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## Section S1: Methods

Solvents and reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received unless stated otherwise. If "dried solvents" were used these were obtained by different procedures. Toluene, EtOH , n-hexane, n-pentane and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were prepared by using an MBraun Solvent Purification System MB-SPS 800 filled with $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was dried over Na /benzophenone and THF was dried over K/benzophenone under argon. d8THF was dried over sodium prior to vacuum transfer onto $4 \AA$ Aieves followed by three freeze pump thaw degassing cycles. NMR spectra were recorded by using a Jeol JNMECA 400II, Bruker Advance 600 and 700 MHz spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ chemical shifts are referenced to the residual proton resonance of the deuterated solvents. CHO and BO were dried over calcium hydride at room temperature for 3 days, vacuum transferred (for CHO fractionally distilled under static vacuum) followed by three freeze pump thaw degassing cycles and stored inside an argon filled glovebox prior to use. PPNCl was recrystallized from dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and dried in vacuum prior to use. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ Grade 5.3 (Linde) was used for all polymerization studies and dried through a VICI purifier columns prior to use. The ligands $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{L}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{L}^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{L}^{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathbf{L}^{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{L}^{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ as well as the complexes $\mathbf{L}^{1} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Li}} \mathbf{L}^{1} \mathbf{C r}{ }^{\mathbf{C s}}$ were synthesised according to the literature. ${ }^{[1-7]}$

Elemental analysis was collected on a Vario EL instrument. High-resolution ESI mass spectra were obtained using a Waters UPLC-Synapt G2-S HDMS. Infrared spectra were measured using a Thermo-Nicolet Nexus 670 FTIR spectrometer with DuraSampl IR accessory in total reflection at room temperature. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was measured on a Netzsch 204 F1 "Phoenix" at a heating rate of $10.0 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$. DSC thermograms are presented for the data obtained after the first heating cycle to ensure removal of the thermal history of the sample. The molecular mass and polydispersity of the polymers were determined by a Waters 1515 Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) instrument equipped with two linear PLgel columns (Mixed-C) following a guard column and a differential refractive index detector using tetrahydrofuran as the eluent at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a series of narrow polystyrene standards for the calibration of the columns. Each polymer sample was dissolved in HPLC-grade THF ( $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and filtered through a $0.20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ porous filter frit prior to analysis.

Phthalic thioanhydride (PTA) was synthesized according to an adapted literature procedure. ${ }^{[8]}$ Phthalic anhydride ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ equiv) was dissolved in 600 mL THF and an aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \cdot 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mol}, 0.7$ equiv) solution was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature and the reaction progress was monitored by NMR analysis. After all phthalic anhydride was consumed, THF was removed in vacuum and the aqueous phase was extracted 3 times with DCM and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The crude thioanhydride was recrystallized twice from tert-butyl methyl ether and further purified by sublimation and stored inside a glovebox.

## Section S2: Synthesis and Characterisation of the Complexes $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$



Scheme S 1: Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}^{2} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.
Under inert conditions $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 4.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.70 \mathrm{~g}$, $4.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) were dissolved in 350 mL degassed acetonitrile and stirred for 17 h at room temperature. Glacial acetic acid (2 eq.) was added, and the solution was refluxed for 7 h and then stirred for another 16 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude intermediate washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. ( $\mathbf{L}^{2} \mathbf{C r}$ )

The intermediate $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{Cr}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was suspended with RbOAc ( 0.16 g , $1.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 100 mL MeOH and brought to reaction by heating briefly. The deep red solution was stirred for 16 h at room temperature. The MeOH was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain $\mathbf{L}^{2} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$ as a brown powder. Yield: $76 \%$.

FTIR ( $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ): $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3404$ ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vOH}$, water), 3056 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{vCH}$, aromatic and imine), 29632926 (s, vCH, methyl), 2835 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vCH}$, methoxy), 2321-1981 (w, combination and overtones, aromatic), 1718 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vC=O}$, acetate), 1606 (vs, vN=C, imine), 1540 (vs, vNC, imine), 1434 (s, $\delta_{\text {asym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1364-1315 (m, $\delta_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1233 (s, vC-O, ether), 1190 (s, vO-Cr), 1072 ( $\mathrm{s}, \delta \mathrm{CH}$, imine), 975 (m, vC-C, acetate), 854-651 (s-vs, $\delta_{o o p} \mathrm{CH}$, aromatic) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{+}$: 426.0667, found: 426.0712; calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Rb}\right]^{+}$: 569.9918, found: 569.9992.

Elemental Analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rb}$ ): calculated C 49.57 \%, H 3.84 \%, N 4.45 \%; found C 49.69 \%, H 3.91 \%, N 4.46 \%.


Figure S 1: FTIR Spectrum of $\mathbf{L}^{2} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.


Scheme S 2: Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}^{3} \mathbf{C r}^{R b}$.
Under inert conditions $\mathrm{L}^{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 3.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq} \text {.) and } \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OAc}))_{2}(0.66 \mathrm{~g}$, 3.90 mmol , 1 eq.) were dissolved in 350 mL degassed acetonitrile and stirred for 16 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glacial acetic acid (2 eq.) was added, and the solution was refluxed for another 3 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude intermediate washed five times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. ( $\mathrm{L}^{3} \mathrm{Cr}$ )

The intermediate $\mathrm{L}^{3} \mathrm{Cr}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was suspended with $\operatorname{RbOAc}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 100 mL MeOH and refluxed for 30 min . The brown suspension turned into a black solution. The MeOH was removed under reduced pressure and the
crude product was washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain $\mathbf{L}^{3} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$ as a brown powder. Yield: $73 \%$.

FTIR ( $\mathrm{L}^{3} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ): $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3407$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vOH}$, water), 3058 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{vCH}$, aromatic and imine), 2910 ( s , vCH , methyl), 2835 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vCH}$, methoxy), 2323-1982 ( w , combination and overtones, aromatic), 1717 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}$, acetate), 1618 (vs, $\mathrm{vN}=\mathrm{C}$, imine), 1550 (vs, vN-C, imine), 1473-1446 ( $\mathrm{s}, \delta_{\text {asym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1372-1312 ( $\mathrm{m}, \delta_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1222 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vC}-\mathrm{O}$, ether), 1070 (s, $\delta C H$, imine), 855-642 (s-vs, $\delta_{o o p} C H$, aromatic) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{+}: 454.0980$, found: 454.0988; calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Rb}\right]^{+}$: 598.0231, found: 598.0234.

Elemental Analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rb}$ ): calculated C 51.11 \%, H 4.29 \%, N 4.26 \%; found C 51.15 \%, H 4.33 \%, N 4.29 \%.


Figure S 2: FTIR Spectrum of $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.


Scheme S 3: Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}^{4} \mathbf{C r}^{R b}$.
Under inert conditions $L^{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.) and $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( 0.61 g , $3.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) were dissolved in 350 mL degassed acetonitrile and stirred for 16 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glacial acetic acid (2 eq.) was added, and the solution was refluxed for another 8 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude intermediate washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. ( $\mathbf{L}^{4} \mathrm{Cr}$ )

The intermediate $\mathrm{L}^{4} \mathrm{Cr}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was suspended with $\operatorname{RbOAc}(0.14 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 100 mL MeOH and brought to reaction by heating briefly. The brown suspension turned into a deep red solution. The MeOH was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain $\mathrm{L}^{4} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ as a brown powder. Yield: $65 \%$.

FTIR ( $\mathrm{L}^{4} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ): $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3424$ ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vOH}$, water), 3056-2963 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{vCH}$, aromatic and imine), 2931 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vCH}$, methyl), 2834 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vCH}$, methoxy), 2322-1984 ( w , combination and overtones, aromatic), 1705 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}$, acetate), 1601 ( $\mathrm{vs}, \mathrm{vN}=\mathrm{C}$, imine), 1540 (vs, $\mathrm{vN}-\mathrm{C}$, imine), 1432 (s, $\delta_{\text {asym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1363 ( $\mathrm{m}, \delta_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1235 (s, vC-O, ether), 1192 (s, vO-Cr), 977 (m, vC-C, acetate), 856-732 (s-vs, $\delta_{o o p} C H$, aromatic) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

ESI-MS: $m / z=$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{+}: 493.9887$, found: 493.9915; calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Rb}\right]^{+}$: 637.9138, found: 637.9161 .

Elemental Analysis ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathbf{R b} \cdot 2,5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : calculated C $41.98 \%, \mathrm{H} 3.66 \%$, N 3.77 \%; found C 41.51 \%, H 3.37 \%, N 3.98 \%.


Figure S 3: FTIR Spectrum of $\mathrm{L}^{4} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.


Scheme S 4: Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}^{5} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$.
Under inert conditions $L^{5} \mathrm{H}_{2}(1,50 \mathrm{~g}, 4,57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2(0,78 \mathrm{~g}$, $4,57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) were dissolved in 350 \mathrm{~mL}$ degassed acetonitrile and stirred for 16 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glacial acetic acid (2 eq.) was added and the solution was allowed to react for another 72 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude intermediate washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. ( $\mathrm{L}^{5} \mathrm{Cr}$ )

The intermediate $\mathrm{L}^{5} \mathrm{Cr}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was suspended with $\operatorname{RbOAc}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 100 mL MeOH and brought to reaction by heating briefly. The brown suspension turned into a green-brown solution. The MeOH was removed under
reduced pressure and the crude product was washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. Yield: $91 \%$.

FTIR ( $\mathrm{L}^{5} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ): $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3530-3479(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{vOH}$, water), 3051-2967 ( $\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{vCH}$, aromatic and imine), 2918 (s, vCH, methyl), 2838 (m, vCH, methoxy), 2290-1980 (w, combination and overtones, aromatic), 1626 (vs, vC=O, acetate), 1595 (vs, vN=C, imine), 1545 (s, vN-C, imine), 1430 (s, $\delta_{\text {asym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1374-1306 ( $\mathrm{m}, \delta_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1241 (s, vC-O, ether), 1216 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vO}-\mathrm{Cr}$ ), 1076 ( $\mathrm{m}, \delta \mathrm{CH}$, imine), 859-746 (s-vs, $\delta_{o o p} \mathrm{CH}$, aromatic) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{+}: 378.0672$, found: 378.0705 ; calculated for [ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Rb}\right]^{+}$: 521.9923, found: 521.9902.

Elemental Analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rb}$ ): calculated C 45.41 \%, H 4.16 \%, N 4.81 \%; found C 45.72 \%, H 4.16 \%, N 4.87 \%.


Figure S 4: FTIR Spectrum of $\mathbf{L}^{5} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$.


Scheme S 5: Synthesis of $\mathbf{L}^{6} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.
Under inert conditions $L^{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 4.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) and \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OAc}) 2(0.53 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.13 mmol , 1 eq.) were dissolved in 350 mL degassed acetonitrile and stirred for 17 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Glacial acetic acid (2 eq.) was added and the solution was allowed to react for another 72 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude intermediate washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a dark green powder. ( $\mathbf{L}^{6} \mathbf{C r}$ )

The intermediate $\mathrm{L}^{6} \mathrm{Cr}$ ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1$ eq.) was suspended with $\operatorname{RbOAc}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$.$) in 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{MeOH}$ and brought to reaction by heating briefly. The dark green suspension turned into a green-brown solution. The MeOH was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was washed three times with diethyl ether and dried to obtain a brown powder. Yield: 94 \%.

FTIR ( $\mathrm{L}^{6} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ): $\tilde{\mathrm{v}}=3059$ (w, vCH, aromatic and imine), 2904 (s, vCH, methyl and methylene), 2838 (m, vCH, methoxy), 2329-1981 (vw, combination and overtones, aromatic), 1715 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{vC}=\mathrm{O}$, acetate), 1624 ( $\mathrm{vs}, \mathrm{vN}=\mathrm{C}$, imine), 1553 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{vN}-\mathrm{C}$, imine), 1446 (s, $\delta \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, methylene), 1370-1313 (m, $\delta_{\text {sym }} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, methoxy), 1226 (s, vC-O, ether), 1071 (s, ठCH, imine), 857-735 (s-vs, $\delta_{o o p} C H$, aromatic) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

ESI-MS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=$ calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]^{+}$: 420.1136, found: 420.1146; calculated for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Rb}\right]^{+}$: 564.0387, found: 564.0401.

Elemental Analysis ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rb} \cdot \mathbf{0 . 5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): calculated C $48.12 \%, \mathrm{H} 4.85 \%, \mathrm{~N}$ 4.49 \%; found C 48.21 \%, H 4.83 \%, N 4.56 \%.


Figure S 5: FTIR spectrum of $\mathbf{L}^{6} \mathbf{C r}^{\mathbf{R b}}$.

## Section S3: ROTERP and ROCOP results with CHO



Figure S 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) spectrum of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1 , run \#7.


Figure S 7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#7. *denotes residual pentane.


Figure S 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1 , run \#7.


Figure S 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1 , run \#7.


Figure S 10: Zoom into the tertiary CH region adjacent to the carbonate, ester and thioester groups corresponding to table 1 run \#1-10.


Figure S 11: Overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the aliquots taken during terpolymerisation corresponding to table 1, run \#7. The plot shows the consumption of PTA and CHO , while the signals of the aromatic H atoms in the polymer repeat unit and the signals indicating the ester, carbonate and thioester links as well as the signal for cyclohexene sulfide (CHS) increase steadily.


Figure S 12: FTIR spectrum of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#2.


Figure S 13: DSC heating curves of the polymer corresponding to table 1, run \#1-10.


Figure S 14: GPC trace corresponding to table 1, run \#1-10.


Figure S 15: TGA data of the polymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10.


Figure S 16: GPC trace of the aliquots taken from table 1, run \#1. The plot shows linearly increasing molecular weights with reaction progress.


Figure S 17: Zoom into ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#7 showing aliphatic esters resulting from acetate initiation.

$$
M_{n}=3.1 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\theta=1.1
$$

## 20 eq. of chain transfer



Figure S 18: GPC traces of the terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10 with and without the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent showing a clear decrease of the obtained $M_{n}$ and a narrowed monomodal distribution in the latter case.


Figure S 19: Zoom into ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the terpolymer corresponding table 1 , run \#10 with and without the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-
Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent showing that BDM has been incorporated into the polymer structure without effecting the linkage ratio.


Figure S 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the terpolymer corresponding table 1 , run \#10 with the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent.


Figure S 21: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of the terpolymer corresponding table 1, run \#10 with the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent. *denotes residual pentane.


Figure S 22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the terpolymer corresponding table 1 , run \#10 with the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent.


Figure S 23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the terpolymer corresponding table 1, run \#10 with the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent.


Figure S 24: Zoom into ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{HMBC}$ NMR spectrum ( $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of the terpolymer corresponding table 1, run \#10 with the addition of 20 equiv. 1,4-
Benzendimethanol acting as a chain transfer agent showing that BDM has been incorporated into the polymer structure.


Figure S 25: GPC traces of the terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10 and the terpolymer obtained from the reproduced run under identical conditions but using a different batch CHO . The plot shows reproducible $M_{n}$ and $Đ$ but a varying degree of bimodality.

Table 1, run \#10

Reproduced run \#10


| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.2 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.6 |

Figure S 26: Zoom into ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of the terpolymer corresponding table 1, run \#10 and the terpolymer obtained from the reproduced run under identical conditions prior to workup showing the same polymer composition.


Figure S 27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) of the copolymer obtained from PTA/CHO ROCOP showing an ester to thioester ratio of ca. 1.8:1.


Figure S 28: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#1. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 23 \% PTA ( $14 \%$ ester and $9 \%$ thioester linkages) and $77 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 75 min for ROTERP and $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP. $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP kinetic data from Ref. ${ }^{[7]}$.


Figure S 29: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#2. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: $25 \%$ PTA (16 \% ester and 9 \% thioester linkages) and $75 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
Deviation from linearity was determined after 30 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP.


Figure S 30: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#3. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 28 \% PTA (16 \% ester and 12 \% thioester linkages) and $72 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 45 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP.


Figure S 31: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#4. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 71 \% PTA (52 \% ester and 19 \% thioester linkages) and 29 \% $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 75 min for ROTERP, 30 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP and 75 min for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP, respectively.


Figure S 32: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#5. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 34 \% PTA ( 23 \% ester and $11 \%$ thioester linkages) and $66 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 30 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP.


Figure S 33: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#6. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 24 \% PTA ( $17 \%$ ester and $7 \%$ thioester linkages) and $76 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 90 min for ROTERP and 105 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP, respectively.


Figure S 34: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#7. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 73 \% PTA ( $55 \%$ ester and $18 \%$ thioester linkages) and $27 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Deviation from linearity was determined after 30 min for CHO/PTA ROCOP and 90 min for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP, respectively. $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP kinetic data from Ref. [7].


Figure S 35: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#8. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: $29 \%$ PTA ( $16 \%$ ester and $13 \%$ thioester linkages) and $71 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
Deviation from linearity was determined after 150 min for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP.
$\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP kinetic data from Ref. ${ }^{[7]}$.


Figure S 36: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#9. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 35 \% PTA ( 18 \% ester and 17 \% thioester linkages) and $65 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
.Deviation from linearity was determined after 60 min and ROTERP and 180 min for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{ROCOP}$, respectively. $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP kinetic data from Ref. ${ }^{[7]}$


Figure S 37: Conversion of CHO versus time plot for the ter- and copolymerisations corresponding to table 1, run \#10. Relative ratio of monomer incorporation in the terpolymer: 39 \% PTA ( 20 \% ester and 19 \% thioester linkages) and $61 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
Deviation from linearity was determined after 120 min for $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ROCOP.
$\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{ROCOP}$ kinetic data from Ref. ${ }^{[7]}$.


Figure S 38: Graphical comparison of the Turnover frequencies (TOFs) of the catalysts $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{AM}}$ (corresponding to table 1, run \#1-10) in the ter- and
copolymerisation and the proportionate linear combination (LC) of the TOFs in the ROCOPs.

## Section S4: ROTERP results with BO



Figure S 39: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#1.


Figure S 40: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#1.


Figure S 41: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#1.


Figure S 42: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#1.


Figure S 43: GPC trace corresponding to table 2, run \#1.


Figure S 44: DSC heating curve of the polymer corresponding table 2, run \#1.


Figure S 45: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2, run \#2.


Figure S 46: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#2.


Figure $\mathbf{S}$ 47: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#2.


Figure S 48: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2, run \#2.


Figure S 49: GPC trace corresponding to table 2, run \#2.


Figure S 50: DSC heating curve of the polymer corresponding table 2, run \#2.


Figure S 51: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#3.


Figure S 52: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#3.


Figure S 53: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HSQC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2, run \#3.


Figure S 54: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ HMBC NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#3.


Figure S 55: GPC trace corresponding to table 2, run \#3.


Figure S 56: DSC heating curve of the polymer corresponding table 2, run \#3.


Figure S 57: TGA data of the polymer corresponding to table 2, run \#3.


Figure S 58: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2, run \#4.


Figure S 59: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum ( $151 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the precipitated polymer corresponding to table 2 , run \#4.


Figure S 60: GPC trace corresponding to table 2, run \#4.


Figure S 61: DSC heating curve of the polymer corresponding table 2, run \#4.

## Section S5: Tetrapolymerisation



Figure S 62: Overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of of the aliquots taken during tetrapolymerisation ( 1 eq. $\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{K}}$ : 50 eq. PA: 50 eq. PTA: 1000 eq. CHO at 4 bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure and $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) showing the consumption of PA before the consumption of PTA during polymerisation.


Figure S 63: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of tetrapolymer isolated as outlined in the methods section.


Figure S 64: Evolution of GPC traces with progress of tetrapolymerisation.


Figure S 65: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the copolymer obtained from PA/CHO ROCOP.


Figure S 66: Conversion of PA or PTA versus time plot for PA/CHO and PTA/CHO ROCOP. Initial TOF for PA/CHO ROCOP was determined between 0 and 45 min .

## Section S6: Degradation studies

UV degradation: The respective polymer ( 50 mg ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ and irradiated for 14 h by a broadband Hg UV 1000W UV lamp inside a J. Young NMR tube. Afterwards the sample was analysed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and GPC.


Figure S 67: SEC traces of the terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10 before and after irradiation showing complete degradation into oligomers under UV light in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.


Figure S 68: Overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) of the terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10 before and after irradiation showing complete degradation of thioesters under UV light in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and identifying PTA as a major degradation product.

Aminolysis degradation: The respective polymer ( 50 mg ) was suspended in 7 M ammonia in methanol ( 1 mL ) in a 2 mL vial sealed with a melamine cap containing a Teflon inlay equipped with a flame dried magnetic stirrer for the specified time at room temperature.


Figure S 69: GPC traces of the terpolymer corresponding to table 1, run \#10 before and after aminolysis degradation showing complete degradation into oligomers.

A polycarbonate obtained from $\mathrm{CHO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{ROCOP}\left(M_{n}=14.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}\right.$ and $Đ=1.5$, see Ref. ${ }^{[7]}$ ) as well as a polyester obtained from CHO/PA ROCOP ( $M_{n}=16.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $Đ=1.3$ ) using $\mathrm{L}^{1} \mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{Cs}}$ as catalyst were used in a comparative aminolysis degradation experiment under identical conditions and reaction times. Both copolymers showed no change in molecular weight within 48 hours and thus no evidence of degradation.

## Section S7: Crystallography

X-Ray data were collected on a BRUKER D8 Venture system. Data were collected at $100(2) \mathrm{K}$ using graphite-monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda_{\alpha}=0.71073 \AA$ ). The strategy for the data collection was evaluated by using the Smart software. The data were collected by the standard " $\psi-\omega$ scan techniques" and were scaled and reduced using Saint+software. The structures were solved by using Olex $2,{ }^{[9]}$ the structure was solved with the $\mathrm{XT}^{[10]}$ structure solution program using Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{[11,12]}$ using Least Squares minimization. If it is noted, bond length and angles were measured with Diamond Crystal and Molecular Structure Visualization Version 4.6.2. ${ }^{[13]}$ Drawings were generated with POV-Ray. ${ }^{[14]}$

| Compound | $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{Cr}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{CrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| Formula weight | 535.48 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0 |
| Crystal system | triclinic |
| Space group | P-1 |
| a/Å | 10.7338(8) |
| b/Å | 10.8127(8) |
| c/Å | 11.6585(9) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.341(3) |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.448(3) |
| $\mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ | 115.303(3) |
| Volume/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1164.70(15) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.527 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.547 |
| F(000) | 558.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.9 \times 0.8 \times 0.11$ |
| Crystal shape | Block |
| Crystal color | red |
| Radiation | MoKa ( $\lambda=0.71073$ ) |
| 20 range for data collection $/^{\circ}$ | 4.304 to 50.898 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq h \leq 12,-13 \leq k \leq 13,-14 \leq 1 \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 38482 |
| Independent reflections | 4276 [ $\mathrm{Rint}_{\text {int }}=0.0672, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0353$ ] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4276/0/338 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.042 |
| Final R indexes [ $1>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{l})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0506, w \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1328$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0607, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1391$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.77/-0.68 |
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