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## Part I. Material Characterization



Figure S1. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of $\mathrm{BGC}, \mathrm{NBGC}, 5-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}, 10-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}, 40-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ and $100-\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ /NBGC


Figure S2. XPS scans of: a) bagasse-derived carbon material (BGC), b) N-containing bagasse-derived carbon material (NBGC), and c) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ composite with various $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ loading concentrations

Table S1. Elemental analysis of the bagasse-derived carbon material and the composite with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ nanoparticles analyzed by electron dispersive spectroscopy and C H N analyzer

| sample | EDS elemental mapping (wt\%) |  |  |  |  |  | C H N analyzer (wt\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | 0 | N | Mn | Cl | Ca | C | H | N |
| BGC | 91.84 | 6.68 |  |  | 1.48 |  | 58.56 | 0.69 | 0.43 |
| NBGC | 84.69 | 8.67 | 5.01 |  | 0.99 |  | 76.01 | 1.21 | 0.87 |
| $5-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ | 70.05 | 18.23 | 6.15 | 4.75 | 0.17 | 0.65 | 65.85 | 1.42 | 0.77 |
| $10-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ | 65.68 | 20.28 | 6.62 | 6.77 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 60.30 | 1.20 | 0.71 |
| $40-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ | 44.24 | 33.06 | 1.86 | 20.39 | 0.21 | 0.24 | 38.82 | 1.28 | 0.47 |
| $\underline{100-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}}$ | 44.54 | 13.12 | 1.84 | 39.25 | 0.34 | 0.91 | 30.07 | 1.12 | 0.43 |

Table S2. Binding energies analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of the bagasse-derived carbon material and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2} /$ NBGC composites.

| peak position | BGC | NBGC | $5-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ | position $\mathrm{BE}(\mathrm{eV})$ <br> $10-\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ /NBGC | $40-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ | $100-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1s | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 |
| 01s | 533 | 533 | 531 | 530 | 531 | 531 |
| Mn2p |  |  | 644 | 642 | 643 | 643 |
| Mn2p3/2 |  |  | 643 | 643 | 642 | 642 |
| Mn2p1/2 |  |  | 654 | 654 | 654 | 654 |

## Part II. Electrochemical performance assessment



Figure S3. Cyclic voltametric scans (CVs) at various scan rates of: a) BGC , b) NBGC, c) $\left.5-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}, \mathrm{d}\right) 10-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$, e) $40-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$, f) $100-\mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$

## S4. Energy density calculation

Energy density at the electrode level for the full cell including current collector can basically be approximated based on the following formulation ${ }^{1}$.

$\underset{\text { Full-cell }}{$|  gravimetric  |
| :---: |
|  energy density  |$}$| SE in material |
| :---: |
| level |$\times \underset{\text { material ratio }}{\text { Active }} \times \frac{\text { Mass loading (cathode) }}{\text { Mass loading (Cathode+Anode) + Areal Weight (Al+Cu)foil }} \times$| Nominal |
| :---: |
| voltage |

In case of the LFP-the proposed 5-MnO2/NBGC full-cell, the gravimetric energy density can be calculated as:


For the LFP-graphite full-cell, the gravimetric energy density can be calculated as:


Note: The nominal voltage of the full cell can be approximately calculated from the difference potential between the nominal voltages achieved from the half-cell testing of each anode and cathode electrode material relative to the Li/Li+ metal. a is the theoretical capacity of LFP cathode based on ref. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{b}$ is the active material ratio within the electrode mixture that is typically prepared from active material of $80 \mathrm{wt} \%$. $\mathbf{c}$ is the approximate mass loading of cathode and anode material based on ref. ${ }^{2}$ $\mathbf{d}$ is the approximate arial weight of the common aluminum foil current collector. $\mathbf{e}$ is the approximate arial weight of the common copper foil current collector. $\mathbf{f}$ is the average plateau voltage from half-cell testing of LFP VS. Li/Li+ based on ref. ${ }^{3} \mathbf{g}$ is the average plateau voltage from half-cell testing of $5 \mathrm{MnO}_{2} / \mathrm{NBGC}$ anode VS. Li/Li+ of this work. $\mathbf{h}$ is the average plateau voltage from half-cell testing of graphite anode VS. Li/Li+ based on ref. ${ }^{4}$
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