## **Supporting Information**

# Preparation of Inorganic-Framework Molecular Imprinted TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>

## Nanofibers by One-Step Electrospinning and its Highly Selective

#### Photodegradation

Jingyao Li,<sup>a</sup> Yanhua Song,<sup>a</sup> Fangke Wang,<sup>a</sup> Xiaozhen Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Haiyuan Zhu,<sup>a</sup> and

Haifeng Zou\*a

<sup>a</sup> College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Qianjin Street 2699, Changchun 130012, China.

#### **Corresponding Author:**

\*E-mail: haifengzou0431@sohu.com



Figure S1. SEM images of (a)-(e) STN-STM0.1 and (f)-(j) TN-TM0.1 products



**Figure S2**. XRD patterns of samples TN-TM0.1 and corresponding standard data of anatase (PDF#21-1272) and rutile (PDF#21-1276)



Figure S3. UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra and corresponding band-gap energy spectra (inset) of STN (a), STM0.01 (b), STM0.02 (c), STM0.05 (d), STM0.1 (e) products



Figure S4. (a) UV-vis spectra and (b-f)  $(F(R)*h\nu)^{1/2}$ -h $\nu$  curves of TN, TM0.01, TM0.02, TM0.05 and TM0.1



Figure S5. The standard curves of RhB (a), MO (b), MB (c)



**Figure S6**. (a) Photodegradation efficiency curves of RhB by TN, TM0.01, TM0.02, TM0.05 and TM0.1 (b) Corresponding kinetic linear simulation curves of RhB photodegradation



Figure S7. (a) Mott-Schottky curves of as-prepared photocatalysts and (b) VB



**Figure S8**. Digital images of solutions of (a) RhB and (b) RhB and MO mixture before and after photodegradation



Figure S9. TOC removal efficiency of RhB by STM0.05 at different time.



**Figure S10**. (a) Photodegradation curves of RhB by STM0.05 at different solution pH and (b) corresponding pseudo-first-order kinetics fitting curves.



Figure S11. Mass spectra of degradation products of RhB by STM0.05.



**Figure S12**. EPR spectra of STM0.05 in RhB degradation of (a) DMPO-  $\cdot O_2^-$  and (b) DMPO- $\cdot$ OH.

Samples	Crain Siza (nm)	Phase Composition (%)			
	Grain Size (iiii)	Anatase	Rutile		
STN	15.06	71.41	28.59		
STM0.01	12.43	89.13	10.87		
STM0.02	12.25	90.12	9.88		
STM0.05	11.19	100	0		
STM0.1	10.20	100	0		
TN	26.52	9.53	90.47		
TM0.01	24.17	17.26	82.74		
TM0.02	24.02	18.81	81.19		
TM0.05	23.58	42.24	57.76		
TM0.1	19.54	74.92	25.08		

Table S1. The calculated crystal sizes and phase compositions of the prepared samples

Table S2. Kinetic Parameters Calculated from the Pseudo-First-Order Kinetics and

Pseudo-Second-Order Kinetics

Kinetics Model	Parameters	STN	STM0.01	STM0.02	STM0.05	STM0.1
Pseudo-first-order kinetics Pseudo-second-order kinetics	R <sup>2</sup>	0.90745	0.91906	0.08825	0.80074	0.03032
	$K_1$	0.00873	0.00941	0.00284	0.02206	0.00467
	Q <sub>e,cal</sub>	1.54467	4.357332	1.670812	5.141783	5.652681
	$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.99964	0.99696	0.99836	0.99936	0.99482
	$K_2$	0.107	0.0289	0.082	0.056	0.073
	Q <sub>e,cal</sub>	4.57	8.352	9.022	9.918	9.281
	h	3.106	3.14	8.538	6.589	13.446

Table S3. Zeta	potential	values	of as-p	orepared	samp	oles
----------------	-----------	--------	---------	----------	------	------

Samples	Zeta potential (mV)			
STN	-17.92			
STM0.01	-27.97			
STM0.02	-35.00			
STM0.05	-39.70			
STM0.1	-37.52			

Photocatalysts	Dosage (g/L)	RhB concentration (mg/L)	Degradation efficiency (%)	Reaction time (min)	Reference
STM0.05	0.33	15	100	15	This work
MIL-88A(Fe)-GO- H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	0.4	10	100	80	[1]
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /WO <sub>3</sub> /NCDs	0.4	10	80	60	[2]
$V_2O_5/g$ - $C_3N_4$	0.5	10	95.5	60	[3]
g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> /CdO	1.5	10	96	120	[4]
$Bi_2O_3/g$ - $C_3N_4$	0.25	10	83	180	[5]

Table S4. Comparison of RhB degradation by various photocatalysts

#### References

[1] N. Liu, W. Huang, X. Zhang, L. Tang, L. Wang, Y. Wang, M. Wu, Ultrathin graphene oxide encapsulated in uniform MIL-88A(Fe) for enhanced visible lightdriven photodegradation of RhB, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 221 (2018) 119-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2017.09.020.

[2] J. Jia, C. Jiang, X. Zhang, P. Li, J. Xiong, Z. Zhang, T. Wu, Y. Wang, Urea-modified carbon quantum dots as electron mediator decorated g-C3N4/WO3 with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic activity and mechanism insight, Applied Surface Science 495 (2019) 143524-143534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2019.07.266.

[3] Y. Hong, Y. Jiang, C. Li, W. Fan, X. Yan, M. Yan, W. Shi, In-situ synthesis of direct solid-state Z-scheme V2O5/g-C3N4 heterojunctions with enhanced visible light efficiency in photocatalytic degradation of pollutants, Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 180 (2016) 663-673. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2015.06.057.

[4] T.D. Munusamy, C.S. Yee, M.M.R. Khan, Construction of hybrid g-C3N4/CdO nanocomposite with improved photodegradation activity of RhB dye under visible light irradiation, Advanced Powder Technology 31(7) (2020) 2921-2931.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apt.2020.05.017.

[5] M. Ben Abdelaziz, B. Chouchene, L. Balan, T. Gries, G. Medjahdi, H. Ezzaouia, R. Schneider, One pot synthesis of bismuth oxide/graphitic carbon nitride composites with high photocatalytic activity, Molecular Catalysis 463 (2019) 110-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcat.2018.12.004.