Solvent-Oriented Structure Diversity, Sequential SCSC and Low-Temperature Transformation in Cd-Coordination Polymers: Unique Turn-On-Off Sensing to Fe<sup>3+</sup>

Zhen Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jie Zhou,<sup>\*,a</sup> Xue Chen,<sup>a</sup> Tong Yan,<sup>a</sup> Hanxu Sun,<sup>a</sup> Lin Du,<sup>a</sup> Qihua Zhao<sup>\*,a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resource, Ministry of Education and Yunnan Province, Yunnan Characteristic Plant Extraction Laboratory, School of Chemical Science and Technology, Yunnan University, Kunming, 650500, P. R China

\*Corresponding Author

Jie Zhou\*

E-Mail: zhoujie@ynu.edu.cn

Qi-Hua Zhao\*

E-Mail: qhzhao@ynu.edu.cn

### Contents

**1. Experimental section 1.1 Materials and instruments 1.2 X-ray Crystallographic Measurement** 1.3 Synthesis of Cd-CPs **1.4 Fluorescence Sensing Experiments** 2. Detailed structural description of the Cd-CPs **3.** Supporting Figure Fig. S1 Structure of Cd-1' Fig. S2 Diagrams comparing the difference of structural component of Cd-1 and Cd-1' Fig. S3 Distance between the backbone of terrace layers of Cd-1 and Cd-1' Fig. S4 Structure of Cd-2 Fig. S5 Structure of Cd-3 Fig. S6 Topology analysis of Cd-3 Fig. S7 Structure of Cd-4 Fig. S8 Structur of Cd-5 Fig. S9 Structures of Cd-6 Fig. S10 Structure of Cd-7 Fig. S11 Simulated and as-synthesized PXRD patterns for Cd-CPs. Fig. S12 Twisting of the alkoxy chain in the different conformations of Cd-CPs. Fig. S13 Dihedral angles between pyridine rings in 3-bpdb of Cd-CPs. Fig. S14 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for Cd-1' to Cd-2 and Cd-3. Fig. S15 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for Cd-5 to Cd-7. Fig. S16 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for between Cd-5 and Cd-2. Fig. S17 Scanning electron microscope images of Cd-5, Cd-6, Cd-7. Fig. S18 PXRD patterns of Cd-2 and Cd-7 immersed in aqueous solutions for 35 days. Fig. S19 Emission and excitation spectra of *n*-BuO-H<sub>2</sub>ip and 3-bpdb at solid-state, and Cd-2 and Cd-7 in aqueous solution. Fig. S20 Emission spectra of Cd-2 and Cd-7 in different cations.

**Fig. S21** Emission spectra of **Cd-2** immersed in aqueous solutions with  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  at different concentrations. Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  concentration.

**Fig. S22** Emission spectra of **Cd-7** immersed in aqueous solutions with  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  at different concentrations. Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  concentration.

Fig. S23 Fluorescence emissions of Cd-2 to Fe<sup>3+</sup> within 2 cycles and 3 cycles.

Fig. S24 Emission spectra of Cd-7 immersed in aqueous solutions with  $Fe^{3+}$  at different concentrations. Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs  $Fe^{3+}$  concentration.

Fig. S25 Selective detection of  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Al^{3+}$  by Cd-2 in the presence of other cations in the aqueous medium.

Fig. S26 Selective detection of  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Al^{3+}$  by Cd-7 in the presence of other cations in the aqueous medium.

Fig. S27 PXRD patterns of Cd-2 and Cd-7 after sensing Al<sup>3+</sup>, Cr<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>.

Fig. S28 UV-vis absorption spectrum of Cd-2 and with the addition of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

Fig. S29 UV-vis absorption spectrum of Cd-7 and with the addition of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

Fig. S30 XPS analysis of the O 1s peaks of Cd-2 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

Fig. S31 XPS analysis of the N 1s peaks of Cd-2 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

Fig. S32 XPS analysis of the O 1s peaks of Cd-7 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

Fig. S33. XPS analysis of the N 1s peaks of Cd-7 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .

**Fig. S34** Excitation and emission wavelength of **Cd-2** and UV-vis absorption spectrum of Cr<sup>3+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Fe<sup>3+</sup>.

Fig. S35 FT-IR spectra of Cd-1'–Cd-7.

Fig. S36 TG curves of Cd-1'–Cd-7.

Fig. S37 Solid-state fluorescence spectra of Cd-1'-Cd-7.

#### 4. Supporting Schemes

Scheme S1 Coordination Modes of the *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2–</sup> Ligands in Cd-1', Cd-2, and Cd-3. Scheme S Schematic illustration of the PET process and the turn-on sensing of  $Cr^{3+}$ , Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Fe<sup>3+</sup> for Cd-2 and Cd-7.

## 5. Supporting Tables

 Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement summary for Cd-1–Cd-7.

 Table S2 Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for Cd-1–Cd-7.

 Table S3 transformation conditions.

**Table S4** SCSC Comparison of the ground state energies and the transformation energy for Cd-CPs calculated at B3LYP/LANL2DZ<sup>2</sup> level.

**Table S5** Fluorescent sensor for  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Al^{3+}$  detection based on various CPs.

#### **1. Experimental Section**

#### 1.1 Materials and instruments

Ligands of 3-bpdb and *n*-BuO-H<sub>2</sub>ip were synthesized according to the literature.<sup>1, 2</sup> Other reagents and solvents were commercially available and were used without further purification. Elemental analyses for C, H, and N were carried out with an Elementar Vario EL III analyzer. IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR Thermo Nicolet Avatar 360 using KBr pellets in the 4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup> region. The phase purity of the samples was investigated by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements carried out on a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer equipped with Cu–Ka radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) at a scan speed of 1 °/min. Simulation of the PXRD patterns was performed using singlecrystal data and processed with the Mercury 3.9 program available free of charge through the Internet at http://www.iucr.org. Variable temperature powder X-ray patterns (VT-PXRD) were obtained on a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer using Mo- $K\alpha$  radiation. Luminescence spectroscopy was performed on a Lengguang (Shanghai, China) F98 fluorescence spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) data were acquired using a Mettler-Toledo simultaneous thermal analyzer from room temperature to 800 °C under an N2 atmosphere with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The morphology of the samples was observed using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; Nova Nano SEM 450, 10 kV). X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a K-Alpha<sup>+</sup> of Thermo fisher Scientific with Al  $K_{\alpha}$  radiation (hv = 1486.6 eV). The ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrum was recorded with Hitachi UV-1900. Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) measurement was conducted on German NETZSCH 200 F3, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min in N<sub>2</sub>. Point symbol and topological analyses were conducted by using the TOPOS program package.<sup>3</sup>

### 1.2 X-ray Crystallographic Measurement

Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were coated with methylsilicon oil (Urchem) on a slide glass, transferred to a polyimide film loop (MiTeGen micromount),

and then quickly mounted on the goniometer head of a Bruker D8 Venture PHOTON CMOS system equipped with a mirror monochromator, a Mo Ka INCOATEC IuS 3.0 micro-focus radiation source ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å). The crystal samples were optically aligned. It is noteworthy that the data of Cd-1' were collected at 298 K, while Cd-1 were collected at 100 K using an Oxford Cryostream 700 system. Especially, the crystals of Cd-1 and Cd-4 used for data collection were found to display nonmerohedral twinning. The APEX3 software program (version 2018.7-2)<sup>4</sup> was used for diffractometer control. Intensity data were integrated using the SAINT+ software.<sup>5</sup> Empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program.<sup>6</sup> Using Olex2,<sup>7</sup> the structures were solved with the SHELXT<sup>8</sup> structure solution program with Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the SHELXL<sup>6</sup> refinement package. In all structures, the hydrogen atoms attached to organics either originated from being fixed by stereochemistry or differential Fourier diagrams. The structures of Cd-1, Cd-1', Cd-3, and Cd-7 contain an area of disordered lattice water molecules; attempts to model them with a chemically reasonable geometry were unsuccessful. Therefore, the SQUEEZE procedure of PLATON<sup>9</sup> was employed to remove the contributions of the electron density associated with water molecules from the intensity data. Because of the disordered water molecules, the positions of the corresponding hydrogen atoms could not be clearly localized. The solvent-free model and intensity data were used for the final results reported here. Likely the consequence of twinning, larger than expected residual density minimum outside metal atom locations. The highest residual electron density peaks of 2.2 eA<sup>-3</sup> is localized 0.94 Å from Cd2 in Cd-1, and the highest residual electron density peaks of 1.86 eA<sup>-3</sup> is localized 0.98 Å from Cd1 in Cd-4. The crystallographic data and details of the structure refinements are listed in Table S1, and the selected bond distances and angles are given in Table S2.

#### **1.3 Fluorescence Sensing Experiments**

The luminescence properties of Cd-2 and Cd-7 were investigated at room temperature. For the luminescence sensing of cations, the crystal samples of Cd-2 and Cd-7 were ground uniformly, and then the crystals were ultrasonically dispersed at a ratio of 1 mg/mL for 30 min to form a stable suspension. 2 mL of the suspension was taken in a cuvette, and the luminescence of the suspension was measured after adding different kinds of cations (0.01 M).

## 1.4 Synthesis of Cd-CPs

## $[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_2(n-BuO-ip)_2]_n$ (Cd-1).

A mixture of  $Cd(NO_3)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (15.42 mg, 0.05 mmol), 3-bpdb (5.25 mg, 0.025 mmol), *n*-BuO-H<sub>2</sub>ip (5.95 mg, 0.025 mmol), and DMF: H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) was sealed in a 5 mL glass reactor, heated at 115 °C for 2 days, and then cooled to room temperature. Yellow flake crystals of **Cd-1** were obtained and SC-XRD data were collected at 100 K.

## [Cd(3-bpdb)(*n*-BuO-ip)]<sub>n</sub> (Cd-1').

## **The First Method:**

Cd-1' was prepared as the first method of Cd-1 except that the SC-XRD data were collected at 298 K. Yield: 54% (based on 3-bpdb). Elemental analysis (%): calcd for  $(C_{24}H_{22}CdN_4O_5)$ : C 51.58, H 3.97, N 10.03; Found: C 51.48, H 4.12, N 10.02.

## The Second Method:

It was prepared similar to the first method except that the DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) was changed to  $H_2O$ : CH<sub>3</sub>OH (2 mL; 2:3, v:v). Yellow flake crystals of Cd-1' were obtained. Yield: 58% (based on 3-bpdb).

## $[Cd(3-bpdb)(n-BuO-ip)(H_2O)]_n (Cd-2).$

### **The First Method:**

Cd-2 was prepared similar to the first method of Cd-1 except that the DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) was changed to DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:3, v:v). Yellow diamond-like crystals of Cd-2 were obtained. Yield: 50% (based on 3-bpdb). Elemental analysis (%): calcd for ( $C_{24}H_{24}CdN_4O_6$ ): C 49.97, H 4.19, N 9.71; Found: C 49.89, H 4.21, N 9.82.

## The Second Method: SCSC transformations.

As-synthesized Cd-1' were dipped in DMF: H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL; 1:3, v:v) at RT for 7 days, Cd-

2 was afford.

The Third Method: SCSC transformations.

The samples of Cd-5 were dipped in DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:6, v:v) at RT for 16 days, Cd-2 was afford.

# ${[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_{2.5}(n-BuO-ip)_2(H_2O)] \cdot 2H_2O}_n (Cd-3).$

# The First Method:

**Cd-3** was prepared similar to the first method of **Cd-1** except that the DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) was changed to DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:6, v:v). Yellow needle-like crystals of **Cd-3** were obtained. Yield: 46% (based on 3-bpdb). Elemental analysis (%): calcd for ( $C_{54}H_{55}Cd_2N_{10}O_{13}$ ): C 50.79, H 4.34, N 10.97; Found: C 50.65, H 4.38, N 10.94. **The Second Method:** SCSC transformations.

Stirring crystal samples of Cd-1' in aqueous solution, recrystalling for two weeks at RT, Cd-3 was formed.

# [Cd<sub>2</sub>(3-bpdb)<sub>2</sub>(*n*-BuO-ip)(*n*-BuO-Hip)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>n</sub> (Cd-4).

Cd-4 was prepared similar to the first method of Cd-1 except that the DMF:  $H_2O$  (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) was changed to  $H_2O$  (2 mL). Colorless rod-like crystals of Cd-4 were obtained. Yield: 39% (based on 3-bpdb). Elemental analysis (%): calcd for  $(C_{60}H_{62}Cd_2N_8O_{17})$ : C 51.77, H 8.98, N 8.05; Found: C 51.47, H 8.97, N 8.13.

# $\{[Cd(3-bpdb)_{0.5}(n-BuO-ip)(DMF)(H_2O)] \cdot H_2O\}_n (Cd-5).$

# The First Method: SCSC transformations.

Colorless block crystals of Cd-5 were obtained by immersing the as-made Cd-1' in the mother liquor (or immersed in DMF–H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL; 1:1, v:v) for 14 days. Elemental analysis (%): calcd for ( $C_{21}H_{28}CdN_3O_8$ ): C 44.81, H 5.01, N 7.47; Found: C 44.78, H 4.89, N 7.53.

# The Second Method: SCSC transformations.

As-synthesized Cd-2 were placed in a glass reactor heated at 105 °C for 2 days, and then cooled to room temperature. Gradually precipitate colorless block crystals of Cd-

## $\{[Cd_2(3-bpdb)(n-BuO-ip)_2(H_2O)_4] \cdot H_2O\}_n (Cd-6).$

Crystals of Cd-5 were left in DMF–H<sub>2</sub>O (2 mL; 1:19, v:v) at RT for 16 days, yellow block crystals of Cd-6 were afford. Elemental analysis (%): calcd for  $(C_{36}H_{44}Cd_2N_4O_{15})$ : C 43.34, H 4.45, N 5.62; Found: C 43.24, H 4.42, N 5.68.

### $[Cd(3-bpdb)_{0.5}(n-BuO-ip)(H_2O)]_n (Cd-7).$

The First Method: SCSC transformations.

Crystals of **Cd-5** were left in aqueous solution at RT for 0.5 hours, yellow block crystals of **Cd-7** were obtained. Elemental analysis (%): calcd for  $(C_{18}H_{19}CdN_2O_6)$ : C 45.82, H 4.06, N 5.94; Found: C 45.72, H 4.21, N 5.88.

The Second Method: SCSC transformations.

The samples of **Cd-5** were placed in a constant temperature (298 K) and humidity box with a humidity of 98% for 4 hours, a color changed from light white to yellow, samples of **Cd-7** were obtained.

#### 2. Detailed structural description of Cd-CPs

[Cd(3-bpdb)(*n*-BuO-ip)]<sub>n</sub> (Cd-1'). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that Cd-1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*ī. The asymmetric unit consists of one Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion, one 3-bpdb ligand, and one *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anion (Fig. S1a). As illustrated in Fig. S1a, each distorted octahedral Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion is coordinated by four equatorial O atoms originating from three *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands and two N atoms from two 3-bpdb ligands. The Cd–O bond lengths vary from 2.272(6) to 2.402(6) Å, whereas the Cd–N bond distances are 2.325(7) and 2.349(8) Å, which are within the normal range.<sup>10</sup> Two symmetry-related Cd<sup>2+</sup> centers (Cd1 and, Cd1A) are bridged by carboxylate groups with bidentate bridging ( $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$ ) and bidentate chelating ( $\eta^2$ ) modes, forming a binuclear secondary building unit (SBU) of [Cd<sub>2</sub>(COO)<sub>4</sub>] with a Cd···Cd separation of 4.120 Å (Fig. S1b). The adjacent SBUs are extended by  $\mu_3$ -(*n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup>) linkers to generate one-dimensional (1D) ribbons along the *a* axis (Fig. S1c). The straight ribbons of 1D wireless extensions are further connected by 3-bpdb ligands, generating a two-dimensional (2D) terrace double-layered structure. Topologically, the 2D network

shows the 3,5-connected  $(4^{2}.6)(4^{2}.6^{7}.8)$  topology with each *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligand as a 3connected node and each Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion as a 5-connected node.<sup>11</sup> In addition, calculation using PLATON showed that the accessible volume for **Cd-1'** is 221.0 Å<sup>3</sup> (16.6%) after the removal of the guest water molecules.



**Fig. S1** (a) Coordination environment of  $Cd^{2+}$  ion in **Cd-1'** (symmetry codes: (A) -x+3, -y+1, -z+1; (B) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (C) x+1, y+1, z). (b) SBU of  $[Cd_2(COO)_2]$  in **Cd-1'**. (c) View of 1D  $[Cd_2(n-BuO-ip)_2]_n$  straight ribbon linked by n-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anions. (d) Schematic of the 2D terrace layer of **Cd-1'** with (4<sup>2</sup>.6)(4<sup>2</sup>.6<sup>7</sup>.8) topology.

 $[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_2(n-BuO-ip)_2]_n$  (Cd-1). When data were collected at 100 K, Cd-1 with a significant difference in crystallographic parameters from Cd-1' was obtained (Table S1). Structural determination revealed that Cd-1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P_{\overline{1}}$  with the asymmetric unit consisting of one  $[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_2(n-BuO-ip)_2]$ . Accordingly, the unit-cell volume is about twice as large as that of Cd-1'. Nevertheless, the overlay diagram of the molecules in the two crystal forms (Cd-1 and Cd-1') indicates that they nearly retain the overall similar molecular conformation at both temperatures.<sup>12</sup> The  $\sigma$  parameter used to quantify the distortion of the octahedral geometry of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions was calculated here, and the  $\sigma$  value are 0.49 and 0.47 for Cd-1 and 0.51 for Cd-1', indicating the slightly less distortion of the polyhedrons in Cd-1' (Fig. S2a,d). Taking

a look at the inside view of the both  $[Cd_2(n-BuO-ip)_4]$  dimers, the centroid…centroid distances between phenyl rings in the room-temperature (RT) form are 8.013 and 10.318 Å. But, instead, the corresponding distances in low-temperature form range from 7.904 Å to 10.425 Å, which demonstrated greater diversity (Fig. S2b,e). The largest difference observed is the configuration of the 3-bpdb ligands. The dihedral angle between the pyridine rings is 5.347° in Cd-1', and the corresponding ones are 3.017° and 10.639° in Cd-1, suggesting the reduction in symmetry restraint (Fig. S2c,f). Besides, the distance between the backbone of terrace double-layers in Cd-1 in the low-temperature form is shorter to that observed in the RT form (Fig. S3). In addition, the voids occupy 15.1% of the crystal volume in Cd-1, which is smaller than that of Cd-1'.



**Fig. S2** Diagrams comparing the difference of structural component at RT and 100K. (a) and (d) Polyhedral configuration. (b) and (e) Centroid…centroid distances between phenyl rings of  $[Cd_2(n-BuO-ip)_4]$  dimers. (c) and (f) Dihedral angle between the pyridine rings in 3-bpdb. The units (Å) are omitted for clarity.



Fig. S3 Distance between the backbone of terrace layers at RT and 100 K.

 $[Cd(3-bpdb)(n-BuO-ip)(H_2O)]_n$  (Cd-2). Structural determination revealed that Cd-2 exhibits a 2D mono-layered grid-like net and crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*<sub>1</sub>. The asymmetric unit consists of one Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion, one 3-bpdb ligand, one *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anion, and one coordinated water (Fig. S4a). Cd1 is in a distorted {CdO4N2} octahedral configuration, which is similar to that in Cd-1'. However, one bidentate bridging ( $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1:\eta^1$ ) carboxylate oxygen atom in Cd-1' is replaced by a terminal coordinated water, which does not involved connecting. As a consequence, the binuclear [Cd<sub>2</sub>(COO)<sub>4</sub>] unit in Cd-1' is transformed to mononuclear [Cd(COO)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)] center. The mononuclear centers are linked by *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anions with carboxylates by using bidentate chelating ( $\eta^2$ ) and monodentate ( $\eta^1$ ) modes to form a 1D [Cd(*n*-BuO-ip)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub> chain, with a Cd···Cd distance of 10.387 Å, along the *b*-axis direction (Fig. S4b). Then, 3-bpdb ligands continuously connect the adjacent Cd<sup>2+</sup> centers to form a 2D mono-layer gridlike structure (Fig. S4c) with **sql** topology (Fig. S4d).



Fig. S4 (a) Coordination environment of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion in Cd-2 (symmetry codes: (A) x, y-1, z; (B) x-1, y-1, z). (b) View of 1D infinite linear [Cd(*n*-BuO-ip)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub> straight chain.
(c) 2D monolayer structure. (d) Drawing showing the (4<sup>4</sup>,6<sup>2</sup>) sql topology.

 ${[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_{2.5}(n-BuO-ip)_2(H_2O)] \cdot 2H_2O}_n$  (Cd-3). Crystallographic studies showed that Cd-3 belongs to the  $P\bar{i}$  space group of the triclinic system, and the asymmetric unit consists of two crystallographically independent Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions, two *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anions, two

and a half 3-bpdb ligands, one coordinated water, and two lattice water molecules (Fig. S5a). The crystallographically independent Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions present different coordinated geometries. Cd1 has a six-coordinate environment consisting of two carboxylates O atoms from two independent *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands, one water O atom, and three N atoms from three 3-bpdb ligands. Cd2 has a five-coordinated triangular bipyramid polyhedron, which is surrounded by three carboxylates O atoms and two axial 3-bpdb N atoms. Similar to that in Cd-1, the symmetry-related Cd2 and Cd2A ions in Cd-3 are bridged by two bidentate bridging  $(\mu_2 - \eta^1: \eta^1)$  carboxylate groups, constituting a [Cd<sub>2</sub>(COO)<sub>2</sub>] SBU with a Cd…Cd distance of 3.935 Å (Fig. S5b). Notably, the alternating mononuclear Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions and binuclear [Cd<sub>2</sub>(COO)<sub>2</sub>] SBUs are connected by *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands with the use of  $\mu_2$ - $\kappa^2$  O:O' and  $\mu_3$ - $\kappa^3$  O:O':O'' coordination modes, resulting in a 1D beaded chain with distances between the mono- and bi- metal units of 10.824 and 10.886 Å, respectively (Fig. S5c). The pairwise  $\mu_2$ -3bpdb ligands and the binuclear SBUs combine to serve as inclined linear, to produce an sql layer (Fig. S5d). The layers are further cross-linked by 3-bpdb at Cd1 atom, thus, a complicated 3D MOF is formed (Fig. S5e). In the 3D framework, each dinuclear (Cd2)<sub>2</sub> unit is six-connected to two (Cd2)<sub>2</sub> units and four mononuclear Cd1 centers, while in turn each Cd1 center is five-connected to two  $(Cd2)_2$  units and three mononuclear Cd1 centers (Fig. S6a). Topological analysis indicated that the 3D framework of Cd-3 represents a (5,6)connected binodal new topology with the Schlafli symbol of  $(4^{5}.6^{5})_{2}(4^{6}.6^{8}.8)$  (Fig. S6b). In addition, calculations using PLATON showed that the voids in Cd-3 occupy 7.9% of the crystal volume (224 Å<sup>3</sup> out of the 2835.9 Å<sup>3</sup> unit cell volume).



**Fig. S5** (a) Coordination environment of  $Cd^{2+}$  ion in **Cd-3**. (symmetry codes: (A) -x+1, -y+1, -z; (B) x-1, y, z; (C) x, y+1, z; (D) -x, -y+3, -z+1). (b) Polyhedral configuration of Cd1 and Cd2 center. (c) 1D beaded chain composed of n-BuO-ip<sup>2–</sup> ligands. (d) A **sql** layer. (e) 3D framework.



Fig. S6 (a) The 5-connected and 6-connected nodes. (b) Drawing showing the  $(4^{5}.6^{5})_{2}(4^{6}.6^{8}.8)$  new topology.

 $[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_2(n-BuO-ip)(n-BuO-Hip)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$  (Cd-4) The crystal structure of Cd-4 represents a rare example of 1D helical double chains. Different from the other Cd-CPs

reported here, it crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$ , the asymmetric unit consists of two crystallographically independent  $Cd^{2+}$  ions, one deprotonated *n*-BuOip<sup>2-</sup> anion, two partially deprotonated *n*-BuO-Hip<sup>-</sup> anions, two 3-bpdb ligands, and two coordinated water molecules (Fig. S7a). Each  $Cd^{2+}$  ion is six-coordinated by two *n*-BuO-Hip<sup>-</sup> O atoms, one *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> O atom, one water molecule, and two pyridyl N atoms. As shown in Fig. S7b, the two independent Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions are held together by one fully deprotonated *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anion with monodentate  $\mu_2$ -( $\eta^1$ )( $\eta^1$ ) coordination mode, forming a  $[Cd_2(n-BuO-ip)]^{2-}$  dimer. The negative charges of the binuclear core are balanced by the coordination of two partially deprotonated *n*-BuO-Hip<sup>-</sup> anions with carboxylate groups in bidentate chelating  $(\eta^2)$  mode, continuously forming a [Cd<sub>2</sub>(*n*-BuO-ip)(*n*-BuO-Hip)<sub>2</sub>] unit. Interestingly, every two 3-bpdb molecules act as  $\mu_2$ bridging linker with the dihedral angles of 38.7° and 59.4° among two pyridyl rings, connecting metal centers to produce a 1D double chain along the *a*-axis direction (Fig. S7c,d). It is worth noting that the  $[Cd_2(3-bpdb)_2]_n$  motif, featuring a double-helix chain with a long pitch of about 17.13 Å (Fig. S7e). To date, numerous studies and some landmark reviews have been published on 1D CPs. Linear chains, zigzag architectures, ribbon chains, and ladder chains are the most typical and ubiquitous in 1D CPs. Due to the similarity in biological systems and enantioselective catalysis, the infinite helical structure is the most distinct among the 1D motifs.<sup>13, 14</sup> In essence, the helical doublechain structure of Cd-4 is different from the reported ones, such as  $\{[Zn(DPA)(H_2MDP)]_n \cdot 2(H_2O)\}_n\}^{15}$  and  $[Mn_2(ip)_2(bpy)_2]_n^{16}$  for the two helical chains in Cd-4 are locked by the monodentate bridging n-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anions. As far as we know, this unique structural motif in 1D CPs has never been reported before, and will enrich the field of 1D chain polymers. Topologically, each Cd<sup>2+</sup> atom can be regarded as a 3connected node and the structure of Cd-4 can be simplified as a uninodal 3-c net with the Schlafli symbol of (4<sup>2</sup>.6) (Fig. S7f).



**Fig. S7** (a) Coordination environment of  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in **Cd-4**. (symmetry codes: (A) x+1, y, z). (b) Connectivity and geometry of  $[Cd_2(n-BuO-ip)(n-BuO-Hip)_2]$  dimer. The partially deprotonated n-BuO-Hip<sup>-</sup> anions are highlighted. (c) Configuration and dihedral angles of among the pyridyl rings in 3-bpdb ligands. (d) 1D double chain structure of **Cd-4**. (e) Double-helix chains. (f) Simplified view of the 1D infinite chain structure.

{[Cd(3-bpdb)<sub>0.5</sub>(*n*-BuO-ip)(DMF)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]·H<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>n</sub> (Cd-5). Structural determination revealed that the stoichiometric ratio of metal to the bipyridyl ligand and carboxylic acid is 1:0.5:1. Besides, the asymmetric unit includes one coordinated DMF, one coordinated water, and one lattice water molecule. As shown in Fig. S8a, each Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion has a distorted {CdO5N} octahedral geometry, and the equatorial plane is built by three carboxylate O atoms from two independent *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> and a pyridyl N atom. One DMF oxygen and one water O atoms occupy the axial positions. As expected, the Cd–N<sub>3-bpdb</sub> bond length of 2.32 Å is in the normal range, however, the bond lengths of Cd–O<sub>carboxylate</sub> ( $\eta^2$ -COO<sup>2-</sup>) (2.37 and 2.44 Å) are longer than those of Cd–O<sub>carboxylate</sub> ( $\eta^1$ -COO<sup>2-</sup>) bond (2.23 Å) and Cd–O<sub>water</sub> bond (2.28 Å) lengths, showing weak interaction of Cd–O ( $\eta^2$ -COO<sup>2-</sup>). In Cd-5, the *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands link the adjacent [Cd(H<sub>2</sub>O)(DMF)]<sup>2+</sup> centers with carboxylate groups function as chelated bidentate ( $\eta^2$ ) and monodentate ( $\eta^1$ ) bridges, generating a 1D [Cd(*n*-BuO-ip)(H<sub>2</sub>O)(DMF)]<sub>n</sub> linear chain along the *b*-axis. Further, the two crystallographically equivalent neighboring chains are connected by 3-bpdb ligands to form a 1D ladder-like double-chain structure with Cd…Cd separations of 10.296 and 13.218 Å, respectively (Fig. S8b,c). Notably, the two pyridyl rings of 3-bpdb are coplanar in **Cd-5**, different to those of the Cd-CPs.



**Fig. S8** (a) Coordination environment of  $Cd^{2+}$  ion in **Cd-5** (symmetry codes: (A) x, y-1, z; (B) -x, -y+1, -z+1). (b) 1D ladder-like double chains along the *b*-axis.

 ${[Cd_2(3-bpdb)(n-BuO-ip)_2(H_2O)_4] \cdot H_2O}_n$  (Cd-6). The double-chain ladder-like structure of Cd-6 is similar to that of Cd-5, with the nodes and rungs and rails in a ratio of 1:0.5:1. The asymmetric unit consists of two crystallographically independent Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion, two *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anions, one 3-bpdb ligand, and four coordinated water molecules, and one lattice water molecule (Fig. S9a). Cd1 is six-coordinated in a distorted {CdO5N} octahedral geometry, whereas Cd2 is seven-coordinated in a distorted {CdO6N} pentagonal bipyramid geometry. The main reason for the difference lies in the coordination mode of carboxylate groups, as shown in Fig. S9b (zoomed image). In Cd-6, the distance of the rung is 14.605 Å, which is slightly longer than that in Cd-5, indicating the non-coplanar of 3-bpdb ligands (the dihedral angle between the pyridine rings is 7.245°). While the distance of the rail in Cd-6 is 10.012, which is slightly shorter than the corresponding one in Cd-5, implying the coordination differences of *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands (Fig. S9c). Indeed, each liner chain of the ladder in Cd-6 is formed by the alternate linking of  $\mu_2$ - $(\eta^2)(\eta^2)$ -*n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> and  $\mu_2$ - $(\eta^1)(\eta^2)$ -*n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions, different to the unitary linking of  $\mu_2$ - $(\eta^1)(\eta^2)$ -*n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> in Cd-5.



Fig. S9 (a) Coordination environment of Cd<sup>2+</sup> ion in Cd-6 (symmetry codes: (A) -x+1, -y+1, -z; (B) -x+2, -y+2, -z+1). (b) Polyhedral configuration of Cd1 and Cd2 center.
(c) Terraced-chain 1D coordination polymer structure.

 $[Cd(3-bpdb)_{0.5}(n-BuO-ip)(H_2O)]_n(Cd-7)$ . Structure analysis showed that Cd-7 features a 2D mono-layered structure with 3,4-connected binodal  $V_2O_5$ -type network, which is obviously different with the (3.5)-connected double-layered terrace structure of Cd-1' and the mono-layered grid-like structure of Cd-2. The asymmetric unit of Cd-7 comprises one [Cd(3-bpdb)<sub>0.5</sub>(*n*-BuO-ip)(H<sub>2</sub>O)] (Fig. S10a). Each Cd<sup>2+</sup> center is seven coordinated, having a pentagonal bipyramidal geometry where its coordination environment is satisfied by one N atom of 3-bpdb ligand and six O atoms, among five are coming from three anion n-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands and the sixth belongs to water. The n-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> anion adopts a  $\mu_3$ -coordination fashion with one carboxylate group adopting a bidentate chelating  $(\eta^2)$  mode, while the other shows a chelating-bridging  $(\eta^2:\eta^1)$ tridentate mode. The  $\mu_3$ - $(\eta^2)(\eta^2:\eta^1)$ -*n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands bridge Cd<sup>2+</sup> ions to form a 1D double chain with Cd…Cd distances of 3.958 and 10.106 Å, respectively (Fig. S10b). The 3-bpdb ligand adopts 2-bridging modes by sharing Cd<sup>2+</sup> centers from the 1D [Cd(*n*-BuO-ip)]<sub>n</sub> double chains to generate a 2D layer (Fig. S10c). Topologically, Cd-7 can be simplified as a 3,4-connected  $V_2O_5$ -type network with the Schlafli symbol of  $(4^2.6)(4^2.6^3.8)$ , in which *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> can be regarded as the 3-connected node to bridge three  $Cd^{2+}$  ions and each  $Cd^{2+}$  as a 4-connected node by linking to three *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ions and one Cd<sup>2+</sup> via 3-bpdb (Fig. S10d).



**Fig. S10** (a) Coordination environment of  $Cd^{2+}$  ion in **Cd-7** (symmetry codes: (A) x+1, y-1, z; (B) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (C) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2). (b) 1D double chain. (c) 2D layer structure. (d) Drawing showing the  $(4^2.6)(4^2.6^3.8)$  topology.



Fig. S11. Simulated and as-synthesized PXRD patterns for Cd-CPs.



**Fig. S12** Twisting of the alkoxy chain in the different conformations of (a) Cd-1' (b) Cd-2 (c) Cd-3 (d) Cd-4 (e) Cd-5 (f) Cd-6 (g) Cd-7.



Fig. S13 Dihedral angles between pyridine rings in 3-bpdb of (a) Cd-1' (b) Cd-2 (c) Cd-3 (d) Cd-4 (e) Cd-5 (f) Cd-6 (g) Cd-7.



Fig. S14 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for Cd-1' to Cd-2 and Cd-3.



Fig. S15 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for Cd-5 to Cd-7.



Fig. S16 SCSC transformation PXRD patterns for between Cd-5 and Cd-2



Fig. S17 SEM of (a) Cd-5, (b) Cd-6, (c) Cd-7. Insert: photograph.



Fig. S18 PXRD patterns of (a) Cd-2 and (b) Cd-7 immersed in aqueous solutions for 35 days.



Fig. S19 (a) Solid-state emission and excitation spectra of n-BuO-H<sub>2</sub>ip and 3-bpdb. Emission and excitation spectra in aqueous solution of (b) Cd-2 and (c) Cd-7.



Fig. S20 Emission spectra of (a) Cd-2 and (c) Cd-7 in different cations.



**Fig. S21** Emission spectra of **Cd-2** immersed in aqueous solutions with (a) Al<sup>3+</sup> and (c)  $Cr^{3+}$  at different concentrations. Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs (b) Al<sup>3+</sup> and (d)  $Cr^{3+}$ concentration ( $\lambda_{ex} = 311$  nm).



**Fig. S22** Emission spectra of **Cd-7** immersed in aqueous solutions with (a)  $Al^{3+}$  and (c)  $Cr^{3+}$  at different concentrations. Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs (b)  $Al^{3+}$  and (d)  $Cr^{3+}$  concentration ( $\lambda_{ex} = 311$  nm).



Fig. S23 Fluorescence emissions of Cd-2 to Fe<sup>3+</sup> within (a) 2 cycles and (b) 3 cycles.



**Fig. S24** (a) Emission spectra of Cd-7 immersed in aqueous solutions with  $Fe^{3+}$  at different concentrations. (b) Fitting curve of  $I/I_0$  vs  $Fe^{3+}$  concentration ( $\lambda_{ex} = 311$  nm)



**Fig. S25** (a) Selective detection of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$  and (b)  $Al^{3+}$  by **Cd-2** in the presence of other cations in the aqueous medium.



Fig. S26 (a) Selective detection of (a) Cr  $^{3+}$  and (b) Al $^{3+}$  by Cd-7 in the presence of other cations in the aqueous medium.



Fig. S27 PXRD patterns of (a) Cd-2 and (b) Cd-7 after sensing  $Al^{3+}$ ,  $Cr^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .



**Fig. S28** UV-vis absorption spectrum of **Cd-2** and with the addition of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$  and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



**Fig. S29** UV-vis absorption spectrum of Cd-7 and with the addition of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$  and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S30 XPS analysis of the O 1s peaks of Cd-2 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$ , and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S31 XPS analysis of the N 1s peaks of Cd-2 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$ , and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S32 XPS analysis of the O 1s peaks of Cd-7 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$ , and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S33 XPS analysis of the N 1s peaks of Cd-7 before and after immersed in the aqueous solution of (a)  $Cr^{3+}$ , (b)  $Al^{3+}$ , and (c)  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S34 Excitation and emission wavelength of Cd-2 and UV-vis absorption spectrum of  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Al^{3+}$ , and  $Fe^{3+}$ .



Fig. S35 FT-IR spectra of Cd-1–Cd-7.



Fig. S36 TGA curves of Cd-1′–Cd-7.



Fig. S37 Solid-state fluorescence spectra of Cd-1'-Cd-7.



Scheme S1 Coordination Modes of the *n*-BuO-ip<sup>2-</sup> ligands in (a) Cd-1, (b) Cd-2, and (c) Cd-3.



Scheme S2 Schematic illustration of the PET process and the turn-on sensing of  $M^{3+}$  (Cr<sup>3+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Fe<sup>3+</sup>) for (a) Cd-2 and (b) Cd-7.

	Cd-1 <sup>a</sup>	<b>Cd-1</b> ′ <sup><i>a</i></sup>	Cd-2
CCDC no.	2255489	2255490	2220246
empirical formula	$C_{48}H_{44}Cd_2N_8O_{10}$	$\mathrm{C}_{24}\mathrm{H}_{22}\mathrm{CdN_4O_5}$	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>24</sub> CdN <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub>
formula weight	1117.71	558.85	576.87
crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic
space group	Pī	Pī	Pī
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.3825 (7)	10.318 (3)	8.7263 (18)
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.7510 (6)	11.477 (4)	10.387 (2)
<i>c</i> (Å)	17.7783 (8)	12.936 (5)	13.454 (3)
α (°)	82.495 (2)	111.288 (14)	94.237 (7)
β (°)	77.280 (2)	92.092 (15)	98.761 (8)
γ (°)	70.229 (2)	108.460 (11)	96.502 (7)
$V(Å^3)$	2571.9 (2)	1333.8 (8)	1192.4 (4)
Ζ	2	2	2
$\rho$ calc (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.443	1.392	1.607
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.89	0.86	0.96
F(000)	1128	564	584
Radiation	Μο Κ <sub>α</sub>	Μο Κ <sub>α</sub>	Μο Κ <sub>α</sub>
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.14  imes 0.12  imes 0.06	0.14  imes 0.10  imes 0.04	0.27  imes 0.24  imes 0.2
Reflections collected	10337	24728	37941
Independent reflections	10337	4775	5911
GOF	1.031	1.023	1.068
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	0.0499, 0.1017	0.0700, 0.1393	0.0190, 0.0451
$R_1$ , $wR_2$ (all data) <sup>b</sup>	0.0810, 0.1127	0.1062, 0.1666	0.0211, 0.0462
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} ({\rm e}{\rm \AA}^{-3})$	2.20, -0.89	0.69, -1.51	0.43, -0.34
	Cd-3 <sup><i>a</i></sup>	Cd-4	Cd-5
CCDC no.	2220247	2255491	2220248
empirical formula	C <sub>54</sub> H <sub>55</sub> Cd <sub>2</sub> N <sub>10</sub> O <sub>13</sub>	$C_{60}H_{62}Cd_2N_8O_{17}$	$C_{21}H_{28}CdN_3O_8$
formula weight	1276.88	1391.97	562.86
crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
space group	Pī	$P2_{1}/c$	Pī
<i>a</i> (Å)	12.468 (3)	8.5651 (8)	9.2746 (4)
<i>b</i> (Å)	12.732 (3)	29.378 (3)	10.2958 (4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	18.389 (5)	24.244 (3)	14.0716 (5)
α (°)	98.114 (11)	90	73.900 (1)
β (°)	92.162 (10)	92.208 (4)	71.884 (2)
γ (°)	100.452 (9)	90	85.412 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	2836.1 (12)	6096.0 (11)	1226.96 (8)

 Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinement summary for Cd-1–Cd-7.

Ζ	2	4	2				
$\rho$ calc (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.495	1.517	1.524				
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.82	0.77	0.94				
Radiation	Μο Κ <sub>α</sub>	Μο Κ <sub>α</sub>	Mo $K_{\alpha}$				
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.2\times0.16\times0.15$	$0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.2$	0.3  imes 0.2  imes 0.16				
Reflections collected	27072	12495	37385				
Independent	9863	12495	6094				
reflections							
GOF	1.033	1.075	1.050				
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	0.0465, 0.0989	0.0768, 0.1643	0.0498, 0.1109				
$R_1$ , $wR_2$ (all data) <sup>b</sup>	0.0750, 0.1094	0.1120, 0.1852	0.0756, 0.1269				
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} ({ m e}{ m \AA}^{-3})$	1.78, -0.63	1.80, -1.09	0.91, -1.42				
	Cd-6	Cd-	<b>-7</b> <sup>a</sup>				
CCDC no.	2220249	2220	250				
empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{44}Cd_2N_4O_{15}$	C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>19</sub> C	dN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>6</sub>				
formula weight	997.55	471.75					
crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic					
space group	Pī	Pī					
a (Å)	10.0883 (13)	8.303 (3)					
<i>b</i> (Å)	13.3343 (17)	9.927 (4)					
<i>c</i> (Å)	14.5309 (18)	13.499 (5)					
α (°)	94.490 (5)	82.024 (15)					
$\beta$ (°)	90.763 (5)	73.743	(16)				
γ (°)	99.221 (5)	66.648	8 (14)				
$V(Å^3)$	1922.9 (4)	980.2	2 (7)				
Ζ	2	2					
ho calc (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.723	1.5	98				
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	1.18	1.1	5				
<i>F</i> (000)	1008	47	4				
Radiation	Mo K $_{\alpha}$	Мо	K <sub>α</sub>				
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.26 \times 0.16 \times 0.14$	0.2 × 0.1	× 0.08				
Reflections collected	60591	300	42				
Independent	9631	488	37				
reflections							
GOF	1.034	1.0	59				
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]^b$	0.0327, 0.0675	0.0345,	0.0725				
$R_1$ , $wR_2$ (all data) <sup>b</sup>	0.0552, 0.0767	0.0457,	0.0788				
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} ({\rm e}{\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.99, -0.86	1.37, -	-1.24				
<sup>a</sup> The values in parenthe	eses are for the refineme	ent after the SQUEEZE	routine.				
${}^{b}\text{R1} = \Sigma   \text{F0}  -  \text{Fc}   / \Sigma   \text{F0} , \text{ wR2} = [\Sigma \text{ w}(\text{F02} - \text{Fc2}) 2 / \Sigma \text{ w}(\text{F02}) 2] 1 / 2.$							

Cd-1							
Cd1–O1	2.270 (3)	Cd1O6	2.271 (3)	Cd1–O8 <sup>A</sup>	2.330 (3)		
Cd1–O9 <sup>A</sup>	2.396 (3)	Cd1–N1	2.318 (4)	Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	2.346 (4)		
Cd1–C20 <sup>A</sup>	2.705 (4)	Cd2–O2	2.282 (3)	Cd2–O3 <sup>C</sup>	2.305 (3)		
Cd2–O4 <sup>C</sup>	2.437 (3)	Cd2–O7	2.197 (3)	Cd2–N5	2.347 (4)		
Cd2–N8 <sup>B</sup>	2.326 (4)	Cd2–C8 <sup>C</sup>	2.703 (4)	O1–Cd1–O6	129.35 (11)		
O1–Cd1–O8 <sup>A</sup>	143.73 (11)	O1–Cd1–O9 <sup>A</sup>	88.76 (10)	O1–Cd1–N1	93.04 (13)		
O1–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	88.82 (13)	O1–Cd1–C20 <sup>A</sup>	116.52 (12)	O6–Cd1–O8 <sup>A</sup>	86.91 (10)		
O6–Cd1–O9 <sup>A</sup>	140.34 (11)	O6Cd1N1	88.59 (13)	O6–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	81.60 (13)		
O6–Cd1–C20 <sup>A</sup>	113.70 (12)	O8 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O9 <sup>A</sup>	55.67 (10)	O8 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	97.07 (12)		
O8 <sup>A</sup> -Cd1-C20 <sup>A</sup>	27.66 (11)	O9 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–C20 <sup>A</sup>	28.01 (11)	N1–Cd1–O8 <sup>A</sup>	88.04 (12)		
N1–Cd1–O9 <sup>A</sup>	101.41 (12)	N1–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	168.65 (12)	N1–Cd1–C20 <sup>A</sup>	95.33 (12)		
N4 <sup>B</sup> -Cd1-O9 <sup>A</sup>	89.81 (11)	N4 <sup>B</sup> -Cd1-C20 <sup>A</sup>	93.84 (12)	O2–Cd2–O3 <sup>C</sup>	102.90 (11)		
O2–Cd2–O4 <sup>c</sup>	155.85 (11)	O2Cd2N5	83.29 (12)	O2–Cd2–N8 <sup>B</sup>	84.84 (13)		
O2–Cd2–C8 <sup>C</sup>	129.78 (12)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd2–O4 <sup>c</sup>	55.17 (10)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd2–N5	92.11 (12)		
O3 <sup>C</sup> –Cd2–N8 <sup>B</sup>	86.87 (12)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd2–C8 <sup>c</sup>	27.48 (11)	O4 <sup>c</sup> –Cd2–C8 <sup>c</sup>	27.68 (11)		
O7–Cd2–O2	117.11 (11)	O7–Cd2–O3 <sup>C</sup>	139.91 (11)	O7–Cd2–O4 <sup>C</sup>	85.02 (10)		
O7-Cd2-N5	90.24 (13)	O7–Cd2–N8 <sup>B</sup>	98.53 (13)	O7–Cd2–C8 <sup>C</sup>	112.58 (12)		
N5–Cd2–O4 <sup>c</sup>	87.30 (12)	N5-Cd2-C8 <sup>C</sup>	89.82 (12)	N8 <sup>B</sup> –Cd2–O4 <sup>C</sup>	102.19 (12)		
N8 <sup>B</sup> -Cd2-N5	167.55 (14)	N8 <sup>B</sup> –Cd2–C8 <sup>C</sup>	94.90 (12)				
Symmetry codes: (A	A) $-x+1, -y+1, -$	-z+1; (B) $x, y+1, z;$	(C) $-x+1, -y+2$	, <i>z</i> .			
	1	Cd-1	•	1	1		
Cd1–O2 <sup>B</sup>	2.252 (5)	Cd1–O3 <sup>C</sup>	2.337 (5)	Cd1–O4 <sup>C</sup>	2.402 (6)		
Cd1–O1	2.272(6)	Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	2.349 (8)	Cd1–N1	2.325 (7)		
Cd1–C20 <sup>C</sup>	2.709 (7)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O1	124.9 (2)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–N1	92.7 (3)		
O1–Cd1–N1	86.4 (3)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O3 <sup>C</sup>	143.8 (2)	O1–Cd1–O3 <sup>C</sup>	91.3 (2)		
N1–Cd1–O3 <sup>C</sup>	87.8 (2)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	89.9 (3)	O1–Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	84.6 (3)		
N1–Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	170.4 (3)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	95.5 (2)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O4 <sup>C</sup>	89.1 (2)		
O1–Cd1–O4 <sup>C</sup>	145.3 (2)	N1–Cd1–O4 <sup>C</sup>	99.7 (3)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd1–O4 <sup>c</sup>	55.26 (18)		
N4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O4 <sup>C</sup>	89.6 (3)	O2 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–C20 <sup>C</sup>	116.6 (2)	O1–Cd1–C20 <sup>C</sup>	118.4 (2)		
N1–Cd1–C20 <sup>C</sup>	93.8 (2)	O3 <sup>c</sup> –Cd1–C20 <sup>c</sup>	27.5 (2)	N4 <sup>A</sup> -Cd1-C20 <sup>C</sup>	93.2 (2)		
O4 <sup>c</sup> –Cd1–C20 <sup>c</sup>	27.7 (2)						
Symmetry codes: (A	A) $x+1, y+1, z; ($	B) $-x+3, -y+1, -z+$	1; (C) -x+2, -y+	-1, -z+1.			
Cd-2							

 Table S2 Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (°) for Cd-1–Cd-7.

Cd1–O1	2.2	724 (11)	Cd1–O2	2.5869 (12)	Cd1–O4 <sup>B</sup>	2.2872 (11)
Cd1–O6	2.28	805 (11)	Cd1–N1	2.3494 (13)	Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	2.3433 (13)
O1–Cd1–O2	53.0	65 (4)	O1–Cd1–O4 <sup>B</sup>	92.19 (4)	O1–Cd1–O6	138.43 (4)
O1Cd1N1	90.′	70 (4)	O1–Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	91.23 (4)	O4 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O2	145.82 (4)
O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N1	89.0	63 (4)	O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	85.73 (4)	O6–Cd1–O2	84.81 (4)
O6–Cd1–O4 <sup>B</sup>	129	9.37 (4)	O6Cd1N1	90.55 (4)	O6–Cd1–N4 <sup>A</sup>	91.03 (4)
N1Cd1O2	89.8	88 (4)	N4 <sup>A</sup> -Cd1-O2	94.94 (4)	N4 <sup>A</sup> -Cd1-N1	175.04 (4)
Symmetry codes: (	A) <i>x</i> –	1, <i>y</i> –1, z; (	B) $x, y-1, z$ .			
			Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å)		
$D-H\cdots A$		D-H	Н…А	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> −H·	···A
O6–H6A…N3	E	0.88	2.01	2.8845 (17)	171	
O6–H6 <i>B</i> ···O3	F	0.88	1.85	2.6983 (14)	161	
Symmetry codes: (	E) <i>x</i> -	+1, -y+1, -	-z; (F) $-x, -y+1, -z$	•		
			Cd-3	<b>}</b>	1	1
Cd106	2.36	1 (3)	Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	2.347 (3)	Cd1–O2	2.289 (3)
Cd1–N9	2.425 (4)		Cd1–N1	2.366 (4)	Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	2.368 (4)
Cd2–O8 <sup>c</sup>	2.32	3 (3)	Cd2–O7	2.283 (3)	Cd2–O3	2.259 (4)
Cd2–N8 <sup>D</sup>	2.289 (3)		Cd2–N5	2.273 (3)	O6Cd1N9	154.44 (12)
O6Cd1N1	90.3	7 (13)	O6–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	92.71 (13)	O10 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O6	79.48 (12)
O10 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N9	76.2	6 (12)	O10 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N1	91.28 (12)	O10 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	86.53 (12)
O2–Cd1–O6	80.6	6 (13)	O2–Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	159.90 (13)	O2Cd1N9	123.84 (13)
O2Cd1N1	91.92	2 (12)	O2–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	91.35 (12)	N1–Cd1–N9	82.29 (14)
N1–Cd1–N4 <sup>B</sup>	175.	83 (14)	N4 <sup>B</sup> -Cd1-N9	93.74 (14)	O7–Cd2–O8 <sup>c</sup>	127.26 (14)
O7–Cd2–N8 <sup>D</sup>	85.0	6 (12)	O3–Cd2–O8 <sup>c</sup>	80.44 (13)	O3–Cd2–O7	152.29 (13)
O3–Cd2–N8 <sup>D</sup>	93.0	1 (13)	O3Cd2N5	92.32 (13)	N8 <sup>D</sup> –Cd2–O8 <sup>C</sup>	93.84 (13)
N5–Cd2–O8 <sup>c</sup>	92.9	1 (13)	N5-Cd2-O7	87.45 (12)	N5–Cd2–N8 <sup>D</sup>	172.01 (13)
Symmetry codes: (	A) <i>x</i> –	1, <i>y</i> +1, <i>z</i> ; (	B) $x, y+1, z; (C) -x$	+1, - <i>y</i> +1, - <i>z</i> ; (D	) $x - 1, y, z$ .	
		1	Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å)	I	
D–H···A		D-H	Н…А	$D \cdots A$	D−H·	···A
O6–H6 <i>A</i> ····O94	4	0.87	1.88	2.675 (4)	150	)
O6–H6 <i>B</i> …O12	2	0.88	2.03	2.825 (5)	150	)
012–H12A…O	9 <sup>C</sup>	0.87	1.98	2.845 (6)	174	ŀ
012–H12 <i>B</i> …O	13	0.87	1.96	2.823 (6)	171	
O13–H13A…O	3	0.87	2.07	2.859 (6)	150	)
O13−H13 <i>B</i> ····O	8 <sup>C</sup>	0.87	2.19	2.858 (7)	134	

Symmetry codes: (A	A) $x - 1, y + 1, z; ($	C) $-x+1, -y+1, -z.$				
		Cd-	4	[		
Cd1–O1	2.235 (6)	Cd1–O6	2.454 (6)	Cd1–O7	2.366 (7)	
Cd1011	2.271 (7)	Cd1–N1	2.326 (8)	Cd1–N8 <sup>A</sup>	2.337 (8)	
Cd1–C13	2.748 (10)	Cd2017	2.279 (7)	Cd2–O3	2.265 (6)	
Cd2012	2.396 (6)	Cd2013	2.402 (7)	Cd2–N4 <sup>A</sup>	2.328 (8)	
Cd2–N5	2.331 (8)	Cd2C25	2.709 (10)	O1–Cd1–O6	109.4 (2)	
O1–Cd1–O7	162.2 (2)	O1–Cd1–O11	117.0 (2)	O1–Cd1–N1	89.2 (3)	
O1–Cd1–N8 <sup>A</sup>	85.5 (3)	O1–Cd1–C13	136.0 (3)	O6Cd1C13	26.8 (3)	
O7–Cd1–O6	54.4 (2)	O7–Cd1–C13	27.7 (3)	O11–Cd1–O6	132.7 (2)	
O11–Cd1–O7	80.4 (2)	O11–Cd1–N1	91.4 (3)	O11–Cd1–N8 <sup>A</sup>	100.0 (3)	
O11–Cd1–C13	106.8 (3)	N1-Cd1-O6	79.9 (3)	N1–Cd1–O7	94.1 (3)	
N1–Cd1–N8 <sup>A</sup>	168.6 (3)	N1-Cd1-C13	85.2 (3)	N8 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O6	92.3 (3)	
N8 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O7	88.0 (3)	N8 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–C13	91.6 (3)	O17–Cd2–O12	134.7 (2)	
O17–Cd2–O13	80.9 (2)	O17–Cd2–N4 <sup>A</sup>	92.6 (3)	O17-Cd2-N5	101.3 (3)	
O17–Cd2–C25	108.0 (3)	O3–Cd2–O17	117.3 (2)	O3–Cd2–O12	107.5 (2)	
O3–Cd2–O13	161.7 (2)	O3–Cd2–N4 <sup>A</sup>	89.6 (3)	O3-Cd2-N5	85.7 (3)	
O3–Cd2–C25	134.6 (3)	O12Cd2O13	54.9 (2)	O12Cd2C25	27.1 (3)	
O13-Cd2-C25	27.8 (3)	N4 <sup>A</sup> Cd2O12	81.2 (2)	N4 <sup>A</sup> -Cd2-O13	92.2 (3)	
N4 <sup>A</sup> -Cd2-N5	166.0 (3)	N4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd2–C25	84.9 (3)	N5-Cd2-O12	87.6 (3)	
N5-Cd2-O13	88.3 (3)	N5-Cd2-C25	89.0 (3)			
Symmetry codes: (A	A) $x+1, y, z; (B)$	<i>x</i> -1, <i>y</i> , <i>z</i> .				
		Hydrogen-bond	geometry (Å)	Ι		
D–H···A	<i>D</i> –H	Н…А	$D \cdots A$	D–H·	···A	
O17–H17 <i>A</i> …O7	c 0.87	1.84	2.690 (10)	164	ŀ	
O17–H17 <i>B</i> ····O9	D 0.87	2.01	2.777 (9)	147		
O10–H10····O4 <sup>E</sup>	0.84	1.71	2.530 (9)	165	5	
011–H11A····013	3 <sup>F</sup> 0.87	1.87	2.720 (10)	166	)	
O11–H11 <i>B</i> …O14	<sup>1D</sup> 0.87	1.98	2.739 (10)	146	)	
O15–H15…O2 <sup>E</sup>	0.84	1.73	2.565 (10)	170	)	
Symmetry code: (C) $-x+1$ , $y-1/2$ , $-z+3/2$ ; (D) $x$ , $-y+1/2$ , $z-1/2$ ; (E) $x$ , $-y+1/2$ , $z+1/2$ ; (F) $-x+1$ , $y+1/2$ , $-z+3/2$ .						
		Cd-	5	[		
Cd1–N1	2.324 (4)	Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	2.367 (3)	Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	2.443 (3)	
Cd1–O1	2.228 (3)	Cd1–O6	2.369 (4)	Cd1–O7	2.283 (4)	
Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	2.753 (4)	N1–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	135.62 (12)	N1–Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	82.61 (11)	

·			-					
N1-Cd1-O6	84.0	07 (15)	N1–Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	109.13 (12)	O1–Cd1–N1	135.33 (12)		
O1–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	88.1	18 (11)	O1–Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	141.98 (11)	O1–Cd1–O6	90.93 (15)		
O1–Cd1–O7	86.4	47 (13)	O1–Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	115.02 (11)	O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	53.98 (10)		
O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	26.8	84 (10)	O5 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	27.20 (10)	O6–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	87.60 (15)		
O6–Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	91.0	03 (14)	O6–Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	87.90 (14)	O7–Cd1–N1	92.01 (13)		
O7–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	100	.51 (13)	O7–Cd1–O5 <sup>A</sup>	96.09 (12)	O7–Cd1–O6	171.38 (14)		
O7–Cd1–C17 <sup>A</sup>	100	.65 (12)						
Symmetry codes: (A	A) $x, y$	<i>v</i> −1, <i>z</i> .						
			Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å)				
D–H···A		<i>D</i> –H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> −H·	···A		
$O7-H7A\cdots O5^{D}$		0.85	1.88	2.702 (4)	163			
O7−H7 <i>B</i> ···O8		0.85	1.91	2.706 (5)	154	ļ		
O8−H8 <i>D</i> ····O2 <sup>D</sup>		0.85	1.92	2.753 (5)	168			
Symmetry code: (D	Symmetry code: (D) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ .							
Cd-6								
Cd1–O1	2.25	567 (18)	Cd1–O6	2.2967 (19)	Cd107	2.276 (2)		
Cd1O10 <sup>A</sup>	2.39	947 (18)	Cd1–O11 <sup>A</sup>	2.437 (2)	Cd1–N1	2.315 (2)		
Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	2.4417 (18)		Cd2–O4 <sup>B</sup>	2.386 (2)	Cd208	2.4916 (18)		
Cd209	2.34	444 (18)	Cd2013	2.340 (2)	Cd2014	2.2946 (19)		
Cd2–N4	2.33	32 (2)	O1–Cd1–O6	86.38 (7)	O1–Cd1–O7	137.70 (7)		
O1–Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	136	.74 (7)	O1–Cd1–O11 <sup>A</sup>	83.41 (7)	O1–Cd1–N1	92.59 (7)		
O6–Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	87.1	0 (8)	O6–Cd1–O11 <sup>A</sup>	89.52 (7)	O6Cd1N1	177.23 (8)		
O7–Cd1–O6	83.8	34 (7)	O7–Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	83.74 (7)	O7–Cd1–O11 <sup>A</sup>	137.35 (6)		
O7–Cd1–N1	95.2	23 (8)	O10 <sup>A</sup> -Cd1-O11 <sup>A</sup>	53.81 (6)	N1–Cd1–O10 <sup>A</sup>	95.40 (8)		
N1–Cd1–O11 <sup>A</sup>	92.9	91 (8)	O3 <sup>B</sup> –Cd2–O8	168.54 (7)	O4 <sup>B</sup> –Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	54.10 (7)		
O4 <sup>B</sup> -Cd2-O8	134	.59 (6)	O9–Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	132.22 (7)	O9–Cd2–O4 <sup>B</sup>	80.97 (6)		
O9–Cd2–O8	54.0	05 (6)	O13–Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	79.14 (7)	O13–Cd2–O4 <sup>B</sup>	129.45 (7)		
O13-Cd2-O8	94.6	66 (7)	O13–Cd2–O9	148.53 (7)	O14–Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	80.57 (7)		
O14–Cd2–O4 <sup>B</sup>	94.8	33 (7)	O14–Cd2–O8	90.51 (7)	O14Cd2O9	88.87 (7)		
O14Cd2O13	95.3	31 (8)	O14Cd2N4	173.09 (7)	N4–Cd2–O3 <sup>B</sup>	105.38 (7)		
N4–Cd2–O4 <sup>B</sup>	91.5	57 (8)	N4Cd2O8	83.13 (7)	N4Cd2O9	89.56 (7)		
N4Cd2O13	82.5	57 (9)	C1O1Cd1	105.56 (17)	C8–O3–Cd2 <sup>B</sup>	91.19 (15)		
Symmetry codes: (A	A) - <i>x</i>	+2, -y+2, -	-z+1; (B) $-x+1, -y+1, -y+1$	-1, <i>-z</i> .				
			Hydrogen-bond g	geometry (Å)				
$D-H\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ $D-H\cdots A$					···A			

O6–H6A…O1 <sup>C</sup>		0.88	1.90	2.729 (3)	157	7	
O6−H6 <i>B</i> …O11 <sup>1</sup>	O6–H6 <i>B</i> ···O11 <sup>D</sup> 0.88		1.94	2.743 (3)	153	}	
$O7-H7A\cdots O2^{E}$		0.88	1.89	2.659 (3)	146		
O13–H13A…O8	F	0.87	1.89	2.734 (3)	162	2	
O14–H14 <i>A</i> …O4	G	0.87	1.89	2.725 (3)	161		
O14–H14 <i>B</i> …O9	Н	0.87	2.08	2.832 (3)	143	3	
O15–H15A…O1	0 <sup>I</sup>	0.87	1.84	2.663 (3)	157	7	
O15–H15B····O3	3	0.87	1.87	2.686 (3)	156	ő	
Symmetry codes: (0	C) -x+	-3, -y+1, -	z+1; (D) $x+1$ , $y-1$ ,	<i>z</i> ; (E) – <i>x</i> +2, – <i>y</i> +	-1, -z+1; (F) -x+1,	-y+2, -z; (G)	
x-1, y+1, z; (H) -x,	-y+2	, -z; (I) x,	<i>y</i> -1, <i>z</i> .				
Cd-7							
Cd1–O1	2.58	3 (2)	Cd1–O2	2.263 (2)	Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	2.515 (2)	
Cd1–O3 <sup>B</sup>	2.419 (2)		Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	2.331 (2)	Cd1–O6	2.357 (2)	
Cd1–N1	2.294 (3)		O2–Cd1–O1	53.83 (7)	O2–Cd1–O3 <sup>B</sup>	91.72 (8)	
O2–Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	130.53 (7)		O2–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	85.47 (7)	O2–Cd1–O6	90.79 (8)	
O2Cd1N1	141.	51 (8)	O3 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O1	171.55 (6)	O3 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O1	100.20 (7)	
O3 <sup>B</sup> –Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	73.3	2 (8)	O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O1	133.87 (7)	O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O3 <sup>B</sup>	101.91 (7)	
O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	53.9	8 (7)	O4 <sup>A</sup> –Cd1–O6	83.84 (8)	O6–Cd1–O1	76.86 (8)	
O6–Cd1–O3 <sup>B</sup>	173.	89 (7)	O6–Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	109.06 (8)	N1Cd1O1	88.63 (8)	
N1–Cd1–O3 <sup>B</sup>	86.5	6 (8)	N1–Cd1–O3 <sup>A</sup>	85.61 (8)	N1–Cd1–O4 <sup>A</sup>	132.49 (8)	
N1Cd1O6	88.0	1 (8)					
Symmetry codes: (A) $x+1$ , $y-1$ , $z$ ; (B) $-x+1$ , $-y+1$ , $-z+1$ .							

Sample	DMF/mL	H <sub>2</sub> 0/mL	Other	Time	Temperature/°C	result
			stimulating			
	0.1	1.9				×
	0.2	1.8				×
	0.3	1.7				Cd-2
	0.4	1.6				×
Cd-1'	0.5	1.5		7 days	RT	×
	0.6	1.4				×
	0.7	1.3				×
	0.8	1.2				×
	0.9	1.1				×
	1	1		14 days		Cd-5
		2	stirring	14 days		Cd-3
	0.1	1.9				Cd-6
	0.2	1.8				×
	0.3	1.7				Cd-2
	0.4	1.6				×
Cd-5	0.5	1.5		16 days		×
	0.6	1.4				×
	0.7	1.3				×
	0.8	1.2				×
	0.9	1.1				×
	1	1				×
		2		0.5 hour		<b>Cd-7</b>
		vapor	98%	4 hours	25	Cd-7
			humidity			
	1.2	0.8		2 days	105	×
	1.4	0.6				×
Cd-2	1.6	0.4				×
	1.8	0.2				×
	1	1				Cd-5
	1	1	]	7 days	RT	×

 Table S3 SCSC transformation conditions.

Table S4 Comparison of the ground state energies and the transformation energy

Compounds	n-BuO-ip	3-bpdb	DMF	water
Relative ground	-5.2732	-2.1391	-1.55826	-0.47892
state energy				
$\times 10^5$ [kcal/mol]				
Compounds	Cd	Cd-1'	Cd-2	Cd-3
Relative ground	-0.3017	-9.8531	-10.3337	-24.4678
state energy				
× 10 <sup>5</sup> [kcal/mol]				
Compounds	Cd-5	Cd-6	Cd-7	
Relative ground	-11.8909	-17.3469	-10.334	
state energy				
× 10 <sup>5</sup> [kcal/mol]				
Transformation	Cd-1'→Cd-2	Cd-1'→Cd-3	Cd-1'→Cd-5	Cd-2↔Cd-5
$\Delta$ Energy	-162.7096	-48315.9070	-61.23243	±101.4772
[kcal/mol]				
Transformation	Cd-5→Cd-6	Cd-5→Cd-7		
Δ Energy	-252.84207	-100.8729		
[kcal/mol]				

for Cd-CPs calculated at B3LYP/LANL2DZ<sup>2</sup> level.

CPs	ions	Fluorescent response	LOD	Ref.
$[Zn(4-nvp)_2(SCN)_2]$	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	2.53 μM	17
$[Zn(4-nvp)_2(SCN)_2]$	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	2.67 μM	17
$ \{ [(CH_3)_2NH_2] [Eu-(BTDB)_2] \cdot 2H_2O \}_n $	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	2.9 ppm	18
$ \{ [Co_3(BIBT)_3(BTC)_2(H_2 \\ O)_2] \cdot solvents \}_n $	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	0.10 µM	19
$ \{ [Co_3(BIBT)_3(BTC)_2(H_2 \\ O)_2] \cdot solvents \}_n $	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	0.10 µM	19
Zn(DMA)(TBA)	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	1.97 μM	20
[Cd(NH <sub>2</sub> - bdc)(azp)]·DMF	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	0.6 μΜ	21
Cd-2	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	5.52 μM	This work
Cd-2	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	2.60 µM	This work
Cd-7	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	9.84 μM	This work
Cd-7	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Enhancement	8.90 μM	This work
$[Tb(ppda)(ox)_{0.5}(H_2O)_2]_n$	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Quenching	5.66 µM	22
$ \{ [Ba_{3}La_{0.5}(\mu_{3}-L)_{2.5}(H_{2}O)_{3}(DMF)] \cdot (3DMF) \}_{n} $	Al <sup>3+</sup>	Quenching	1.11 μM	23
${[Cd_2(SA)_2(L)_2] \cdot H_2O}_n$	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	Quenching	93 μM	24
[Cd(CDC)(L)] <sub>n</sub>	A1 <sup>3+</sup>	Quenching	61 µM	24
Zn <sub>3</sub> (bpdc) <sub>2</sub> (pdc)(DMF)· 6DMF	Cr <sup>3+</sup>	Quenching	25.1 μM	25

**Table S5** Fluorescent sensor for  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Al^{3+}$  detection based on various CPs.

## References

- 1. S. Shanmuga Sundara Raj, H.-K. Fun, J. Zhang, R.-G. Xiong and X.-Z. You, Pyridine-4-carbaldehyde azine, *Acta Crystallographica Section C*, 2000, **56**, e274-e275.
- A. J. Guenthner, M. C. Davis, M. D. Ford, J. T. Reams, T. J. Groshens, L. C. Baldwin, L. M. Lubin and J. M. Mabry, Polycyanurate Networks with Enhanced Segmental Flexibility and Outstanding Thermochemical Stability, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 9707-9718.
- V. A. Blatov, A. P. Shevchenko and D. M. Proserpio, Applied Topological Analysis of Crystal Structures with the Program Package ToposPro, *Crystal Growth & Design*, 2014, 14, 3576-3586.
- 4. APEX3 is Bruker's comprehensive software suite for single-crystal X-ray diffraction which combined with Bruker's X-ray diffractometer systems, and provides the tools for complete small-molecule structure determination.
- 5. Bruker. SAINT+, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2007.
- 6. G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL, *Acta Crystallographica Section C Structural Chemistry*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 2009, 42, 339-341.
- 8. G. Sheldrick, SHELXT Integrated space-group and crystal-structure determination, *Acta Crystallographica Section A*, 2015, **71**, 3-8.
- 9. A. Spek, PLATON SQUEEZE: a tool for the calculation of the disordered solvent contribution to the calculated structure factors, *Acta Crystallographica Section C*, 2015, **71**, 9-18.
- S.-S. Chen, Z.-Y. Zhang, R.-B. Liao, Y. Zhao, C. Wang, R. Qiao and Z.-D. Liu, A Photoluminescent Cd(II) Coordination Polymer with Potential Active Sites Exhibiting Multiresponsive Fluorescence Sensing for Trace Amounts of NACs and Fe3+ and Al3+ Ions, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2021, 60, 4945-4956.
- H.-Y. Lin, J. Luan, X.-L. Wang, G.-C. Liu and J.-W. Zhang, Three Transition Metal(II) Coordination Polymers Constructed by a Semi-rigid Bis-pyridyl-bis-amide and Dicarboxylates Mixed Ligands: Assembly, Structures and Properties, *Zeitschrift für* anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 2014, 640, 2041-2048.
- R. Pal, M. B. Reddy, B. Dinesh, P. Balaram and T. N. Guru Row, Temperature-induced reversible first-order single crystal to single crystal phase transition in Bocgamma(4)(R)Val-Val-OH: interplay of enthalpy and entropy, *J Phys Chem A*, 2014, 118, 9568-9574.
- J. Zhao, J. Yuan, Z. Fang, S. Huang, Z. Chen, F. Qiu, C. Lu, J. Zhu and X. Zhuang, Onedimensional coordination polymers based on metal-nitrogen linkages, *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 2022, 471.
- W. L. Leong and J. J. Vittal, One-Dimensional Coordination Polymers: Complexity and Diversity in Structures, Properties, and Applications, *Chemical Reviews*, 2011, 111, 688-764.
- 15. A. Goswami, S. Sengupta and R. Mondal, Construction of helical networks by using multiple V-shaped mixed ligand systems, *CrystEngComm*, 2012, **14**, 561-572.

- C. Ma, C. Chen, Q. Liu, F. Chen, D. Liao, L. Li and L. Sun, Synthesis, Structure and Magnetic Properties of a Series of Novel Isophthalate-Bridged Manganese (II) Polymers with Double-Layer or Double-Chain Structures, *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2004, 2004, 3316-3325.
- 17. B. Dutta, S. Dey, K. Pal, S. Bera, S. Naaz, K. Jana, C. Sinha and M. H. Mir, Supramolecular assembly of a 4-(1-naphthylvinyl)pyridine-appended Zn(ii) coordination compound for the turn-on fluorescence sensing of trivalent metal ions (Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Al<sup>3+</sup>, and Cr<sup>3+</sup>) and cell imaging application, *New Journal of Chemistry*, 2020, **44**, 13163-13171.
- J. Li, Y. Zhu, H. Xu, T. F. Zheng, S. J. Liu, Y. Wu, J. L. Chen, Y. Q. Chen and H. R. Wen, A Benzothiadiazole-Based Eu(3+) Metal-Organic Framework as the Turn-On Luminescent Sensor toward Al(3+) and Ga(3+) with Potential Bioimaging Application, *Inorg Chem*, 2022, **61**, 3607-3615.
- X. M. Tian, S. L. Yao, C. Q. Qiu, T. F. Zheng, Y. Q. Chen, H. Huang, J. L. Chen, S. J. Liu and H. R. Wen, Turn-On Luminescent Sensor toward Fe(3+), Cr(3+), and Al(3+) Based on a Co(II) Metal-Organic Framework with Open Functional Sites, *Inorg Chem*, 2020, 59, 2803-2810.
- X. Zhang, X. Luo, N. Zhang, J. Wu and Y.-Q. Huang, A highly selective and sensitive Zn(ii) coordination polymer luminescent sensor for Al<sup>3+</sup> and NACs in the aqueous phase, *Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers*, 2017, 4, 1888-1894.
- T. Wiwasuku, J. Boonmak, R. Burakham, S. Hadsadee, S. Jungsuttiwong, S. Bureekaew, V. Promarak and S. Youngme, Turn-on fluorescent probe towards glyphosate and Cr<sup>3+</sup> based on Cd(ii)-metal organic framework with Lewis basic sites, *Inorganic Chemistry Frontiers*, 2021, **8**, 977-988.
- 22. Z. Zhan, Y. Jia, D. Li, X. Zhang and M. Hu, A water-stable terbium-MOF sensor for the selective, sensitive, and recyclable detection of Al(3+) and CO(3)(2-) ions, *Dalton Trans*, 2019, **48**, 15255-15262.
- B. Ding, S. X. Liu, Y. Cheng, C. Guo, X. X. Wu, J. H. Guo, Y. Y. Liu and Y. Li, Heterometallic Alkaline Earth-Lanthanide Ba(II)-La(III) Microporous Metal-Organic Framework as Bifunctional Luminescent Probes of Al(3+) and MnO4(.), *Inorg Chem*, 2016, 55, 4391-4402.
- 24. S. Chand, M. Mondal, S. C. Pal, A. Pal, S. Maji, D. Mandal and M. C. Das, Two azofunctionalized luminescent 3D Cd(ii) MOFs for highly selective detection of Fe3+ and Al<sup>3+</sup>, *New Journal of Chemistry*, 2018, **42**, 12865-12871.
- X. Meng, M. J. Wei, H. N. Wang, H. Y. Zang and Z. Y. Zhou, Multifunctional luminescent Zn(ii)-based metal-organic framework for high proton-conductivity and detection of Cr(3+) ions in the presence of mixed metal ions, *Dalton Trans*, 2018, **47**, 1383-1387.