2D-MoS₂ Supported Copper Peroxide Nanodots with Enhanced Nanozyme Activity: Application in Antibacterial Activity

#Archana Kumari, #Jagabandhu Sahoo and Mrinmoy De*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

Email: <u>md@iisc.ac.in</u>

Experimental section

Material and instrument:

Copper chloride (CuCl₂.2H₂O), Polyvinyl pyrrolidine (PVP), Molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂), n-butyllithium, Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30%), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Chemicals involved in performing various assays such as DiSC3(5) (3,3'-Dipropylthiadicarbocyanine Iodide), DTNB (5,5'-dithiobis (2-nitrobenzoic acid)), 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), Glutathione, Luria broth media (LB, HiMedia), NaOH were purchased from commercial sources (Sigma Aldrich, SRL India Pvt. Ltd., and SDFCL chemicals) and used without any further purification. Milli-Q water was used to prepare all the buffer solutions. Agar(I) powdered form was purchased from SRL Chemicals to prepare agar plate.

For recording and processing AFM images, the JPK instrument was used. The height of nanosheets was measured using JPK software. The zeta potential of all materials and CP-nanodot size were determined using the Malvern Zetasizer Nano instrument. UV-vis spectra of ce-MoS₂, CP-nanodots, and MoS₂@CP were recorded using a UV-3600 Shimadzu UV-Vis-NIR Spectrophotometer (Japan Analytical Instruments). The peroxidase-like activity (absorbance) was measured using a Thermo Fisher Scientific plate reader. Fluorescence was measured by Thermo Scientific Varioskan Flash Multimode Reader. TEM images were recorded using JOEL JEM- 2100F with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV instrument. The sample was drop cast on a Leccy-carbon grid for acquiring TEM elemental mapping images. Elemental TEM Images were acquired using a Transmission Electron Microscope (Titan Themis 300kV from FEI, now Thermo) with an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. The concentration of Mo and Cu in MoS₂@CP, ce-MoS₂, and CP-nanodots was determined using a quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) technique. The optical density of the bacterial solution was measured by Thermo Fisher Scientific Microplate

Reader. Thermal images were captured using FLIR THERMAL STUDIO SUITE (camera).. A Carl-Zeiss Ultra 55 instrument with an operating voltage of 3-20 kV was used to perform Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) for the bacteria sample (CENSE, MNCF facility, iisc). FT-IR Spectra were recorded in a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1S instrument. Powder XRD was performed using the Bruker D8 advance (Institute X-ray facility, iisc).

Preparation of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ (ce-MoS₂):

The ce-MoS₂ was prepared by the well-standardized procedure from our group.¹ 300 mg of bulk MoS₂ was carried in a two-neck round bottom flask under a nitrogen atmosphere inside the glove box. n-Butyllithium solution (5mL of 1.6M) in cyclohexane was added into the round bottom flask, which contains MoS₂, and further kept for stirring for 48 hr. The reaction mixture was removed from the glove box and washed with hexane to remove excess n-butyl lithium (3 times). The lithium intercalated MoS₂ powder was resuspended into the water and sonicated for 60 min. The obtained solution was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm (three times), and collected the precipitate. Furthermore, resuspend the precipitate into the water and centrifuged at 2000 rpm to obtain monolayer nanosheets. The obtained solution was further characterized to confirm the formation of 2D-MoS₂ nanosheets called chemically exfoliated MoS₂(ce-MoS₂). Then, the solution was directly used for further preparation of the composite.

Preparation of Copper peroxide nanodots (CP-nanodots):

Copper peroxide nanodots were synthesized by following the previous report². First, PVP (polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.5g) was dissolved in milli-Q water containing CuCl₂·2H₂O (5 ml, 0.01M), and then, NaOH solution (5ml, 0.02M) was added slowly under stirring (observed bluish green color). Then, after 5 min, 100 μ L of H₂O₂ (30% H₂O₂) was added, and the color of the solution turned from blue to green to yellow and then brown can be clearly seen in **Figure S1.** Finally, it was kept stirring for 45 min. PVP-coated CP nanodots were collected by using a dialysis membrane of 3.5KDa. The dialysis was continued for 18hr, and the water was changed after every 3hr interval.



Figure S1: Illustration of color change of solution during the CP nanodot synthesis.

Dispersibility of MoS₂@CP:



Figure S2. Demonstration of the Dispersibility of MoS₂@CP after 1 month of preparation.

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) analysis for the ce-MoS₂ and MoS₂@CP:



Figure S3. Analysis of the dispersibility through PDI index using DLS. DLS plot with PDI index of $MoS_2@CP$ and ce-MoS₂ after 1 month of preparation.

IR spectrum analysis:



Figure S4. FT-IR spectra of $MoS_2@CP$, CP-nanodots and ce- MoS_2 depicting the presence of Polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) peaks in the CP-nanodots, and $MoS_2@CP$.



Figure S5. PXRD pattern of MoS₂@CP, CP-nanodots and ce-MoS₂.

AFM sample preparation:

The formation of monolayer MoS_2 after exfoliation was characterised using AFM (JPK Instruments, USA) by drop-casting on atomically smooth mica foil. It shows the layer morphology and thickness of MoS_2 layers. The raw data was processed by JPK software to obtain the height profile diagram.

Estimation of Copper and Molybdenum concentration using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS):

ICP-MS analysis was carried out using quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer. The unknown samples for analysis were prepared by adding HNO₃ (2%) in a 15 mL vial containing of functionalized material (20 μ L) and incubated 3-4 hr at 100° C for digestion. The digested samples were made up to 5 mL by adding DI water. CuSO₄·5H₂O was used to prepare known concentrations of Cu as an external standard along with equimolar concentration of commercially available MoS₂ standard was added. The external standards accuracy used for ICP-MS was confirmed by the linear regression fit to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) values of external standards (R2>99.99). The copper (Cu) and molybdenum(Mo) concentration of the stock solution was determined by multiplying the dilution factor. In all cases, the concentration of composite reported in term of Mo and Cu.

TEM sample preparation:

TEM sample was prepared by drop casting the dilute solution of the material on formvar/carbon 200 mesh, copper grid. The sample was dried overnight and subjected for imaging. CP-nanodot images were acquired using a JOEL JEM- 2100F with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. For MoS₂@CP, Elemental mapping was done using Titan Themis from FEI, (MNCF facility of Cense Department, iisc) with accelerating voltage 300kV.

Assessment of photothermal capability of MoS₂@CP:

The pointed laser with 808 nm was not suitable for measuring temperature in bulk material. So, $MoS_2@CP$ was taken in a 1.5 mL Eppendorf tube and irradiated with the NIR LED light of wavelength 740 nm and images were captured using the photothermal camera.



Figure S6. Photothermal images of the Eppendorf containing different concentrations of the $MoS_2@CP$, irradiated with 740 nm light here 5x represent the dilution of the x.

Steady state kinetic analysis of peroxidase type catalytic activity:

To evaluate the kinetic parameters of POD-like nanozyme catalyst, similar assay was performed by independently changing the concentration of TMB substrate. Herein , we have done assay by keeping H_2O_2 concentration fixed and varying TMB concentration. All other condition were same as mentioned in above assay. The absorbance was recorded at 652 nm with lag time of 2 min for 1 hr. The value of kinetic constants was obtained by fitting data into origin software using Michaelis mentos non-linear fitting function. The initial velocity was obtained from the slope of absorbance versus time plot for each concentration of TMB plot. The absorbance value was converted in terms of the concentration by dividing slope by pathlength and molar extinction coefficient. Finally, V_o versus concentration graph was plotted and V_{max} and K_m constant were obtained from Michaelis Menten non-linear fitting function using origin software.



Figure S7. POD-like activity assay for the MoS₂@CP (Mo ~ 9 μ g/mL : Cu ~ 2.4 μ g/mL) using TMB as a substrate (0.5 mM) on varying the concentration of H₂O₂ from 0 to 5 mM in acetate buffer (100 mM, pH ~ 4.2) and absorbance was measured upto 40 min.



Figure S8. POD-like activity assay for the MoS₂@CP (Mo ~ 9 μ g/mL : Cu ~ 2.4 μ g/mL) using H₂O₂ (5 mM) on varying the concentration of TMB as substrate from 0 to 5 mM in acetate buffer (100 mM, pH ~ 4.2) and absorbance was measure upto 40 min.



Figure S9. POD-like activity assay for the MoS₂@CP (7 μ g/mL, Mo : 1.7 μ g/mL, Cu) using OPD as a substrate (0.5 mM) in presence of 5 mM (H₂O₂) in acetate buffer (100 mM, pH ~ 4.2) and absorbance was measure after 60 min of incubation.



Figure S10. a) Kinetic plot for POD-activity of ce-MoS₂ (16.6 μ g/mL, Mo) on varying concentration of TMB substrate from 0-0.9 mM in presence of H₂O₂ (5.0 mM) in acetate buffer (100 mM, pH ~ 4.2). b) corresponding Michaelis Menten curve obtained by fitting using enzyme kinetic function in origin software.



Figure S11. a) Kinetic plot for Fenton's like activity of CP-nanodots (30 μ g/mL, Cu) on varying concentration of TMB substrate from 0-0.5 mM in presence of H₂O₂ (5.0 mM) in acetate buffer (100 mM, pH ~ 4.2). b) corresponding Michaelis Menten curve obtained by fitting using enzyme kinetic function in origin software.

MBC value (µg/mL)	MRSA		E.coli	
	With light	Without light	With light	Without light
MoS ₂ @CP (Mo:Cu)	14.4 : 3.8	57.6 : 15.2	14.4 : 3.8	28.8 : 7.6
CP-nanodots (Cu)	15	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
MoS ₂ (Mo)	60	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

Table S1: Summary of the MBC (minimum bactericidal concentration) value of bacteria

 treated with material.

 Table S2: Comparison of the POD-like activity based antibacterial action with reported nanozymes.

POD-like	Material dose	H ₂ O ₂ doses	Bacteria	References
nanozyme	(µg/mL)	(mM)		

CuO ₂ nanodots	75	-	MRSA	3
Cu SASs/NP	300	100	E.coli and	4
			MRSA	
CP@Tf NPs	40		E.coli and B	5
			subtilis	
CuO2@SiO2	49		SA and E.coli	6
Graphene/CuO2	100		E. coli	7
GS-CuO2	100 (mM)		SA and E.coli	8
CuO2@g-C3N4				
MoS ₂ @CP	14.4	0.5	E.coli and	This work
			MRSA	

Estimation of oxidative stress:

Oxidative stress induced by $MoS_2@CP$ nanocomposite was assessed by Ellman's assay. In the procedure, $MoS_2 @CP$ (Mo ~ 5 mg/mL : Cu ~ 1.319 mg/mL) composite were taken in a vial. Then 0.4 mM GSH was added, which was then dissolved in 50 mM bicarbonate buffer with pH 8.6. In the negative control, no material was added whereas in the positive control, 10 mM H₂O₂ was added. The tubes were wrapped with aluminium foils to prevent any photochemical oxidation and solutions were incubated at 37°C. From the stock at different time intervals (0 min, 15 min, 30 min, 1 h, and 2 h), 100 µL of solution was added to 100 µL of 2 mM 5,5'- dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, SRL Chem) in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.3, SRL Chem) taken in a 96-well plate. Then, the absorbance of the resulting solution was measured immediately after 5 min at 412 nm using a UV–visible spectrometer by plate reader. The percentage loss of glutathione was calculated using following formula and finally plotted against time.

%loss of

 $Glutathione = 1 - \frac{absorbance\ at\ 412\ nm\ of\ the\ sample\ at\ a\ particular\ time}{absorbance\ at\ 412\ nm\ of\ negative\ control\ at\ 0\ min} \times 100$

Estimation of type of ROS:

For the determining the type of ROS species involve in the oxidative stress, Ellman's was performed in presence of different type of quencher molecules. The experimental procedure is similar to that of Ellman's assay. Quencher molecules such as hole-quencher sodium oxalate (0.4 mM), electron quencher sodium chromate (0.4 mM), superoxide anion radical quencher TEMPO (0.4 mM), peroxide-quencher catalase (1 μ M), singlet oxygen species L-Histidine and hydroxyl radical-quencher isopropanol (0.4 mM) were added to vial with contain Glutathione and MoS₂@CP(5 μ g/mL, Mo : 1.31 μ g/mL, Cu) in presence of PBS buffer. The concentrations of all the quenchers mentioned are the final concentrations. After 30 min of incubation, the absorbance was measured of DTNB was measured using plate reader. Finally, calculated the loss of glutathione using above formula and plotted.



Figure S12. Estimation of the type of ROS species by Ellman's assay in the presence of different ROS scavengers, 0.4 mM of isopropanol, oxalate, sodium chromate, (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl) oxyl (TEMPO), L-histidine and 10 µm of catalase.

Membrane depolarization assay:

The MRSA bacteria were cultured, followed by harvesting at mid-log phase culture ($A_{600nm} \sim 0.3$) and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The pellet was washed with 5 mM glucose and 5 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.2) mixed in 1:1 ratio. The washed pellet was resuspended in 5 mM HEPES buffer, 5 mM glucose, and 100 mM KCl solution mixed in a 1:1:1 ratio. Then, 100

 μ L of bacterial suspension was taken in a 96-well plate, 2 μ L of 5 mM DISC3 dye (3,3'dipropylthiadicarbocyanine iodide) was added, and the plate was incubated for 30 min. Then MoS2@CP (5 μ g/mL, Mo : 1.31 μ g/mL, Cu) nanocomposite was added to the 96- well plate containing bacterial suspension and DISC3 dye. Then the fluorescence was monitored with an excitation wavelength of 622 nm and an emission wavelength of 670 nm, after a period of 1.5 hr. The increase in the fluorescence indicates membrane depolarization of the bacteria membrane as compared to the control.

Inner membrane permeabilization assessment by ONPG assay:

The damage in the bacterial membrane leads to release of the b-galactosidase enzyme which is known to decompose o-nitrophenyl- β -d-galactopyranoside (ONPG) into β -galactose and ortho-nitrophenol. The obtained ortho-nitrophenol (ONP) is yellow in colour and has maximum absorbance at 405 nm. Therefore, this quality is used for the quantification of any kind of membrane permeability using UV-visible spectrophotometer. In this assay, first, The MRSA bacteria were cultured, followed by harvesting at mid-log phase culture ($A_{600nm} \sim 0.3$) and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min. Then, bacterial suspension was treated with $MoS_2@CP$ (11.450g/mL, Mo : 2.8 mg/mL, Cu), CP-nanodots(3 mg/mL, Cu) in acetic buffer at pH ~ 5 and incubated for 2h. After this, ONPG was added into each vial. In the positive control, only b-galactosidase (100 nM) was taken instead of MRSA bacteria (b-galactosidase + acetate pH~5 +ONPG) and in negative control, Bacteria suspension was not treated with $MoS_2@CP$ (MRSA + acetate (pH ~ 5) + ONPG). The final concentration of ONPG in all cases were 0.25 mM). The formed ortho-nitrophenol (specific absorbance at 405 nm) was measured to quantify membrane damage.

Sample preparation for the live/dead imaging:

MRSA bacteria sample was harvested for live/dead imaging of bacteria cells using confocal microscope. Bacteria suspension centrifuged at 5000rpm for 5 min and then washed with PBS. Then adjusted $OD_{600} \sim 0.3$ in acetate buffer (pH \sim 5),subsequently this 100 µL of bacteria solution was treated with the material MoS₂@CP(Mo \sim 30 µg/mL : Cu \sim 8µg/mL), ce-MOS₂(Mo \sim 30 µg/mL) and CP-nanodots(Cu \sim 8µg/mL), along with NIR@808nm irradiation of light for 10 min, followed by incubation for 3hr. Treated bacteria was centrifuged at 10000 rpm to remove the supernatant. Further washed bacteria pellet using PBS (two times). Finally, added dye solution to the bacteria pellet, syto-9 (4 µM) and PI (4.5

 μ M) incubate for 45 min. At last, 20 mL of the bacteria solution drop cast on the glass slit and covered by cover slip and used for taking images under confocal microscope.

SEM sample preparation of bacteria:

MRSA bacteria was cultured in LB media for the preparation of the SEM sample, bacteria were collected by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 5 min. The bacterial pellet was washed in PBS and measured the OD₆₀₀ value and prepared bacteria solution of OD₆₀₀ ~ 0.3 in the acetate buffer (pH ~ 5). Then treated the bacteria with MoS₂@CP composite(3.8 μ g/mL ,Cu) and H₂O₂ (0.5 mM) with 808 nm NIR light for 10 min and then kept for 3hr incubation. After the incubation period of 3 hr, the bacterial solution was centrifuged again at 5000 rpm to recollect the bacteria pellets. Afterward, fixed the bacteria with 3% glutaraldehyde solution. the bacterial solution was centrifuged again at 5000 rpm and repeatedly washed to dehydrate using 30, 50, 70, 90 and 100% ethanol gradient solutions. And finally, small amount of the solution was drop casted on the clean silicon wafer, desiccated under high vacuum overnight and sputtered with gold prior for SEM imaging.

Hemolysis test:

In this process, hemolysis assay was performed using rabbit erythrocytes. The blood samples were collected from central animal facility of Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore following the guidelines of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC). The 2 mL of blood sample was collected from rabbit, immediately RBCs washed with PBS (three times) and centrifuged at 5000 rpm. After washing, 1 mL RBCs re-dissolved in 25 mL of PBS. Then, 200 μ L of RBC (final RBCs Concentration, 2%) added to a vial containing 200 μ L of MoS₂ with PBS. For positive control, 0.1% of Triton X-100 (200 μ L) and PBS (200 μ L) as a negative control, incubated at 37°C. Triton X-100 is a surfactant causes the complete lysis of the blood cell which ultimately resulted in the release of the hemoglobin pigment from the blood cells. After 1hr, samples were centrifuged at 5000 rpm and taken 100 μ L of supernatant and measured absorbance of hemoglobin at 570 nm. The percentage of hemolysis calculated as follows:





Figure S13. Quantitative analysis of the amount of hemolysis after treatment with different concentrations of $MoS_2@CP$. Here, Triton X 100 was used as the positive control, whereas PBS was used as the negative control.

Cytotoxicity:



Figure S14. Cytotoxicity study of the $MoS_2@CP$ nanocomposite with HEK 293 cells.(x represent the bactericidal concentration $Mo \sim 14.4 \text{ mg/mL} : Cu \sim 3.8 \text{ mg/mL}$). Data are mean \pm SD (n = 4).

In the procedure, first we have revived the freeze stock of the HEK 293 using the growing media which comprises of DMEM(Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium),20% FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum),1% antibiotic–antimycotic solution, and 2 mM of L-glutamine. Subsequently, the cells were cultured in a CO_2 incubator at 37°C.(5% CO_2 and 95% humidity). Once the growing cells covers 90 % of cell culture flask, they were detached using 0.05% trypsin–EDT followed by centrifugation at 1800 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. Further, cells were culture using above mention cell culture media solution and used for the MTT assay. For the MTT assay, the 15000 cells/mL were seeded in each well of 96 well plate and kept in the incubator for 24 h. After 24 h, the media was changed to remove the dead cells followed by PBS wash. The adhere cells were further incubated with different concentrations $MoS_2@CP$ for 24 h. After treatment, the media is carefully removed from the wells and washed with PBS. The treated cells further incubate with MTT solution (5mg/mL) for 3 hr. After incubation, the MTT media solution was removed carefully without disturbing the formazan crystal. The formazan crystals present in each well were solubilize in the 200 µL of DMSO. Finally, The OD of the solubilized solution was recorded using the plate reader at 570 nm.

References:

- 1. S. Karunakaran, S. Pandit, B. Basu and M. De, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 12634-12644.
- L.-S. Lin, T. Huang, J. Song, X.-Y. Ou, Z. Wang, H. Deng, R. Tian, Y. Liu, J.-F. Wang, Y. Liu, G. Yu, Z. Zhou, S. Wang, G. Niu, H.-H. Yang and X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, 141, 9937-9945.
- R. Zhang, G. Jiang, Q. Gao, X. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Xu, W. Yan and H. Shen, *Nanoscale*, 2021, 13, 15937-15951.
- X. Wang, Q. Shi, Z. Zha, D. Zhu, L. Zheng, L. Shi, X. Wei, L. Lian, K. Wu and L. Cheng, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2021, 6, 4389-4401.
- Y. Zu, Y. Wang, H. Yao, L. Yan, W. Yin and Z. Gu, ACS Appl. Bio Mater., 2022, 5, 1779-1793.

- 6. X. Li, M. Liang, S. Jiang, S. Cao, S. Li, Y. Gao, J. Liu, Q. Bai, N. Sui and Z. Zhu, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2021, **13**, 22169-22181.
- M. Jannesari, O. Akhavan, H. R. Madaah Hosseini and B. Bakhshi, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2020, 12, 35813-35825.
- H. Cui, M. Liu, W. Yu, Y. Cao, H. Zhou, J. Yin, H. Liu, S. Que, J. Wang, C. Huang, C. Gong and G. Zhao, ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2021, 13, 26800-26807.