fe(CN)_6]_{0.79} \bullet \square_{0.21} \bullet 4.47$ H <sub>2</sub> O
Ni <sub>2</sub> Zn <sub>1</sub> HCF	1.14	6.63	13.79	13.01	19.73	$K_{0.1}Ni_{0.66}Zn_{0.33}[Fe(CN)_6]_{0.76} \circ \Box_{0.24} \circ 3.7$ 0H <sub>2</sub> O
Ni <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>1</sub> HCF	1.24	15.15	4.96	13.27	24.21	$K_{0.1}Ni_{0.75}Zn_{0.25}[Fe(CN)_6]_{0.78} \bullet \square_{0.22} \bullet 4.4$ $3H_2O$

Elements contents (%)

The composition and formula of Ni<sub>1</sub>Zn<sub>2</sub>HCF, Ni<sub>1</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF and Ni<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF samples are also evaluated along with the Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF which summarized in the table S2. It is found that the amount of  $[Fe(CN)_6]$  vacancies are relatively similar among them. Meantime, the Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF sample has the highest content of crystal H<sub>2</sub>O among the four samples. Since the existing of crystal water may jeopardize the capacity of PBA and Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF sample has the highest capacity among the four samples, the observed electrochemical behaviors difference between Ni<sub>1</sub>Zn<sub>2</sub>HCF, Ni<sub>1</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF, Ni<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF and Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF samples may be mainly due to different Ni to Zn ratios in the samples. The effects of vacancies and crystal water upon the electrochemical performances may become significant when the samples have the same ratio of Ni to Zn, as demonstrated between the Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF-10 and Ni<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>1</sub>HCF-0 materials.