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## 1. Synthesis





Fig. S1 One-pot polyol synthesis of polymer-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles: polymer $=$ poly(acrylic acid) (PAA) and poly(acrylic acid-co-maleic acid) (PAAMA).

### 1.1 Chemicals

Chemicals used in the synthesis such as cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $99 \%$ ], sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH},>99.99 \%)$, hydrogen peroxide solution $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{wt} . \%\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, rhodamine $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{Rh} \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, 97 \%\right)$, PAA $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}, 99 \%\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Mw}=\sim 1,800 \mathrm{amu}\right]$, PAAMA $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{\mathrm{y}}, 99 \%, \mathrm{Mw}=\sim 3,000 \mathrm{amu}\right]$, and triethylene glycol (TEG;
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 99 \%$ ), potassium bromide ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \geq 99 \%$ ), and dialysis tube [molecular weight cut off $(M W C O)=2,000 \mathrm{amu}]$ were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Ethanol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}, 99 \%\right)$ was purchased from Duksan (Ansan, South Korea). All chemicals were used as received.

### 1.2 Synthesis of polymer-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles (polymer $=\mathbf{P A A}$ and PAAMA)

A schematic one-pot polyol synthesis is shown in Fig. S1. A precursor solution is prepared by dissolving 2 mmol of $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 20 mL of TEG in a $100-\mathrm{mL}$ three-necked round bottom flask via magnetic stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min under atmospheric conditions. In a separate beaker, 10 mmol of NaOH in 20 mL of TEG was prepared via magnetic stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h under atmospheric conditions. In another beaker, 0.5 mmol of polymer (i.e., PAA or PAAMA) in 10 mL of TEG was prepared via magnetic stirring at room temperature for 3 h under atmospheric conditions. The NaOH solution was slowly added to the precursor solution until the pH of the mixed solution reached $9 \sim 10$. Then the mixed solution was slowly heated to $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with magnetic stirring for 4 h . The polymer solution was slowly added to the mixed solution and magnetically stirred under the same conditions for 12 h . For temperature control, the three-necked round bottom flask was suspended in a silicone oil bath placed on a hot plate. The product solution was cooled to room temperature, poured into a 1 L beaker, diluted with 500 mL of ethanol, magnetically stirred for 15 min , and then kept in a refrigerator $\left(4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for a few days until polymer-coated $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles settled to the bottom of the beaker. The top transparent solution was decanted and the remaining product
nanoparticle solution was washed with ethanol again using the same process thrice. To remove ethanol from the nanoparticles, 400 mL of triple-distilled water was added and the solution was reduced to approximately 50 mL via rotary evaporation. The product solution was dialyzed using a dialysis tube ( $\mathrm{MWCO}=2,000 \mathrm{amu}$ ) against 1 L of triple-distilled water for one day with magnetic stirring under atmospheric conditions: the triple-distilled water was replaced with fresh water thrice during dialysis.

## 2. Tyndall effect

The colloidal dispersion was confirmed by Tyndall effect (Fig. S2).


Fig. S2 Laser light scattering (indicated with vertical dotted arrows) of the aqueous nanoparticle suspension samples, confirming the colloidal dispersion, but such scattering in triple-distilled water was not observed.

## 3. High-resolution transmission electron microscope images

### 3.1 PAA-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles





Fig. S3 HRTEM images of PAA-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles.
3.2 PAAMA-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles




Fig. S4 HRTEM images of PAAMA-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles.

## 4. EDS spectra



Fig. S5 EDS spectra of (a) PAA- and (b) PAAMA-coated ultrasmall $\mathrm{CeO}_{2}$ nanoparticles.

## 5. Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) absorption frequencies

Table S1 Observed FT-IR absorption frequencies in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

| Vibration | PAA | PAAMA | PAA-CeO | PAAMA-CeO $_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-H stretch | 2943 | 2939 | 2929 | 2925 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretch | 1696 | 1694 | 1696 | 1694 |
| $\mathrm{COO}^{-}$antisymmetric stretch | - | - | $1558(138)^{1}$ | $1399(295)^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{COO}^{-}$symmetric stretch | - | - | $1545(151)^{1}$ | $1392(302)^{1}$ |

${ }^{1}$ The values in parentheses indicate the red-shifts from $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibrations.

