## Tuning Anticancer Properties and DNA-binding of Pt(II) Complexes via Alteration of Nitrogen Softness/Basicity of Tridentate Ligands

Kamelah S. Al-Rashdi <sup>a,b</sup>, Bandar A. Babgi <sup>a \*</sup>, Ehab M. M. Ali <sup>c,d</sup>, Abdesslem Jedidi <sup>a</sup>,

Abdul-Hamid M. Emwas <sup>e</sup>, Bambar Davaasuren <sup>e</sup>, Mariusz Jaremko <sup>f</sup>, Mark G.

#### Humphrey <sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Umm Al-qura University, Al-Qunfudah University College, Al-Qunfudah 21912, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>d</sup> Division of Biochemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta 31527, Egypt

<sup>e</sup> Core Labs, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal,
23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.

<sup>f</sup> King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering (BESE), Thuwal, 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia.

g Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601,

Australia.\* Correspondence author. Ph: +966 555563702; email: <u>bbabgi@kau.edu.sa</u>.



Ligand 1 (L-PhN-OEt)



Figure S1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 1 (L-PhN-OEt).









Figure S3. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 2 (L-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub>).







Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 3 (L-PhN-OH).





Ligand 4 (L-EN-OEt)



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 4 (L-EN-OEt).





Figure S9. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 5 (L-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub>).



Figure S10. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of ligand 5 (L-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub>).

Ligand 6 (L-EN-OH)



Figure S11. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of ligand 6 (L-EN-OH).



Figure S12. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of ligand 6 (L-EN-OH).

Pt-PhN-OEt





Figure S13. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of Pt-PhN-OEt.



Figure S14. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of complex of Pt-PhN-OEt.

Pt-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub>



Figure S15. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of complex Pt-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S16. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of complex Pt-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub>.





Figure S17. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of complex Pt-PhN-OH.



Figure S18. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of complex Pt-PhN-OH.

Pt-EN-OEt



Figure S19. <sup>1</sup>H NMR of complex Pt-EN-OEt.



Figure S20. <sup>13</sup>C NMR of complex Pt-EN-OEt.

Pt-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub>







### **Pt-EN-OH**







Figure S25. IR of Complex Pt- PhN-OEt.



Figure S26. IR of Complex Pt- PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S27. IR of Complex Pt- PhN-OH.



Figure S28. IR of Complex Pt- EN-OEt.



Figure S29. IR of Complex Pt- EN-NEt<sub>2</sub>



Figure S30. IR of Complex Pt- EN-OH.



Figure S31. Crystal structure of L5(L-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub>).

# **DNA-Binding Studies**

### Competitive Fluorescence Quenching of Ethidium Bromide-DNA Adduct





Figure S32. Assessment of DNA binding affinities of Pt-PhN-OEt by fluorescence competitive quenching of EB-DNA adduct.

Figure S33. Assessment of DNA binding affinities of Pt-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub> by fluorescence competitive quenching of EB-DNA adduct.







Figure S35. Assessment of DNA binding affinities of Pt-EN-OEt by fluorescence competitive quenching of EB-DNA adduct.



Figure S36. Assessment of DNA binding affinities of Pt-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub> by fluorescence competitive quenching of EB-DNA adduct.



Figure S37. Assessment of DNA binding affinities of Pt-EN-OH by fluorescence competitive quenching of EB-DNA adduct.





Figure S38. Changes in relative viscosity of ct-DNA upon treatment with platinum(II) complexes.



Figure S39. Change in relative viscosity of ct-DNA upon addition of 10  $\mu$ M Pt-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub> and Pt-PhN-OH over 120 min.



Figure S40. Optimized structures of the different complexes.



Pt-adduct		Energy (a.u.)	Bonding around the Pt				Hydrogen bonding		ΔE
			Pt-Na	$Pt-N_b$	Pt-O	$Pt-N_G$	0-NH2(G)	HOG	(kcal/mol)
Pt-Py-OEt	N <sub>3</sub> -guanine	-1502.578	2.07	2.02	2.03	2.16	2.26		-7.4
	N <sub>7</sub> -guanine	-1502.590	2.13	2.04	2.14	2.15		2.80	
Pt-Py-NEt <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub> -guanine	-1561.341	2.06	2.00	2.03	2.13	2.45		-6.1
	N <sub>7</sub> -guanine	-1561.350	2.11	2.02	2.13	2.14		2.87	
Pt-PhN-OEt	N3-guanine	-1733.656	2.13	2.01	2.02	2.10	2.25		-4.3
	N <sub>7</sub> -guanine	-1733.650	2.16	2.01	2.02	2.15		3.23	
Pt-PhN-NEt <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub> -guanine	-1792.417	2.12	2.00	2.02	2.10	2.34		-3.2
	N <sub>7</sub> -guanine	-1792.411	2.15	2.01	2.03	2.15		3.31	

 $N_{\rm a}$  the nitrogen of the pyridyl or phenylamine  $N_{\rm b}$  the nitrogen of the Schiff base

Figure S41. Selected optimized structures for guanine adducts with structural parameters and energies as obtained by theoretical calculations.

### **Protein Binding Studies**



Figure S42. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-PhN-NEt<sub>2</sub> by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S43. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-PhN-OH by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S44. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-EN-OEt by fluorescence quenching.





Figure S45. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-EN-NEt<sub>2</sub> by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S46. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-EN-OH by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S47. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-Py-OEt by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S48. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-Py-NEt<sub>2</sub> by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S49. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-EN-OEt by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S50. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-PhN-OEt by fluorescence quenching.



Figure S51. Assessment of Protein binding affinities of Pt-PhN-OEt y by fluorescence quenching with different time.

Preliminary in vitro Antiproliferative Activity



Figure S52. Cell cycle assay of HepG-II upon treatment with different compounds.