Supporting Information for "Manifestation of the Interplay between Spin-Orbit and Jahn-Teller Effects in Au₂₅ Superatom UV-Vis Fingerprint Spectra"

Can Liao,[†] Manzhou Zhu,^{*,‡} De-en Jiang,^{*,¶} and Xiaosong Li^{*,†}

†Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195

‡Department of Chemistry and Center for Atomic Engineering of Advanced Materials, Anhui University, China

¶Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, 37235

E-mail: zmz@ahu.edu.cn; de-en.jiang@vanderbilt.edu; xsli@uw.edu

S1 Experimental Details

The Au₂₅SPET₁₈ nanoclusters at the -1, 0, and +1 oxidation states were obtained using methods found in the literature.^{1–3}

S1.1 Preparation of the $Au_{25}SPET_{18}^{-}$ nanocluster

0.4 mmol of $HAuCl_4 \cdot 3H_2O$ (0.1576 g) dissolved in 5 mL water and 0.47 mmol of TOAB (0.2558 g) dissolved in 10 mL toluene were combined in a 25 mL tri-neck round bottom flask. The solution was vigorously stirred (1100 rpm) with a magnetic stir bar to facilitate

the phase transfer of the Au(III) ions into the toluene phase. After 15 minutes, phase transfer was completed, leaving a clear aqueous phase at the bottom of the flask. The aqueous solution was removed with a 10 mL syringe. The toluene solution of Au(III) was purged with N₂ and cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath for 30 minutes under magnetic stirring. 1.2 mmol of PhCH₂CH₂SH (0.17 mL) was added to the reaction, and stirring was reduced to 30 rpm. The deep red solution turned faint yellow after 5 minutes and finally to clear over 1 hour. AFter the solution turned to clear, the stirring speed was changed to 1100 rpm, and immediately, an aqueous solution of NaBH₄ (0.1550 g, 4 mmol, freshly made in 7 mL ice-cold water) was quickly added all at once. The reaction was allowed to proceed under N₂ atmosphere. After 12 hours, the aqueous layer at the bottom of the flask was removed using a syringe. The toluene solution was dried. The toluene solution was washed with 20 mL of CH₃OH three times. After the wash, 20 mL of CH₃CN was added to separate the obtained Au₂₅SPET₁₈⁻¹.

S1.2 Preparation of the $Au_{25}SR_{18}$ nanocluster

5 mg of the as-prepared $Au_{25}SPET_{18}^-$ nanocluster was first dissolved in 20 mL of toluene and further reacted with 2 mL of H_2O_2 solution (33%, aqueous). After 20 minutes, the product was separated by precipitation with ethanol and recrystallized in a mixture of toluene and ethanol.

S1.3 Preparation of the $Au_{25}SR_{18}^+$ nanocluster

3 mg of the as-prepared $Au_{25}SR_{18}^-$ was first dissolved in 20 mL of toluene. 2 molar ratios of TEMPO⁺ (TEMPO⁺BF₄⁻ dissolved in 5 mL of acetonitrile) was added using a syringe under ambient conditions. After 20 minutes, the product was separated by precipitation with ethanol and recrystallized in a mixture of toluene and ethanol.

S1.4 UV-Vis Recording

All experimental spectra were recorded at 78 K by dissolving the corresponding nanocluster in 2-methyltetrahydrofuran and the concentration was set at 1 mg/mL (0.135 M). All UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded using an Agilent 8453 diode array spectrometer by placing 3 mL of the nanocluster solution into a 1 cm path quartz cell. The 78 K testing environment was created using a low-temperature accessory of the spectrometer assisted by liquid nitrogen.

S2 Computational Details

All calculations were performed using the same effective core potentials (ECPs), DFT functionals, and basis sets in a two-component spinor framework. All Au and S atoms were treated using the CRENBL ECP^{4–8} including spin-orbit coupling and the all-electron X2C-SV(P)ALL^{6–9} basis set was used for H atoms. The PBE pure functional has shown success in qualitative studies in Au nanoparticles.^{10–13} The hybrid PBE0 functional was used in this work.

The anion was optimized starting at a modified structure of the $Au_{25}(SCH_3)_{18}^-$ nanocluster used in a study by Jiang *et. al.* as an initial guess.¹⁰ In Ref. 10, Jiang *et. al.* replaced the SPET ligand in the experimental structure of $Au_{25}(SPET)_{18}^-$ with SCH₃ and then fully optimized the $Au_{25}(SCH_3)_{18}^-$ structure. We further modified the $Au_{25}(SCH_3)_{18}^-$ structure by replacing all CH₃ ligands with H atoms and the resulting $Au_{25}(SH)_{18}^-$ structure was optimized again while freezing S and Au atoms. The neutral and cationic nanocluster geometry optimizations used the optimized anion geometry as an initial guess.

Point group symmetry was determined only for the Au_{13} core with a tolerance of 0.1 Å. Double group theory notation used in the discussion of SOC orbitals were adopted from Altmann and Herzig.¹⁴

Atom	x	У	Z	Atom	x	У	Z
Au	-0.0000885	-0.0001091	-0.0000271	S	0.4936026	0.5482726	5.1030346
Au	0.3872277	0.8749485	2.6676833	S	-2.4731704	3.6759960	2.5867286
Au	-1.7927749	1.9966002	0.9235247	S	-4.2726270	-0.8648132	2.7767167
Au	-1.9867351	-0.8257853	1.8678465	S	2.0582931	3.4150426	-4.7588103
Au	1.1256729	2.5683654	0.4410713	S	-2.0416434	-4.6950937	-3.5362431
Au	2.6786204	0.0163060	0.9550377	S	-2.0124748	3.8112365	-2.8709241
Au	3.2316376	2.7733868	2.8382573	S	-4.5702391	-0.9141695	-2.2537504
Au	-0.7333198	-1.4585580	4.8203890	S	5.6277437	-2.6730753	0.0437644
Au	-0.1910256	4.1004554	3.0290360	S	-2.0314418	-4.7582264	0.2296970
Au	-1.9996652	-3.9994488	2.4651629	S	-0.4936003	-0.5488877	-5.1029805
Au	0.6579257	-2.0118399	1.8796801	S	2.4729317	-3.6764557	-2.5864726
Au	-3.7804909	3.1121479	-1.4556242	S	4.2727994	0.8655743	-2.7761051
Au	-4.8459218	0.8905441	1.3028838	Н	-4.2280863	-0.2558318	3.9357067
Au	-0.3873230	-0.8752367	-2.6676623	Н	-0.3768421	1.3635790	5.6449769
Au	1.7926868	-1.9966955	-0.9235382	Н	2.1164451	4.6445526	4.8427942
Au	1.9867123	0.8256467	-1.8677623	Н	0.9935753	5.5560964	-0.1879484
Au	-1.1258543	-2.5685217	-0.4409917	Н	-2.9152296	4.7374549	1.9590434
Au	-2.6787687	-0.0165104	-0.9550329	Н	-2.3737312	3.4130893	-4.0655256
Au	-3.2317456	-2.7733854	-2.8385324	Н	1.3320099	4.3482695	-5.3224593
Au	0.7331279	1.4580758	-4.8202932	H	-4.5628704	-0.2213189	-3.3655075
Au	0.1908359	-4.1008402	-3.0290352	Н	4.2287252	0.2573547	-3.9355129
Au	1.9994330	3.9991410	-2.4651176	Н	0.3771322	-1.3640459	-5.6446835
Au	-0.6581093	2.0117216	-1.8796863	Н	-2.1165189	-4.6444052	-4.8431189
Au	3.7805963	-3.1121927	1.4553590	Н	2.9148865	-4.7378663	-1.9586322
Au	4.8459463	-0.8905056	-1.3031006	Н	-0.9934490	-5.5563106	0.1880727
\mathbf{S}	-2.0588541	-3.4152630	4.7588269	Н	-1.3328350	-4.3485544	5.3227094
\mathbf{S}	2.0414296	4.6950445	3.5359188	Н	2.3738133	-3.4133239	4.0651794
\mathbf{S}	2.0125679	-3.8114002	2.8705510	Н	6.5163059	-2.1000542	0.8172009
\mathbf{S}	4.5701741	0.9141997	2.2535404	H	4.5629669	0.2214407	3.3653556
\mathbf{S}	-5.6277081	2.6730040	-0.0441308	H	-6.5162384	2.1000131	-0.8176264
S	2.0315195	4.7579542	-0.2296715				

Table S1. Initial guess geometry for $Au_{25}(SH)_{18}^-$ geometry optimization. Coordinates are given in Å.

Atom	X	У	Z	Atom	x	У	Z
Au	-0.000089	-0.000109	-0.000027	S	0.493603	0.548273	5.103035
Au	0.387228	0.874949	2.667683	S	-2.473170	3.675996	2.586729
Au	-1.792775	1.996600	0.923525	S	-4.272627	-0.864813	2.776717
Au	-1.986735	-0.825785	1.867847	S	2.058293	3.415043	-4.758810
Au	1.125673	2.568365	0.441071	S	-2.041643	-4.695094	-3.536243
Au	2.678620	0.016306	0.955038	S	-2.012475	3.811237	-2.870924
Au	3.231638	2.773387	2.838257	S	-4.570239	-0.914170	-2.253750
Au	-0.73332	-1.458558	4.820389	S	5.627744	-2.673075	0.043764
Au	-0.191026	4.100455	3.029036	S	-2.031442	-4.758226	0.229697
Au	-1.999665	-3.999449	2.465163	S	-0.493600	-0.548888	-5.102981
Au	0.657926	-2.011840	1.879680	S	2.472932	-3.676456	-2.586473
Au	-3.780491	3.112148	-1.455624	S	4.272799	0.865574	-2.776105
Au	-4.845922	0.890544	1.302884	Н	-4.166958	-0.215086	3.959797
Au	-0.387323	-0.875237	-2.667662	Н	-0.450754	1.377855	5.607032
Au	1.792687	-1.996696	-0.923538	Н	2.068998	4.580966	4.884976
Au	1.986712	0.825647	-1.867762	Н	0.933273	5.549256	-0.190976
Au	-1.125854	-2.568522	-0.440992	Н	-2.850902	4.775192	1.892528
Au	-2.678769	-0.016510	-0.955033	Н	-2.395201	3.309103	-4.069366
Au	-3.231746	-2.773385	-2.838532	Н	1.239004	4.338754	-5.313825
Au	0.733128	1.458076	-4.820293	Н	-4.506229	-0.182147	-3.392238
Au	0.190836	-4.100840	-3.029035	Н	4.167577	0.216615	-3.959647
Au	1.999433	3.999141	-2.465118	Н	0.450896	-1.378425	-5.606792
Au	-0.658109	2.011722	-1.879686	Н	-2.069044	-4.580843	-4.885289
Au	3.780596	-3.112193	1.455359	Н	2.850531	-4.775572	-1.892075
Au	4.845946	-0.890506	-1.303101	Н	-0.933074	-5.549365	0.191099
\mathbf{S}	-2.058854	-3.415263	4.758827	Н	-1.239847	-4.339120	5.314017
\mathbf{S}	2.041430	4.695045	3.535919	Н	2.395275	-3.309451	4.069076
\mathbf{S}	2.012568	-3.811400	2.870551	Н	6.481362	-2.039272	0.881364
\mathbf{S}	4.570174	0.914200	2.253540	H	4.506302	0.182288	3.392108
\mathbf{S}	-5.627708	2.673004	-0.044131	H	-6.481250	2.039184	-0.881795
S	2.031520	4.757954	-0.229672				

Table S2. Optimized geometry of $Au_{25}(SH)_{18}^-$. Coordinates are given in Å.

Atom	x	У	Z	Atom	x	У	Z
Au	0.000031	0.001922	0.006890	S	-1.065412	-2.306403	-4.449236
Au	-1.180574	-1.183507	-2.242152	\mathbf{S}	-1.573691	2.488431	-4.209168
Au	-0.522666	1.815905	-2.063322	S	3.144907	0.521280	-4.058058
Au	1.787219	-0.253989	-2.138845	\mathbf{S}	-3.419319	3.626523	3.536226
Au	-2.714396	0.449877	-0.596096	\mathbf{S}	4.964745	0.773423	3.478498
Au	-1.710614	-2.199461	0.434910	\mathbf{S}	-1.227504	5.032741	0.616298
Au	-4.128165	-2.184906	-1.746561	\mathbf{S}	3.650971	3.730183	-0.027333
Au	1.182081	-2.834752	-3.806705	\mathbf{S}	-1.444670	-4.904543	3.327525
Au	-3.186759	0.804487	-3.666625	\mathbf{S}	5.059514	-1.214711	0.230945
Au	4.057134	-2.372338	-1.606776	\mathbf{S}	1.068523	2.309476	4.461684
Au	1.023439	-2.529083	-0.705221	\mathbf{S}	1.574356	-2.499634	4.213698
Au	0.162442	4.793631	-1.319167	\mathbf{S}	-3.146744	-0.514610	4.067801
Au	2.182547	2.642549	-3.506061	Н	2.289517	0.177584	-5.087987
Au	1.180199	1.183061	2.255174	Н	-0.808676	-1.189834	-5.222031
Au	0.521725	-1.811012	2.072810	Н	-4.682740	-1.552098	-4.580680
Au	-1.779165	0.254913	2.152169	Н	-5.095040	2.244731	-1.133993
Au	2.712989	-0.450031	0.609121	Н	-2.270600	3.602250	-3.781285
Au	1.711146	2.194777	-0.423258	Н	-0.237908	5.327257	1.535117
Au	4.119086	2.178367	1.735309	Н	-3.131463	4.818193	2.895416
Au	-1.176330	2.834436	3.803823	Н	2.979831	4.683328	0.714844
Au	3.177525	-0.807607	3.663018	Н	-2.290385	-0.179421	5.099748
Au	-4.051689	2.364396	1.603614	Н	0.807672	1.194222	5.234998
Au	-1.015661	2.530215	0.716285	Н	4.658805	1.558527	4.574198
Au	-0.159980	-4.788310	1.315584	Н	2.272002	-3.609145	3.775878
Au	-2.186161	-2.633658	3.500386	Н	5.086588	-2.258324	1.136409
\mathbf{S}	3.426837	-3.623635	-3.546846	Н	3.143024	-4.820589	-2.914266
\mathbf{S}	-4.978044	-0.770796	-3.479429	Н	0.254676	-5.325421	-1.535255
\mathbf{S}	1.240491	-5.031141	-0.612245	Н	-2.596922	-5.464376	2.808434
\mathbf{S}	-3.644943	-3.734916	0.011953	Н	-2.965633	-4.681024	-0.731719
\mathbf{S}	1.432374	4.910626	-3.340135	Н	2.585069	5.479262	-2.831824
S	-5.065117	1.202800	-0.226624				

Table S3. Optimized geometry of $Au_{25}(SH)_{18}^0$. Coordinates are given in Å.

Atom	X	У	Z	Atom	x	У	Z
Au	0.000040	0.001762	-0.005347	S	1.075224	-2.214580	4.493645
Au	1.186277	-1.168036	2.249372	S	1.795289	2.537691	4.090575
Au	0.659525	1.851914	1.992543	S	-3.015472	0.787802	4.114108
Au	-1.740700	-0.108256	2.190793	S	3.483145	3.363646	-3.727755
Au	2.746888	0.343746	0.515115	S	-5.011057	0.898752	-3.376332
Au	1.598591	-2.286972	-0.407027	S	1.428197	4.952273	-0.805566
Au	4.071118	-2.318600	1.710481	S	-3.479575	3.890956	0.009216
Au	-1.208994	-2.661641	3.923031	S	1.131738	-5.062328	-3.209239
Au	3.316367	0.766652	3.560103	S	-5.113878	-0.986569	-0.064677
Au	-4.116505	-2.135639	1.781096	S	-1.078923	2.215983	-4.504037
Au	-1.115323	-2.457797	0.812212	S	-1.797642	-2.553077	-4.089143
Au	0.088186	4.833181	1.175740	S	3.014465	-0.780251	-4.123426
Au	-1.967706	2.843818	3.477591	Н	-2.154053	0.434639	5.135785
Au	-1.185890	1.168087	-2.259010	Н	0.887701	-1.063873	5.235631
Au	-0.657638	-1.847257	-1.999092	Н	4.727984	-1.627713	4.508963
Au	1.731906	0.109157	-2.201103	Н	5.222488	2.039347	0.935990
Au	-2.745385	-0.344590	-0.525342	Н	2.530341	3.605628	3.612507
Au	-1.598998	2.282091	0.399243	Н	0.424824	5.260274	-1.704797
Au	-4.060408	2.312336	-1.695273	Н	3.261887	4.583676	-3.114375
Au	1.202501	2.661889	-3.917706	Н	-2.787908	4.792614	-0.777138
Au	-3.305444	-0.770884	-3.553724	Н	2.149018	-0.436969	-5.145084
Au	4.109767	2.129134	-1.775557	Н	-0.886677	1.065555	-5.245239
Au	1.107533	2.459264	-0.819624	Н	-4.694017	1.636417	-4.501450
Au	-0.088989	-4.827669	-1.167984	Н	-2.533872	-3.614094	-3.597608
Au	1.972499	-2.834585	-3.468200	Н	-5.213958	-2.053632	-0.937070
\mathbf{S}	-3.491798	-3.357779	3.741472	Н	-3.276069	-4.583555	3.137834
\mathbf{S}	5.029258	-0.894022	3.377007	Н	-0.446351	-5.259124	1.708532
\mathbf{S}	-1.443944	-4.949362	0.803424	Н	2.270769	-5.661176	-2.704707
\mathbf{S}	3.472630	-3.896313	0.013102	H	2.771721	-4.788246	0.802280
\mathbf{S}	-1.112684	5.066772	3.228540	H	-2.250586	5.679640	2.738679
S	5.118682	0.974372	0.061424				

Table S4. Optimized geometry of $Au_{25}(SH)_{18}^+$. Coordinates are given in Å.

S3 Molecular Orbitals



Figure S1. Molecular orbital diagram depicting the effects of SOC in neutral Au₂₅. The blue-shaded region are doubly-occupied orbitals, orange-shaded region are singly-occupied orbitals, and the red-shaded region are unoccupied orbitals. Orbital energies were shifted such that the highest energy 1P were aligned.



Figure S2. Molecular orbital diagram depicting the effects of SOC in the Au₂₅ cation. The blue-shaded region are doubly-occupied orbitals and the red-shaded region are unoccupied orbitals. Orbital energies were shifted such that the highest energy 1P were aligned.

Superorbital	s	p	d
$1P_1$	25.64%	39.86%	34.50%
$1P_2$	24.17%	39.28%	36.55%
$1P_3$	22.92%	38.55%	38.55%
$1D_1$	41.50%	37.92%	20.56%
$1D_2$	40.87%	37.87%	21.25%
$1D_3$	40.22%	34.48%	25.30%
$1D_4$	39.44%	33.14%	27.42%
$1D_5$	40.36%	32.26%	27.38%

Table S5. Atomic orbital character of $Au_{25}(SC_6H_{13})_{18}^-$ superorbitals from calculation with SOC. Orbitals are arranged down the table with increasing energy.

S4 $Au_{25}(SPET)_{18}^{-}$ UV-Vis Fingerprint Spectrum



Figure S3. Experimental and computed spectra of $Au_{25}(SR)_{18}^-$. The excited states obtained from 2C-TDDFT are plotted as black lines. Spectra were generated by applying Lorentzian broadening to the excited states with a half-width at half max of 0.095 eV. The computed spectrum is compared to the experimental spectrum of $Au_{25}(SPET)_{18}^-$. Spectrum was recorded at 78 K.

References

- Zhu, M.; Lanni, E.; Garg, N.; Bier, M. E.; Jin, R. Kinetically Controlled, High-Yield Synthesis of Au₂₅ Clusters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1138 – 1139.
- (2) Zhu, M.; Aikens, C. M.; Hendrich, M. P.; Gupta, R.; Qian, H.; Schatz, G. C.; Jin, R. Reversible Switching of Magnetism in Thiolate-Protected Au₂₅ Superatoms. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2490 2492.
- (3) Liu, Z.; Zhu, M.; Meng, X.; Xu, G.; Jin, R. Electron Transfer between [Au₂₅(SC₂H₄Ph)₁₈]⁻TOA⁺ and Oxoammonium Cations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2490 2492.
- (4) Pacios, L. F.; Christiansen, P. A. Ab Initio Relativistic Effective Potentials with Spinorbit Operators. I. Li through Ar. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 82, 2664–2671.
- (5) Ross, R. B.; Powers, J. M.; Atashroo, T.; C., E. W.; LaJohn, L. A.; Christiansen, P. A. Ab Initio Relativistic Effective Potentials with Spin-orbit Operators. IV. Cs through Rn. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 93, 6654–6670.
- (6) Schuchardt, K. L.; Didier, B. T.; Elsethagen, T.; Sun, L.; Gurumoorthi, V.; Chase, J.; Li, J.; Windus, T. L. Basis Set Exchange: A Community Database for Computational Sciences. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2007, 47, 1045–1052.
- (7) Feller, D. The Role of Databases in Support of Computational Chemistry Calculations.
 J. Comput. Chem. 1996, 17, 1571–1586.
- (8) Pritchard, B. P.; Altarawy, D.; Didier, B.; Gibson, T. D.; Windus, T. L. New Basis Set Exchange: An Open, Up-to-Date Resource for the Molecular Sciences Community. J. Chem. Inf. Model. 2019, 59, 4814–4820.
- (9) Pollak, P.; Weigand, F. Segmented Contracted Error-Consistent Basis Sets of Double-

and Triple- ζ Valence Quality for One- and Two-Component Relativistic All-Electron Calculations. J. Chem. Theory Comput. **2017**, 13, 3696–3705.

- (10) Jiang, D.-e.; Kühn, M.; Tang, Q.; Weigand, F. Superatomic Orbitals under Spin–Orbit Coupling. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2014, 5, 3286–3289.
- (11) Lopez-Acevedo, O.; Tsunoyama, H.; Tsukuda, T.; Hannu, H.; Aikens, C. M. Chirality and Electronic Structure of the Thiolate-Protected Au₃₈ Nanocluster. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 8210–8218.
- (12) Tlahuice-Flores, A.; Whetten, R. L.; Jose-Yacaman, M. Ligand Effects on the Structure and the Electronic Optical Properties of Anionic Au₂₅(SR)₁₈ Clusters. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 20867–20875.
- (13) Akola, J.; Walter, M.; Whetten, R. L.; Häkkinen, H.; Grönbeck, H. On the Structure of Thiolate-Protected Au_25. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 3756–3757.
- (14) Altmann, S. L.; Herzig, P. Point-Group Theory Tables; Clarendon Press, 2011.