Supplemental Information

Interface Engineering of CoS/MoS₂ Heterostructure for Electrocatalytic Reduction of N₂ to NH₃

Yixian Liu,^{†a,b} Ruqiang Wu,^{†a} Yunliang Liu,^a Peiji Deng,^a Yaxi Li,^a Yuanyuan Cheng,^a Yongchao Du,^a Zenan Li,^c Xiong Yan,^c Naiyun. Liu,^{*a} Zhenhui Kang,^{*c,d} and Haitao Li^{*a}

a. Institute for Energy Research, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, 212013, Jiangsu, China. E-mail: liuny@ujs.edu.cn, zhkang@suda.edu.cn, liht@ujs.edu.cn.
b. China National Textile and Apparel Council Key Laboratory of Flexible Devices for Intelligent Textile and Apparel, Soochow University, Suzhou 215123, China.
c. Institute of Functional Nano & Soft Materials (FUNSOM), Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Carbon-Based Functional Materials & Devices, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215123, Jiangsu, China.
d. Macao Institute of Materials Science and Engineering (MIMSE), MUST–SUDA Joint Research Center for Advanced Functional Materials, Macau University of Science and Technology, Taipa 999078, Macao, China.

Appendix A: The transient photovoltage (TPV) measurements

TPV measurement is based on stimuli-response method, which can be carried out at room temperature on a self-made platform. In the platform, the platinum wire is used as the counter electrode, and the working electrode is made by ITO with dried solution (200µl, by dispersing 10mg sample uniformly in 2ml ethanol and then applied an ultrasonic treatment). As shown in Fig. S0, the sample was excited by the thirdharmonic Nd: YAG laser (Laser beam with a wavelength of 355nm, pulse width of 5ns and excitation frequency 5Hz. Polaris II, New Wave Research, Inc.). The feedback signal was amplified by 50 times in a signal amplifier, recorded by the digital oscilloscope and the signal acquisition card.



Figure S0. Schematic diagram of Transient photovoltage test system.¹

Appendix B: CoS/MoS₂ synthesized in solutions with different Mo/Co ratio The material shown in paper is synthesized from a precursor solution whose ratio of Co to Mo is 1:5. We also prepared samples by heating solution with ratio of Co to Mo being 1:4 and 1:6 to investigate the effect of concentration on structure of final product.

The preparation process of precursor solution with different ratio of Co and Mo is as same as that of shown in paper, except that the mass of $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (29.7 mg in paper) and CH_4N_2S (23.8 mg in paper) added into ethylene glycol solution were adjusted to 37.2 mg and 29.8 mg to achieve Co: Mo = 1:4 in one product solution. For preparing another solution with different ratio of Co to Mo (1:6), the added $CoCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and CH_4N_2S are 24.8 mg and 19.9 mg, respectively. The mass of MoS₂ powder remain unchanged.

The TEM images and element mapping results are shown in Fig. S1. As shown in Fig. S1a and b, both MoS_2 and CoS can be found in the structure, regardless of the concentration of precursor solution adopted in the present work. According to the element mappings (Fig.S1c-g) and elements ratio analysis, there's no significant difference in loading of CoS with the change of ratio of Co: Mo, and in all of the samples prepared in the present work, the quantity of loaded CoS is bare, since the signals of Co are weak in all of the studied samples.



Figure S1. The TEM images of CoS/MoS₂ synthesized in solution whose ratio of Co to Mo are (a) 1:4 and (b) 1:6, respectively, and the SEM image of CoS/MoS₂ synthesized in solution with (c) Co: Mo = 1: 4 and (g) Co: Mo = 1: 6. The element mapping of (d) S, (e) Mo and (f) Co are associated to the particles in (c), while the element mapping of (h) S, (i) Mo and (j) Co are associated to the particles in (g). The plane in TEM image (a) and (b) cited by dark blue belongs to CoS, while the ones cited by blue-gray are MoS₂. In TEM image (a), the (100) and (101) plane of CoS can be observed clearly, while (101) and (103) plane of MoS₂ are observed. In TEM image (b), (101) of CoS and (102) of MoS₂ are observed.

Appendix C: Other supplemental figures



Figure S4. (a)Ultraviolet absorption spectra and (b) concentration-absorbance linear relationship for indophenol blue method.



Figure S5. (a)Ultraviolet absorption spectra and (b) concentration-absorbance linear relationship for Watt and Chrisp method.



Figure S6. (a) Ultraviolet absorption spectra of after-reaction N_2 -saturated solution under different potentials.



Figure S7. (a)Ultraviolet absorption spectra of after-reaction N₂-saturated solution under different potentials.



Figure S8. I-T curves of (a) MoS_2 and (c) CoS, and ammonia yields and FEs of (b) MoS_2 and (d) CoS.



Figure S9. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of (a) MoS₂, (b) CoS and (c) CoS/MoS₂, and (d) the derived double-layer capacitance.



Figure S10. EIS of MoS₂, CoS and CoS/ MoS₂.



Figure S11. The UV-vis adsorption spectra of CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, CoS/MoS₂ electrode in Ar-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, bare carbon caper electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, bare carbon caper electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, bare carbon caper electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr at -0.45 V vs. RHE, and the CoS/MoS₂ electrode in N₂-saturated solution for 1 hr



Figure S12. (a) The I-T curves of five cycles and the (b) corresponding UV-vis adsorption spectra.



Figure S13. The UV-vis adsorption of two 1-hr-tests before and after 12-hrchronoamperometric test.

| Catalyst | Yield rate of NH ₃ | FE (%) | Electrode | Reference |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| Vo-MoO ₂ @C | 9.75 μ g h ⁻¹ mg _{cat} ⁻¹ | 3.24 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | 2 |
| Fe-MoS ₂ | 8.63 μ g h ⁻¹ mg ⁻¹ _{cat.} | 18.8 | 0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ | 3 |
| Mo ₂ C/NC | 70.6 μ mol h ⁻¹ $g_{cat.}^{-1}$ | 12.3 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | 4 |
| Mo-Co/NC | 89.8 μ mol h ⁻¹ $mg_{cat.}^{-1}$ | 13.5 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | 5 |
| MoN NA/CC | 3.01×10 ⁻¹⁰ mo1 s ⁻¹ cm ⁻² | 1.15 | 0.1M HCl | 6 |
| MoO ₃ nanosheet | 4.80×10 ⁻¹⁰ mol s ⁻¹ cm ⁻² | 1.9 | 0.1M HCl | 7 |
| NiO-NF-3.0 | 16.16 μg h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} | 9.17 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | 8 |
| NiFe-nanomesh array | 16.89 μg h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} ⁻¹ | 12.5 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | 9 |
| Fe/Fe ₃ O ₄ | $0.19 \ \mu g \ h^{-1} \ cm^{-2}$ | 8.29 | 0.1M PBS | 10 |
| 5%Fe-NiMoO ₄ | 15.36 μg h ⁻¹ mg _{cat.} ⁻¹ | 26.85 | 0.1M Na ₂ SO ₄ | This work |

Table S1. Some reported electrocatalysts for NRR

Reference

- Y. Li, Y. Liu, X. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Cheng, P. Zhang, P. Deng, J. Deng, Z. Kang and H. Li, Fedoped SnO₂ nanosheet for ambient electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction reaction, *Nano Research*, 2022, 15, 6026-6035.
- 2. Y. Du, Z. He, F. Ma, Y. Jiang, J. Wan, G. Wu and Y. Liu, Anionic Biopolymer Assisted

Preparation of MoO₂@C Heterostructure Nanoparticles with Oxygen Vacancies for Ambient Electrocatalytic Ammonia Synthesis, *Inorganic Chemistry*, 2021, **60**, 4116-4123.

- H. Su, L. Chen, Y. Chen, R. Si, Y. Wu, X. Wu, Z. Geng, W. Zhang and J. Zeng, Single Atoms of Iron on MoS₂ Nanosheets for N₂ Electroreduction into Ammonia, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2020, **59**, 20411-20416.
- Y. Zhang, J. Hu, C. Zhang, A. T. F. Cheung, Y. Zhang, L. Liu and M. K. H. Leung, Mo₂C Embedded on Nitrogen-doped Carbon toward Electrocatalytic Nitrogen Reduction to Ammonia under Ambient Conditions, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2021, 46, 13011-13019.
- Y. Zhang, J. Hu, C. Zhang, Y. Liu, M. Xu, Y. Xue, L. Liu and M. K. H. Leung, Bimetallic Mo-Co Nanoparticles Anchored on Nitrogen-doped Carbon for Enhanced Electrochemical Nitrogen Fixation, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 9091-9098.
- L. Zhang, X. Ji, X. Ren, Y. Luo, X. Shi, A. M. Asiri, B. Zheng and X. Sun, Efficient Electrochemical N₂ Reduction to NH₃ on MoN Nanosheets Array under Ambient Conditions, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 9550-9554.
- J. Han, X. Ji, X. Ren, G. Cui, L. Li, F. Xie, H. Wang, B. Li and X. Sun, MoO₃ Nanosheets for Efficient Electrocatalytic N₂ Fixation to NH₃, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 12974-12977.
- M. Zhou, W. Xiong, H. Li, D. Zhang and Y. Lv, Emulsion-template Synthesis of Mesoporous Nickel Oxide Nanoflowers Composed of Crossed Nanosheets for Effective Nitrogen Reduction, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, 50, 5835-5844.
- Y. Sun, T. Jiang, J. Duan, L. Jiang, X. Hu, H. Zhao, J. Zhu, S. Chen and X. Wang, Two-Dimensional Nanomesh Arrays as Bifunctional Catalysts for N₂ Electrolysis, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, 10, 11371-11379.
- L. Hu, A. Khaniya, J. Wang, G. Chen, W. E. Kaden and X. Feng, Ambient Electrochemical Ammonia Synthesis with High Selectivity on Fe/Fe Oxide Catalyst, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, 8, 9312-9319.