Electronic Supporting Information

Machine-Learning-Accelerated Screening of Single Metal Atoms Anchored on

MnPS₃ Monolayer as Promising Bifunctional Oxygen Electrocatalysts

Xinyi Li, ^{a,b} Shiru Lin,^{c,*} Tingyu Yan,^a Zhongxu Wang, ^a Qinghai Cai,^{a,d} Jingxiang Zhao,^{a,*}

^a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, and Key Laboratory of Photonic and Electronic Bandgap Materials, Ministry of Education, Harbin Normal University, Harbin, 150025, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Automotive Simulation and Control, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Key Laboratory of Automobile Materials of MOE, Jilin University, Changchun 130012, China

^c Division of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Texas Woman's University, Denton, Texas 76204, USA

^d Heilongjiang Province Collaborative Innovation Center of Cold Region Ecological Safety, Harbin 150025, China

To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: slin6@twu.edu (S. Lin); zhaojingxiang@hrbnu.edu.cn (J. Zhao).

Computational Details on Free Energy Changes

In the acid electrolyte, the ORR process can be decomposed into the following elementary steps:

$$^{*} + O_{2}(g) + H^{+} + e^{-} \rightarrow OOH^{*}$$
 (1)

$$OOH^* + H^+ + e^- \to O^* + H_2O(l)$$
 (2)

$$O^* + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow OH^*$$
(3)

$$OH^* + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2O(l)$$
(4)

where * is the active site on the surfaces of the catalysts, l and g represent the liquid phase and the gas phase, respectively.

The OER process can be regarded as the inverse process of the ORR process, which can proceed via the following pathways:

$$H_2O(l) + * \rightarrow OH^* + H^+ + e^-$$
 (5)

$$OH^* \rightarrow O^* + H^+ + e^- \tag{6}$$

$$O^* + H_2O(l) \to OOH^* + H^+ + e^-$$
 (7)

$$OOH^* \to {}^* + O_2(g) + H^+ + e^-$$
 (8)

For each elementary step of ORR and OER, the free energy has been calculated according to the method proposed by Nørskov *et al.* The free energy change from initial state to final state of the reaction is defined as: $\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S + \Delta G_U$, where ΔE is the reaction energy difference of reactant and product, which can be directly computed from DFT computations. ΔE_{ZPE} and ΔS are the changes in zero point energies and entropy at room temperature (T = 298.15 K). The entropy (S) was obtained from vibrational frequency calculations. Specifically, S $= \Sigma R\{\frac{\beta h \upsilon}{e^{\beta h \upsilon} - 1} - ln^{[iii]}(1 - e^{\beta h \upsilon})\},$ in which h represents Planck's constant, υ refers

the vibrational frequencies of adsorbed species, R refers gas constant, $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$, and e represents mathematical constant. The Gibbs free energy of O₂ (G_{O2}) will be obtained by $G_{O2} = G_{H2O} - 2G_{H2} + 4.92$ eV because the DFT method fails to accurately describe the high–spin ground state of the O₂ molecule.

Therefore, where * denotes an active site on the catalyst. According to Eqs. (1)– (8), the reaction Gibbs free energy can be written as $\Delta G_1 = \Delta G_{ads} (OOH^*) - 4.92 \text{ eV}$, $\Delta G_2 = \Delta G_{ads} (O^*) - \Delta G_{ads} (OOH^*)$, $\Delta G_3 = \Delta G_{ads} (OH^*) - \Delta G_{ads} (O^*)$, $\Delta G_4 = -\Delta G_{ads}$ (OH*), $\Delta G_5 = \Delta G_{ads} (OH^*)$, $\Delta G_6 = \Delta G_{ads} (O^*) - \Delta G_{ads} (OH^*)$, $\Delta G_7 = \Delta G_{ads} (OOH^*) - \Delta G_{ads} (O^*)$, $\Delta G_8 = 4.92 \text{ eV} - \Delta G_{ads} (OOH^*)$. The overpotential that evaluates the performance of OER and ORR is applied according to the following equations:

$$\eta^{\text{ORR}} = \max\left\{\Delta G_1, \Delta G_2, \Delta G_3, \Delta G_4\right\}/e^- + 1.23 \tag{9}$$

$$\eta^{\text{ORR}} = \max\left\{\Delta G_5, \Delta G_6, \Delta G_7, \Delta G_8\right\}/e^- + 1.23 \tag{10}$$

where 1.23 is the equilibrium potential. Based on previous reports, a lower η value on a given catalyst suggests a less energy input for ORR/OER, thus demonstrating its higher ORR/OER catalytic activity. Indeed, more exact predictions of catalytic activity will require calculations not just of free energies, but of reaction barriers between steps along the pathway. However, each electrochemical step involves the transfer of a proton from a solution to an adsorbed species on the surface. According to previous studies on ORR on Pt benchmark, the barriers for electrochemical proton transfers for the reduction O₂ to OOH on Pt¹ and for the reduction of OH to H₂O on Pt² were calculated to be small (0.15 eV to 0.25 eV) at the potential needed to make the elementary step exergonic and were found to diminish with higher applied voltages. Similarly, as a first approximation we expected that barriers for electrochemical proton transfers to adsorbed species in this study will be small and easily surmountable at room temperature."

For non-electrochemical step i, the equilibrium constant (K_i) can be expressed as :

$$K_{i_{=}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta Gi}{k_{B}T}\right)$$
(S1)

where ΔG_i is the free energy change of step *i*, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. The rate constant (k_i) is given by:

$$k_{i_{=}} v_{iexp} \left(-\frac{E_{a,i}}{k_{B}T} \right)$$
 (S2)

where v_i is the pre-exponential factor, and $E_{a,i}$ is the activation energy.

For the electrochemical step, K_i is associated with the reaction potential (U vs. RHE) and is given by:

$$K_{i_{\pm}} \exp\left(-\frac{e(U-U_{i})}{k_{B}T}\right)$$
(S3)

where Ui is the reversible potential of step *i* deduced by $U_i = -\Delta G_i / e$. k_i is written

as:

$$k_{i_{\pm}}A_{i}\exp(-\frac{E_{a,i}}{k_{B}T})\exp(-\frac{e\beta_{i}(U-U_{i})}{k_{B}T})$$
 (S4)

where A_i is an effective pre-exponential factor taken as 1.23×10^9 , and β_i is the symmetric factor taken as 0.5. Since the $E_{a,i}$ values of electrochemical ORR steps are

generally small, ranging from 0.10 to 0.26 eV, we adopted $E_{a,i} = 0.26$ eV for all the electrochemical steps of the ORR on Rh/MnPS₃ monolayer.

Moreover, the rate constants for all the reverse reactions (k_{-i}) , can be deduced by:

$$k_{-i} = \frac{k_i}{K_i}$$
(S5)

Finally, the current density (*j*) can be computed by :

$$j = e\rho TOF_{e}$$
(S6)

TM	Sc	Ti	V	Cr	Mn	Fe	Co	Ni	Cu
$E_{\rm bind}$	-3.94	-4.95	-5.92	-4.28	-4.16	-5.03	-5.53	-5.09	-4.24
ТМ	Zn	Nb	Mo	Ru	Rh	Pd	Ag	W	Os
$E_{\rm bind}$	-1.11	-6.31	-6.51	-7.45	-5.81	-3.93	-2.28	-9.04	-8.71
ТМ	Ir	Pt	Au						
$E_{\rm bind}$	-7.91	-5.89	-4.50						

Table S1. The computed binding energies (E_{bind} , eV) of all considered SACs on MnPS₃ monolayer.

	$\Delta G_{\rm OH^*}({ m eV})$	$\Delta G_{\mathrm{O}^*}(\mathrm{eV})$	$\Delta G_{\rm OOH^*}({ m eV})$
Sc	-1.58	-0.57	2.38
Ti	-1.40	-0.97	2.59
V	-0.90	-0.86	1.96
Cr	-2.03	1.98	2.80
Mn	-0.42	0.78	2.94
Fe	0.18	1.39	3.32
Co	0.20	1.58	3.58
Ni	0.94	2.65	4.05
Cu	0.64	2.98	4.00
Zn	0.09	2.04	3.68
Nb	-1.47	-1.62	1.70
Mo	-0.90	-1.08	2.33
Ru	0.32	1.12	2.93
Rh	0.96	2.33	4.01
Pd	1.23	3.25	4.23
Ag	0.86	2.03	3.80
W	-1.30	0.03	2.23
Os	0.41	1.16	4.59
Ir	0.12	1.26	3.01
Pt	0.10	1.61	3.28
Au	-0.12	1.98	3.27

Table S2. The computed adsorption Gibbs free energies (ΔG_{OOH*} , ΔG_{O*} , and ΔG_{OH*}) of all catalysts.

	$\Delta G_1(\text{ eV})$	$\Delta G_2(\text{ eV})$	$\Delta G_3(\text{ eV})$	$\Delta G_4(\mathrm{eV})$
Sc	-2.95	-2.54	-1.02	1.58
Ti	-2.33	-3.56	-0.43	1.40
V	-2.83	-2.96	-0.04	0.90
Cr	-0.47	-2.10	-2.47	0.12
Mn	-2.15	-1.98	-1.21	0.42
Fe	-1.60	-1.93	-1.21	-0.18
Co	-1.34	-2.00	-1.38	-0.20
Ni	-0.87	-1.41	-1.71	-0.94
Cu	-0.92	-1.02	-2.34	-0.64
Zn	-1.23	-1.24	-2.34	-0.09
Nb	-3.22	-3.32	0.15	1.47
Mo	-2.58	-3.42	0.19	0.90
Ru	-1.99	-1.81	-0.80	-0.32
Rh	-0.91	-1.68	-1.37	-0.96
Pd	-0.69	-0.98	-2.02	-1.23
Ag	-0.77	-0.62	-2.67	-0.86
W	-0.14	-0.75	-2.73	-1.30
Os	-0.43	-3.42	-0.65	-0.41
Ir	-0.71	-2.95	-1.14	-0.12
Pt	-1.67	-1.64	-1.51	-0.10
Au	-1.64	-1.30	-2.10	0.12

Table S3. The computed free energy change of each step during ORR/OER on all $TM/MnPS_3$ systems.

Table S4. The computed the bond length of TM atoms and adsorbed O species $(d_{\text{TM-O}})$, the *d*-band center (ε_d) , the first ionization energy (I_m) , the radius of TM atoms (r_d) , the electronegativity (N_m) , the charge transfer of TM atoms (Q_e) , the magnetic moment of TM atoms (μ_B) , and the number of TM-*d* electrons (N_e) .

	$d_{TM-O}/{ m \AA}$	$\varepsilon_{\rm d}/~{\rm eV}$	$Q_{ m e'}/ m e^-$	$\mu_{ m B}$	$r_{\rm d}/{ m \AA}$	Ne	$N_{\rm m}$	I_m
Sc	1.84	-3.20	0.65	0.23	162	1	1.36	633.1
Ti	1.81	-4.20	0.78	0.73	147	2	1.54	658.1
V	1.76	-3.97	0.94	1.84	134	3	1.63	650.9
Cr	1.79	-3.65	0.89	3.10	128	5	1.66	652.9
Mn	1.82	-1.03	0.74	3.58	127	5	1.55	717.3
Fe	1.80	-1.35	0.59	2.09	126	6	1.83	762.5
Co	1.77	-1.33	0.33	0.12	125	7	1.88	760.4
Ni	1.80	-1.55	0.29	0.27	124	8	1.91	737.1
Cu	1.79	-2.93	0.50	0.05	128	10	1.90	745.5
Zn	1.82	-3.48	0.43	0.01	134	10	1.65	906.4
Nb	1.89	-3.98	0.27	0.84	146	4	1.60	652.1
Mo	1.91	-3.62	0.81	1.23	139	5	2.16	684.3
Ru	1.94	-1.36	0.30	0.62	134	7	2.20	710.2
Rh	1.96	-1.44	0.47	0.06	134	8	2.28	719.7
Pd	2.00	-2.36	0.11	0.16	137	10	2.20	804.4
Ag	2.03	-1.99	0.79	0.03	144	10	1.93	731.0
W	1.90	-4.01	0.75	0.24	139	4	2.38	758.8
Os	1.98	-0.5-	0.93	0.02	135	6	2.20	814.2
Ir	1.93	-0.97	0.90	0.04	136	7	2.28	865.2
Pt	1.52	-2.78	0.08	0.19	139	9	2.20	864.4
Au	1.98	-2.31	0.51	0.03	144	10	2.54	890.1



Figure S1. The computed band structures of $MnPS_3$ and other candidate catalysts. The

Fermi level was set to zero in red line.



Figure S2. The variations of temperature and energy versus the time for AIMD simulations of Rh/MnPS₃, which is run under 300 K for 10 ps with a time step of 1 fs. Schematic diagrams of the atomic configurations after dynamics simulation (top and side views) are also given.



Figure S3. The optimized configurations of O^* , OH^* , and OOH^* on $Rh/MnPS_3$ catalyst.



Figure S4. The computed free energy profiles for ORR/OER on Ni/MnPS₃ monolayer.



Figure S5. The obtained polarization curves of ORR on $Rh/MnPS_3$ and $Pt (1 \ 1 \ 1)$.

References:

- 1 M. Janik, C. Taylor, M. Neurock, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2009, 156, B126-B135.
- 2 V. Tripković, E. Skúlason, S. Siahrostami, J. K. Nørskov, J. Rossmeisl, Electrochim.

Acta, 2010, 55, 7975-7981.