Supporting information

Optimizing the Hydrogen Adsorption Strength on Interfacial Ru sites with WN for High-Efficiency Hydrogen Evolution

Guocong Liu,^{‡, a} Jiachen Zhang,^{‡, a} Huangyu Ren,^a Yawen Tang^{*, a} and Hanjun Sun^{*, a}

a.Jiangsu Key Laboratory of New Power Batteries, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Centre of Biomedical Functional Materials, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, China.

E-mail addresses: tangyawen@njnu.edu.cn; hanjun.sun@njnu.edu.cn

1. Calculation of the total turnover frequency (TOF):

The TOF (in s⁻¹) reported in this work is an apparent TOF value based on the number of metal atoms in catalyst, which was calculated with the following equation:

TOF = I/2NF

I: current (A) during the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) tests in 1 M KOH

N: Number of active sites (in mol)

F: Faraday constant (96485.3 C/mol)

The factor 1/2 arrives by taking into account that two electrons are required to form one hydrogen molecule from two protons. The CV measurements of the freshly prepared Ru NP-WN/CC and Pt/C electrodes were carried out in PBS electrolyte (pH 7) to quantify the number of active sites. Assuming a one-electron redox process, the number of active sites (n) of these catalysts was calculated from the following equation: n = Q/2F, where F and Q are the Faraday constant and the whole charge of the CV curve, respectively. The factor 1/2 arrives by taking into account that two electrons are required to form one hydrogen molecule from two protons.

2. Calculations:

The present first principle DFT calculations are performed by Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the projector augmented wave (PAW) method. The exchange-functional is treated using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Emzerhof (PBE) functional. The energy cutoff for the plane wave basis expansion was set to 450 eV and the force on each atom less than 0.05 eV/Å was set for convergence criterion of geometry relaxation. Grimme's DFT-D3 methodology was used to describe the dispersion interactions. Partial occupancies of the Kohn–Sham orbitals were allowed using the Gaussian smearing method and a width of 0.05 eV. The Brillourin zone was sampled with Monkhorst mesh $2\times 2\times 1$ through all the computational process. The self-consistent calculations apply a convergence energy threshold of 10^{-5} eV. A 15 Å vacuum space was added to avoid the interaction between the two neighboring images.

The free energy (ΔG) on those catalysts was defined as

 $\Delta G = E_{DFT} + E_{ZPE} - T \times S$

where E_{DFT} , E_{ZPE} , T and S are the calculation total energy of intermediate on catalysts surface, the zero-point energy, temperature and entropy.



Fig. S1 XRD pattern of WO₃ Precursor



Fig. S2 SEM images of WO₃ precursor.



Fig. S3 (a, b) SEM images of WN/CC, and corresponding element mapping of (c) W, (d) N.



Fig. S4 (a, d) HR-TEM images of WN/CC, and (b, c) corresponding elemental distribution maps of N and W.



Fig. S5 XPS survey scan spectra of the WN/CC



Fig. S6 (a) The exchange current densities of Ru NP-WN/CC, Pt/C and Ru/C. Cyclic voltammetry curves at different scan rates (mV s⁻¹) for (b) WN/CC, (c) Ru NP-WN/CC.
(d) The current density differences vs. scan rates and corresponding yielded C_{dl}.



Fig. S7 SEM images of Ru NP-WN/CC after durability test.



Fig. S8 XPS spectra of the Ru NP-WN/CC after stability test: (a) Survey scan spectrum, (b) N 1s, (c) Ru 3p, (d) W 4f.



Fig. S9 (a) Device diagram for measuring Faraday efficiency. (b) Diagram of the amount of H_2 released over time in 1.0 M KOH.



Fig. S10 (a) Theoretical model of Ru NP-WN/CC system. (b) Bader charge analysis of Ru₁, Ru₂, Ru₃, and Ru₄.



Fig. S11 Simulation models and Bader charge analysis corresponding of (a) Ru₁-H, (b) Ru₂-H, (c) Ru₃-H and (d) Ru₄-H.

Table	S1.	Ru	wt.%	determined	by	inductively	coupled	plasma	optical	emission
spectro	meti	ry (I	CP-OE	ES).						

Sample	Ru wt.%
Ru NP-WN/CC	1.1

Catalysts	Overpotential /mV @ 10 mA cm ⁻²	Tafel slope / mV dec ⁻¹	Reference		
Ru NP-WN/CC	9	29	This work		
NS-Ru@NiHO/Ni ₅ P ₄	16	35	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 2212321		
Ru/Mo ₂ CT _x	78	49	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 2214375		
Ru/np-MoS ₂	30	31	Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 1687		
CoRu _{0.5} /CQDs	18	39	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 3290		
RuNi/CQDs	13	40	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 1718		
Ru@N-CNFs	16	32	Small, 2022, 2206781		
NiRu _{0.13} -BDC	36	32	Nat. Commun. 2021, 12, 1369		
Pt/RuCeO _x -PA	41	31	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 20423		
R-NiRu	16	40	Adv. Mater. 2021, 33, 2104764		
PtSe ₂ /Pt	42	53	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 23388		
Dr-Pt	26	52	Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2106973		
RuNP-RuSA@CFN-800	33	37	Adv. Funct. Mater. 2023, 33, 2213058		
RuCuO _x /NC	29	58	Appl. Catal. B. 2023, 324, 122169		
Ni ₅ P ₄ -Ru	54	52	Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, 1906972		

Table S2. Comparison of HER performance with recently reported HER catalysts in1.0 M KOH.