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## Experimental Procedures

Materials and Instruments. Chemistry reagents and solvents were purchased from common commercial suppliers and were used without further purification. The synthestic methods of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ ligands were reported in the literature. ${ }^{1}$ The FT-IR spectra were acquired in KBr disks on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT-IR spectrophotometer in the region of 4000-400 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker ADVANCE D8 $\theta-2 \theta$ diffractometer with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=1.54057 \AA$ ). Simulated PXRD patterns were obtained from the Mercury Version 1.4 software. ${ }^{2}$ Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ was measured on a NETZSCH STA2500 (TG/DTA) thermal analyser with a heating rates of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ in nitrogen atmosphere in the temperature region of $25-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The circular dichroism (CD) spectra were performed on a JASCO J-1500 spectropolarimeter. Circularly polarized luminescence (CPL) spectra of the $\boldsymbol{R} / \boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ were recorded on JASCO CPL-300 instrument at room temperature in the solid state. Photoluminescence analyses were carried out on an Edinburgh FL S920 fluorescence spectrometer. Proton conductivity measurements of $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{1}$ were performed on a quasi-four-electrode AC impedance technique with a Solartron 1260 impedance/gain-phase analyzer to investigate the proton mobility at various temperatures and humidities. The microcrystalline samples were 0.80 mm and 2.50 mm for the diameter and thickness. Two sides of the pellet were connected to gold wires using gold paste. The proton conductivities of the samples were deduced from the Debye semicircle in the Nyquist plot. The conductivity was calculated by the equation $\sigma=L /(R S), \sigma\left(\mathrm{S}_{\left.\cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)}\right.$ means the conductivity, $L(\mathrm{~cm})$ is the thickness, $R(\Omega)$ is the resistance, and $S\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ is cross-sectional the area. The MCD spectra were measured using a JASCO J-810 magnetic circular dichroism spectrometer equipped with a permanent magnet ( +1.0 T or -1.0 T ).

[^0]for another 20 minutes. The resulting solution was filtered and left to evaporate at room temperature without disturbation. After several days, light yellow crystals were obtained and washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The yield is $50 \%-60 \%$ calculated based on rare earth salts. FT-IR peaks ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) for $\boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{1}: 3768(\mathrm{w}), 3472(\mathrm{vs}), 3420(\mathrm{vs}), 2934(\mathrm{w}), 1630(\mathrm{~s})$, 1463(s), 1284(w), 1246(w), 1093(s), 952(w), 850(w), 722(s), 619(s), 479(w) ; for $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ : 3855(w), 3458(vs), 2947(w), 1630(s), 1463(s), 1297(s), 1106(w), 1079(s), 952(w), 836(w), 743(s), 632(s), 427(w) (Fig. S18).

Single-Crystal Structure Determination. Good-quality single crystal of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ was used to collect the diffraction date on a Rigaku Mercury CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} a$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ). The raw intensity dates were reduced and collected through the SAINT software. ${ }^{3}$ The olex2 program and the ShelXL-2015 refinement package were utilized to solve the two structures. ${ }^{4-5}$ The difference Fourier map was employed for locating non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were placed in their calculated positions geometrically and refined according to the riding model. Higher space group was absent using the PLATON software from the IUCr website (http://www.iucr.org/). ${ }^{6}$ Pertinent crystal data, structure refinement results, selected bond lengths and bond angles of $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ were all displayed in Table S1 and Table S2 in the ESI. CCDC-2168379 for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and CCDC-2168380 for $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this work. These structure data that can be obtained free of charge were reserved in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre in CIF format.

Table S1. Crystal data for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$.

|  | R-1 | $S$-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{~Tb}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{Cd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{28} \mathrm{~Tb}$ |
| Fw | 1595.12 | 1595.12 |
| Temp (K) | 293(2) | 296(2) |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | C222 ${ }_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C} 222{ }_{1}$ |
| $a, \AA$ | 16.9637(6) | 16.8994(10) |
| $b, \AA$ | 19.1882(7) | 19.1280(10) |
| $c, \AA$ | 18.3579(8) | 18.3001(9) |
| $b,(\mathrm{deg})$ | 90 | 90 |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 5975.5(4) | 5915.5(6) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.773 | 1.791 |
| $m\left(\mathrm{~mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 2.097 | 2.119 |
| F (000) | 3200 | 3200 |
| Reflns collected | 9486 | 33834 |
| Independent reflns | 6150 | 5486 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0239 | 0.0863 |
| Theta range, ${ }^{\circ}$ | 3.39-27.50 | 3.08-25.49 |
| Params/restraints/data | 379 / $155 / 6150$ | 379 / 38 / 5486 |
| $R_{1}[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0494 | 0.0670 |
| $w R_{2}$ (all data) | 0.1195 | 0.1361 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.054 | 1.088 |
| $\rho_{\text {max }} / \rho_{\text {min }}, \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $2.56 /-0.95$ | 1.27/-1.72 |

Table S2. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$.

| $\mathrm{Complex} \boldsymbol{R}-\mathbf{1}$ (bond) | lengths $(\AA)$ | (angle) | angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.323(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $86.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $2.323(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $73.34(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.260(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $151.69(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 1$ | $2.260(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W}) \# 1$ | $88.76(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W}) \# 1$ | $2.395(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $85.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.395(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $119.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.621(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $110.12(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $3.621(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $157.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.271(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $86.51(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.336(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $78.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.288(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.340(5)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Complex} \boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{S - 1}(\mathrm{bond})$ | lengths $(\AA)$ | $($ angle $)$ | angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W}) \# 1$ | $2.383(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 1$ | $131.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $2.317(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $75.51(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3) \# 1$ | $2.261(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2) \# 1$ | $151.72(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4) \# 1$ | $2.611(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W}) \# 1-\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $153.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~W})$ | $2.383(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $161.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.317(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $87.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.261(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $110.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Tb}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.610(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~W})-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $101.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.289(5)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $83.45(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.273(6)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $78.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.335(6)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cd}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.330(5)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Symmetry Codes for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}: \# 1 x,-y+1,-z ;$ For $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}: \# 1 x,-y,-z, \# 2-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2$.

By using SHAPE 2.1 software $^{7}$, the coordination polyhedrons of Tb 1 (III) ion and Cd 1 (II) ion can be described as a distorted square antiprism and a distorted octahedron, respectively (Fig. S1, Tables S3), with a continuous shape measure (CSHM) values of 5.893 for Tb 1 (III) and 5.400 for Cd 1 (II), respectively (Table S3). The two square bases of the square antiprism are formed by the atoms ( $\mathrm{O} 2, \mathrm{O} 3, \mathrm{O} 4$ and O 1 W ) and $(\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~A}$, O3A, O4A and O1WA) respectively, with the Tb1 atom located near the centre of the square antiprism. In the trimer of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$, the distance between Cd 1 and Tb 1 is 3.6862(1) Å.


Fig. S1 (a) The cooordination polyhedron around the Tb 1 of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$; (b) The cooedination environment around the Cd 1 of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$; The H and C atoms are omitted for clarity.

Table S3 Summary of SHAPE analysis for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$.

| Metal | label | shape | symmetry | Distortion( $\tau$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cd | HP-6 | Hexagon | $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 33.565 |
|  | PPY-6 | Pentagonal pyramid | $\mathrm{C}_{5 \mathrm{v}}$ | 15.868 |
|  | OC-6 | Octahedron | Oh | 5.400 |
|  | TPR-6 | Trigonal prism | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 5.538 |
|  | JPPY-6 | Johnson pentagonal pyramid J2 | $\mathrm{C}_{5 \mathrm{v}}$ | 19.359 |
| Tb | OP-8 | Octagon | $\mathrm{D}_{8 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 26.901 |
|  | HPY-8 | Heptagonal pyramid | $\mathrm{C}_{7 \mathrm{v}}$ | 16.938 |
|  | HBPY-8 | Hexagonal bipyramid | $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 15.754 |
|  | CU-8 | Pentagonal prism | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ | 15.810 |
|  | SAPR-8 | Square antiprism | $\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~d}}$ | 5.893 |
|  | TDD-8 | Square antiprism | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ | 5.952 |
|  | JGBF-8 | Johnson gyrobifastigium J26 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ | 13.775 |
|  | JETBPY- <br> 8 | Johnson elongated triangular bipyramid J14 | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 19.768 |
|  | JBTPR-8 | Biaugmented trigonal prism J50 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{v}$ | 6.275 |
|  | BTPR-8 | Biaugmented trigonal prism | $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ | 6.098 |
|  | JSD-8 | Snub diphenoid J84 | $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ | 8.264 |
|  | TT-8 | Triakis tetrahedron | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 16.196 |
|  | ETBPY-8 | Elongated trigonal bipyramid | $\mathrm{D}_{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ | 16.229 |



Fig. S2 The 3D supramolecular network of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ constructed by hydrogen bond interactions (blue dashed lines).

Table S4 H-bonding lengths and angles for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$.

| D-H..A | $d(H \ldots . A)(\AA)$ | $d(D \ldots A)(\AA)$ | $<\mathrm{DHA}(\AA)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1) \ldots \mathrm{O}(9)$ | 2.28 | $3.243(10)$ | 170 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | 2.28 | $3.200(13)$ | 157 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2) \ldots \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 2.34 | $3.209(16)$ | 147 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{WA} \ldots \mathrm{O}(6)$ | 2.34 | $2.840(12)$ | 116 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{WB} \ldots \mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{~W}$ | 1.77 | $2.687(15)$ | 164 |
| $\mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{WB} \ldots \mathrm{O}(9)$ | 2.44 | $3.132(13)$ | 136 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{WA} \ldots \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 3.78 | $2.958(1)$ | 16 |
| $\mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{~W}-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{WB} \ldots \mathrm{O}(8)$ | 2.62 | $2.958(1)$ | 105 |
| Intra O1W-H1WA...O(4) | 2.54 | $2.920(8)$ | 108 |
| Intra O1W-H1WB$\ldots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 2.38 | $2.698(8)$ | 101 |
| Intra O1W-H1WB...O2W | 2.38 | $3.089(9)$ | 138 |
| Intra O2W-H2WA...O1W | 2.33 | $3.089(9)$ | 138 |
| Intra O3W-H3WA...O2W | 2.48 | $2.808(12)$ | 103 |



Fig. S3 The TGA plots of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$.

(b)


Fig. S4 (a) PXRD patterns of the simulated one, as-synthesized $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$, after proton conduction and exposing under $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $100 \%$ RH conditions for 24 hours of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$; (b) PXRD patterns of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ after heated at different temperature for 24 hours.


Fig. S5 Comparison of emission spectra of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ in methanol solution after being placed for 0 h and 8 h .


Fig. S6 Decay curves of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at room temperature.


Fig. S7 The best-fit result of Nyquist plot for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at 303 K under different RH levels.

Table S5 The proton conductivity of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at 303 K under variable relative humidity (RH).

| $\mathrm{RH} / \%$ | $\mathrm{R} / \Omega$ | $\square \square \square \sigma / \mathrm{S} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 | $7.41 \times 10^{6}$ | $2.15 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 70 | $2.50 \times 10^{6}$ | $6.37 \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 80 | $1.02 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.56 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 90 | $4.05 \times 10^{5}$ | $3.93 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 100 | $3.45 \times 10^{4}$ | $4.61 \times 10^{-5}$ |



Fig. S8 Plot of proton conductivity for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ vs. RH at 303 K .


Fig. S9 The temperature-dependent impedance diagrams of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at $100 \%$ RH.

Table S6 The proton conductivity of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at $\mathbf{1 0 0} \%$ RH under variable temperatures (K).

| Temperature $/ \mathrm{K}$ | $\mathrm{R} / \Omega$ | $\square \square \sigma / \mathrm{S} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 308 | $3.45 \times 10^{4}$ | $4.61 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 313 | $2.82 \times 10^{4}$ | $5.64 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 318 | $2.26 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.05 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 323 | $1.90 \times 10^{4}$ | $8.36 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 328 | $1.47 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 333 | $1.36 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.17 \times 10^{-4}$ |



Fig. S10 The best-fit result of Nyquist plot for $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ at different temperatures under 100\% RH


Fig. S11 UV spectra of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S}$ - $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$


Fig. S12 MCD spectra of enantiomers $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ in a $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ solution ( $c=0.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~L}{ }^{-1}$ ) at room temperature.


Fig. S13 Corresponding $g_{\text {lum }}$ values (CPL) of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$.


Fig. S14 IR spectrum of $\boldsymbol{R} \mathbf{- 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{S} \mathbf{- 1}$.
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[^0]:    Preparation of $\left[\mathrm{TbCd}_{2}(R R / S S-L)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot \mathbf{2 H} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{O}\left(R-1\right.$ and $S-1, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}=$ ((RR/SS)-cyclohexane-1,2-diylbis(azanediyl))-bis(methylene))-bis(2methoxyphenol))): A mixture of $R R-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}$ or $S S-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(36.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 20 minute. Then $\mathrm{Tb}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and continuously stirred

