High-density accessible Ru-Se-Ni moieties Boosts the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction by Optimizing H Absorption
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1. Experiment

1.1. DFT calculations

DFT calculations in this work were carried out using the CASTEP program on Materials Studio.[1-2] The exchange-correlation effects were described by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional within the generalized gradient approximation method.[3-4] The core-valence interactions were accounted by the projected augmented wave method.[5] The energy cutoff for plane wave expansions was set to 500 eV, and the 3×3×1 Monkhorst-Pack grid k-points were selected to sample the Brillouin zone integration. The vacuum space is adopted 15 Å above the surface to avoid periodic interactions. The structural optimization was completed for energy and force convergence set at 1.0×10^{-5} eV and 0.02 eV Å^{-1}, respectively. The theoretical models were built based on the NiSe$_2$ (PDF #11-0552). The hydrogen absorption Gibbs free energy was calculated as the equation: $\Delta G_{H^*} = \Delta E - \frac{E(H_2)}{2} + \Delta ZPE - T\Delta S$, where the $\Delta E$ is the energy difference between the adsorption state and the pure surface. For the hydrogen adsorption ($\Delta ZPE - T\Delta S$), the value of 0.24 eV was applied according to the report by Norskov et al. in 2005.[6]

1.2. Materials and Reagents

Nickel (II) nitrate hexahydrate [Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O], Ruthenium chloride hydrate (RuCl$_3$·3H$_2$O), selenium powder were obtained from Sinopharm Group Chemical Reagent. Potassium hydroxide (KOH), absolute ethanol and acetone were purchased from Beijing Chemical Works. Pt/C (20 wt. %) and Nafion (5 wt. %) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All the reagents are analytical grade and used without further
treatment. Deionized water was employed as solvent.

1.3. Characterizations

The morphology and microstructure of all the samples were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S4800) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The TEM samples were prepared by depositing a drop of the diluted suspension in ethanol on carbon film-coated copper grid. The high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JEM-2010FEF) and were conducted on an FEI TECNAI F20 microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Bruker D8 Advance. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM Zeiss Ultra Plus) was carried out to observe the surface morphology of samples. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed with a VG Scientific ESCALAB 210 electron spectrometer using Mg KR radiation under a vacuum of $2 \times 10^{-8}$ Pa at 14 KV. Electron spin resonance was tested by a BRUCEREMXplus-9.5/12 spectrometer at 9.44 GHz and 300 K. The XAFS spectra at the Ru K-edge were recorded at the BL11B beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The incident photons were monochromatized by a Si(311) double-crystal monochromator. The energy calibration was performed using a Ru reference foil.

1.4. Electrochemical measurements

All the electrochemical measurements were performed on CHI 660E electrochemical workstation in a standard 3-electrode with 2-compartment cell. A Glassy carbon electrode with 3 mm diameter and a Hg/HgO electrode are used as
working electrode and the reference electrode, respectively. A graphite plate was used as the counter electrode in HER test. The as-prepared self-supporting catalysts were directly served as the working electrodes with a geometric area of 0.25 cm$^2$ and a catalyst loading of about 1.16 mg cm$^{-2}$. For comparison, a catalyst ink of commercial Pt/C (20 wt%) was prepared by ultra-sonic dispersion of 3 mg of catalyst in a solution of Nafion (20 µL)/water/isopropanol (980 µL, v/v ≈ 3:7). After obtaining a homogeneous dispersion, 100 µL of catalyst ink was loaded onto the NF. Linear sweep voltammetries were performed at 5 mV/s in 1 M KOH.

In all measurements, the reference electrode was calibrated with respect to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE). The HER potentials were converted to RHE scale according to the equation: $E$(vs. RHE) = $E$(vs. Hg/HgO) + 0.059*pH + 0.098 V. The electrochemical double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) was determined with typical cyclic voltammetry measurements at various scan rates (10, 20, 30, 40 and 50 mV s$^{-1}$) in nonreactive region. The $C_{dl}$ was further used to obtain the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) values according to the equation: ECSA = $C_{dl}$/C$$_{s}$, where C$$_{s}$ is the specific capacitance value of an ideal flat surface with a real surface area of 1 cm$^2$. In the estimate of ECSA, the general value of 40 µF cm$^{-2}$ was adopted for C$$_{s}$. The Faradic efficiency is defined as the ratio of the amount of experimentally determined hydrogen to that of the theoretical value. The hydrogen gas was recorded synchronously. Then the moles of H$_2$ were calculated based on the gas laws. The theoretical amount of H$_2$ was then calculated by applying the Faraday law.
1.5 Mass activity

Mass Activity (MA) = Catalytic Activity (CA) / Mass of Catalyst (MC)
where catalytic activity is the rate of the target reaction (e.g., current density, reaction rate) obtained from the experimental data and mass of catalyst is the total mass of the Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF.

1.6 Kinetic analysis

The Tafel equation is generally applied to evaluate the kinetic properties of HER process, which could be expressed as below:

$$\eta = a + b \times \log(j)$$
where $\eta$, $j$, $a$ and $b$ are the overpotential, current density, constants and the Tafel slope, respectively. The Tafel slope is directly associated with the specific reaction pathways and can give insights into the rate-determining step of HER process. Generally, there are three typical primary steps for the HER process:

Volmer reaction: Proton is reduced to form adsorbed hydrogen ($H_{\text{ads}}$):

$$H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_{\text{ads}}$$

Heyrovsky reaction: Another proton reacts with the $H_{\text{ads}}$ to form hydrogen gas:

$$H^+ + e^- + H_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow H_2$$

Tafel reaction: Two $H_{\text{ads}}$ combine to form hydrogen gas:

$$H_{\text{ads}} + H_{\text{ads}} \rightarrow H_2$$

Based on the value of the Tafel slope, the rate-determining step could be determined as follows[7]:

1. If $b \approx 120$ mV/dec, the Volmer step is rate-determining.
2. If $b \approx 40$ mV/dec, the Heyrovsky step is rate-determining.
3. If $b \approx 30$ mV/dec, the Tafel reaction is rate-determining.
2. Figures and tables
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Fig. S1. The model of H adsorption on Ru-NiSe₂/NF and NiSe₂/NF surface.
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Fig. S2. SEM image of NiSe₂/NF.
Fig. S3. XPS survey of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF and NiSe$_2$/NF.

Fig. S4. First derivatives from the Ru K-edge XANES spectra of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF, RuO$_2$, and Ru foil.
Fig. S5. EXAFS curves at the k space and q space of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF, RuO$_2$ and Ru foil.

Fig. S6. EXAFS fitting curves of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF at a) k space b) R space and c) q space.

Fig. S7. EXAFS fitting curves of Ru foil at a) k space b) R space and c) q space.
Fig. S8. The exchange current density of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF, NiSe$_2$/NF, Pt/C and Bare NF.

Fig. S9. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of a) Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF and b) NiSe$_2$/NF at different scan rates in alkaline media.

Fig. S10. Amount of H$_2$ generation experimentally for Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF in 1M KOH.
Fig. S11. Chronopotentiometry curves of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF at -0.1 V vs RHE.

Fig. S12. The XRD pattern of the Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF after long time durability test in 1 M KOH.

Fig. S13. SEM image of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF after test for 60 h.
Fig. S14. The XPS spectra of Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF before and after long-term test.

Table 1. Structural parameters of different samples extracted from the EXAFS fitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>shell</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>R(Å)</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ ($10^{-3}$Å$^2$)</th>
<th>$\Delta E_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>$R$ factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru foil</td>
<td>Ru-Ru</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-NiSe$_2$/NF</td>
<td>Ru-Se</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$S_0^2$ is the amplitude reduction factor; CN is the coordination number; R is interatomic distance (the bond length between central atoms and surrounding coordination atoms); $\sigma^2$ is Debye-Waller factor (a measure of thermal and static disorder in absorber-scatterer distances); $\Delta E_0$ is edge-energy shift (the difference between the zero kinetic energy value of the sample and that of the theoretical model). R factor is used to value the goodness of the fitting.

* This value was fixed during EXAFS fitting, based on the known structure.

Error bounds that characterize the structural parameters obtained by EXAFS spectroscopy were estimated as $N \pm 20\%$; $R \pm 1\%$; $\sigma^2 \pm 25\%$; $\Delta E_0 \pm 10\%$.

Ru-NiSe$_2$ (FT range: 2.4-9.5 Å$^{-1}$; fitting range: 1.2-2.5 Å)
Ru foil (FT range: 3.0-12.0 Å$^{-1}$; fitting range: 1.0-3.0 Å)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Tafel slope (mV dec(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\eta_{10}) / mV</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru-NiSe(_2)/NF</td>
<td><strong>39.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>36</strong></td>
<td><strong>This work</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-Ni(_3)N</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuP/NPC</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>[9]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru SAs–Ni(_2)P</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>[10]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr(_2)RuO(_4)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>[11]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu(_{2-x})S@Ru NPs</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>[12]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuO(_2)-NWs@g-CN</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Co(_4)N-CoF(_2)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>[14]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-NPs/SAs@N-TC</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF@Ru-CoCH</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/Mo(_2)CT(_x)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru/NSC-200</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru SAs/N-Mo(_2)C NSs</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(_3)P(_4)-Ru/CC</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru(_2)Ni(_2) SNs</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-RuP@NPSC</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RuP(_x) NPs</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru-MoS(_2)/CNT</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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