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Fig. S1. Representative PL decay curves of single $\mathrm{InP} / 2 \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs under different excitation powers.


Fig. S2. (a-e) Histograms of ON-states fraction for single $\mathrm{InP} / \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs with 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 MLs of inner ZnSe shell. (f) The average ON-states fraction for single QDs with different number of ZnSe shell monolayers, based on the results from randomly selected $\sim 50$ dots of each $\operatorname{InP} / \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs.


Fig. S3. (a-e) Histograms of $\mathrm{g}^{2}(0)$ for single $\mathrm{InP} / \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs with 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 MLs of inner ZnSe shell. (f) The average $\mathrm{g}^{2}(0)$ for single QDs with different number of ZnSe shell monolayers, based on the results from randomly selected $\sim 50$ dots of each InP/ZnSe/ZnS QDs.


Fig. S4. (a-d) Transient absorption (TA) map and corresponding TA spectra with different time delays for $\mathrm{InP} / \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs with $2,4,6,7 \mathrm{MLs}$, respectively.

Table S1. Bi-exponential fitting parameters of TA kinetics for $\mathrm{InP} / 5 \mathrm{ZnSe} / \mathrm{ZnS}$ QDs displayed in Fig. 5d with increasing pump power from $20 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ to $100 \mu \mathrm{~W}$.

| Pump power | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {fast }} \%$ | $\mathrm{~A}_{\text {slow }} \%$ | $\tau_{\text {fast }}(\mathrm{ps})$ | $\tau_{\text {slow }}(\mathrm{ns})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ | 32.01 | 67.99 | 320 | 3.5 |
| $40 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ | 38.13 | 61.87 | 161 | 3.5 |
| $50 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ | 40.86 | 59.14 | 150 | 3.6 |
| $80 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ | 46.84 | 53.18 | 100 | 3.7 |
| $100 \mu \mathrm{~W}$ | 48.35 | 51.65 | 90 | 3.8 |

