Supporting information

Theoretical Screening of Single Atom Doping on β -Ga₂O₃ (100) for Photoelectrochemical Water Splitting with High Activity and Low Limiting Potential

Sijia Fu,^a David Lewis,^a Philip van Eyk,^a Petar Atanckovic,^b and Yan Jiao^{*a}

^a School of Chemical Engineering and Advanced Materials, Faculty of Science, Engineering and Technology, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, 5005, Australia. yan.jiao@adelaide.edu.au

^b Silanna picoFAB Facility, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia, 5005, Australia.



Figure S1 GGA band structure, TDOS and POS analysis of β -Ga₂O₃ unit cell. The top of the valence band is aligned to zero.



Figure S2 GGA band structure and TDOS and POS analysis of all doped structures.



Figure S3 (a) Schematic representation of (100) β-Ga₂O₃ surface. Colour code: Ga, green; O, red. The local structure of Ga is shown in the black box with the bond length.
(b) The local structures of other doped structures.

Yea	Material	Method	Research aspect	re
r				f
2012	Zn doped β-	Computation	Electronic structures, and absorption	1
	Ga ₂ O ₃		and reflectivity in the UV region	
2012	Zn doped Ga ₂ O ₃	Experiment	The effect of different Zn doping	2
			concentration in photocatalytic	
			hydrogen production	
2012	Zn doped β-	Experiment	The microstructure, optical	3
	Ga ₂ O ₃ films		transmittance, optical absorption,	
			optical energy gap, and	
			photoluminescence	
2013	Si doped β -Ga ₂ O ₃	Experiment	Application in fabrication of low-	4
			resistance ohmic contacts	
2013	1D nanoporous	Experiment	Application in photocatalytic	5
	Zn doped Ga ₂ O ₃	_	hydrogen production	
2013	Zn and Pb	Experiment	Application in photocatalytic	6
			hydrogen production	
2015	Non-metal	Computation	The photocatalytic redox ability for	7
	doping		doped systems	
2017	Al doped β-	Computation	The effects of intrinsic defects on	8
	Ga ₂ O ₃		electronic structures and optical	
			properties	
2017	Ca/La/Ti doped	Computation	Geometrical structures and	9
	β-Ga ₂ O ₃		electronic properties	
2018	Rh doped β-	Experiment	Application in photocatalytic CO ₂	10
	Ga ₂ O ₃		reduction	
2019	N doped β -Ga ₂ O ₃	Computation	The effects of the doping	11
			concentration on the electronic and	
			optical properties	
2021	Sr doped β-	Computation	The effect of Sr doping in Ga ₂ O ₃ as	12
	Ga_2O_3		p-type doping based on its material	
			properties in the simulation structure	
2021	Al doped β -	Experiment	The effects of Al doping on	13
	Ga ₂ O ₃		hydrothermal synthesized β -Ga ₂ O ₃	
	nanostructure		nanostructures for photocatalyst	
			applications	
2021	Zn and Ca doped	Experiment	The effects of core-shell double	14
	β -Ga ₂ O ₃		doping on enhancing photocatalytic	
			activity for hydrogen production	

Table S1 Summary of the studied on doped gallium oxide materials.

	Slab (eV)	*OH (eV)	*O (eV)	*00H (eV)
Si	-975.25	-986.68	-980.24	-990.68
Со	-972.89	-983.01	-977.26	-987.71
Ni	-970.96	-980.94	-974.67	-985.70
Cu	-968.59	-977.52	-971.16	-982.51
Zn	-967.47	-975.79	-969.17	-980.94
Ru	-974.56	-985.94	-981.63	-990.76
Rh-Ga1	-972.89	-983.60	-978.20	-988.22
Rh-Ga2	-970.93	-981.97	-976.37	-986.14
Sn	-971.80	-983.39	-976.61	-987.38
Pt	-970.81	-982.11	-976.16	-986.33
Au	-967.66	-976.79	-970.92	-980.91
Ga	-971.91	-982.53	-974.01	-985.56

Table S2 The free energies of the most stable configurations for all the adsorbents on doping structures and pure β -Ga₂O₃ 100 surface.

Reference

- 1. L. Chao, Y. Jin-Liang, Z. Li-Ying and Z. Gang, *Chinese Physics B*, 2012, **21**, 127104.
- 2. K. Shimura and H. Yoshida, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2012, 14, 2678-2684.
- 3. Y. Wei, Y. Jinliang, W. Jiangyan and Z. Liying, *Journal of Semiconductors*, 2012, **33**, 073003.
- 4. K. Sasaki, M. Higashiwaki, A. Kuramata, T. Masui and S. Yamakoshi, *Applied Physics Express*, 2013, **6**, 086502.
- 5. N. K. Shrestha, K. Lee, R. Kirchgeorg, R. Hahn and P. Schmuki, *Electrochemistry* communications, 2013, **35**, 112-115.
- 6. X. Wang, S. Shen, S. Jin, J. Yang, M. Li, X. Wang, H. Han and C. Li, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2013, **15**, 19380-19386.
- W. Guo, Y. Guo, H. Dong and X. Zhou, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2015, 17, 5817-5825.
- 8. X. Ma, Y. Zhang, L. Dong and R. Jia, *Results in physics*, 2017, 7, 1582-1589.
- 9. X. Qiu, J. Zhang, H. Dong and X. Zhou, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 2017, 136, 1-7.
- S. Kikkawa, K. Teramura, H. Asakura, S. Hosokawa and T. Tanaka, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2018, **122**, 21132-21139.
- Z.-C. Zhao, C.-L. Yang, Q.-T. Meng, M.-S. Wang and X.-G. Ma, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2019, 211, 71-78.
- L. Kean Ping, M. A. Mohamed, A. Kumar Mondal, M. F. Mohamad Taib, M. H. Samat, D. D. Berhanuddin, P. S. Menon and R. Bahru, *Micromachines*, 2021, 12, 348.
- 13. S. Kim, H. Ryou, I. G. Lee, M. Shin, B. J. Cho and W. S. Hwang, *RSC Advances*, 2021, **11**, 7338-7346.
- A. Yamakata, J. J. M. Vequizo, T. Ogawa, K. Kato, S. Tsuboi, N. Furutani, M. Ohtsuka, S. Muto,
 A. Kuwabara and Y. Sakata, *ACS Catalysis*, 2021, 11, 1911-1919.