Electronic Supporting Information for Decorrelated Singlet and Triplet Exciton Delocalization in Acetylene-Bridged Zn-Porphyrin Dimers

Hasini Medagedara,[†] Mandefro Y. Teferi,[‡] Sachithra T. Wanasinghe,[†]

Wade Burson,[†] Shahad Kizi,[†] Bradly Zaslona,[†] Kristy Mardis,[¶] Jens

Niklas,[‡] Oleg G. Poluektov,^{*,‡} and Aaron S. Rury^{*,†}

†Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA ‡Chemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439, USA ¶Department of Chemistry, Physics, and Engineering Sciences, Chicago State University,

Chicago, IL, 60628, USA

E-mail: oleg@anl.gov; arury@wayne.edu

List of Figures

S 1	Structures of Fb-M , Fb ₂ U-D, and ZnFbU-D. \ldots	S 3
S2	Proton NMR spectra of $F_{30}Zn_2U$ -D in CDCl ₃	S 4
S 3	Proton NMR spectra of Zn_2U -D in $CDCl_3$	S 4
S4	MALDI-TOF mass spectra of $F_{30}Zn_2U\mbox{-}D$ and $Zn_2U\mbox{-}D$	S 5
S5	Comparisons between the measured Q state absorption (green) and fluorescence	
	(red) spectra of Zn-M (top left panel), F_{20} Zn-M (top right panel), Zn ₂ U-D (bottom	
	left panel), and $F_{30}Zn_2U$ -D (bottom right panel)	S 7
S 6	Transient transmission spectra of Zn-M (top left panel), F_{20} Zn-M (top right panel),	
	Zn_2U -D (bottom left panel), and $F_{30}Zn_2U$ -D (bottom right panel) after photoexci-	
	tation at 2.85 eV	S 8
S 7	Comparisons between the measured (solid green) and simulated (dashed red) spec-	
	tra of Zn-M (top panel) and Zn ₂ U-D (bottom panel), which also show the assign-	
	ments of transitions at the X^+ , X^- , Y^+ , Y^- , Z^+ , and Z^- canonical field strengths.	S 9



Figure S1: Structures of Fb-M, Fb₂U-D, and ZnFbU-D.

1 Chemical Structures of Model Chromophores

Fig. S1 shows the structures of Fb-M, Fb_2U -D, and ZnFbU-D, which were studied as models for time-resolved EPR and ENDOR measurements of these molecules' triplet states.

2 Synthesis and Characterization of Model Porphyrin Macromolecules

The chemical compounds mesitaldehyde, 4-iodophenyl benzaldehyde, 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, triethylamine and pentafluoro benzaldehyde were purchased from Oakwood Chemical USA. Pyrrole, boron trifluoride etherate, 4-[2-(trimethylsilyl) ethynyl]benzaldehyde, tetrabutylammonium fluoride on silica, tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0), triphenylarsine, sodium bicarbonate and zinc acetate were purchased from Sigma Aldrich USA. Freebase porphyrin monomer 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)porphyrin was purchased from TCI Chemical USA. Bio-Beads SX-1 styrene divinylbenzene beads for size exclusion chromatography was



Figure S2: Proton NMR spectra of F₃₀Zn₂U-D in CDCl₃



Figure S3: Proton NMR spectra of Zn₂U-D in CDCl₃

purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories USA. Synthesis of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(mesityl)porphyrin (Fb-M), zinc porphyrin monomers and dimers were carried out according to previously reported studies. The synthesized porphyrins were characterized using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) in CDCl₃ and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.



Figure S4: MALDI-TOF mass spectra of F₃₀Zn₂U-D and Zn₂U-D

3 Steady-State UV-vis Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra

3.1 Soret Resonance

We modeled the absorption spectrum of Zn_2U -D using the following equation,

$$A(E) = I_1 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_1)/(2\Delta E_1)\right]^2\right) + I_2 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_2)/(2\Delta E_2)\right]^2\right)$$
(S1)
+ $I_3 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_3)/(2\Delta E_3)\right]^2\right) + mE + b,$

where we assign the peaks corresponding to the first, second, and fourth terms of Eq. (S1) as resulting from the x-polarized 0-0, the y-polarized 0-0, and 0-1 vibronic transition. Given their low intensities, we propose the x- and y-polarized 0-1 vibronic transitions do not couple in Zn_2U -D. We assign the third term in Eq. (S1) as stemming from H-aggregates that form in face-to-face orientations in the solution at sufficiently high concentrations. The last terms of Eq. (S1), (mE+b), represent a sloping background to the spectra.

We modeled the absorption spectrum of Zn-M, F₂₀Zn-M, and F₃₀Zn₂U-D using the following

equation,

$$A(E) = I_1 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_1)/(2\Delta E_1)\right]^2\right) + I_2 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_2)/(2\Delta E_2)\right]^2\right)$$
(S2)
+ $I_3 \exp\left(-\left[(E - E_3)/(2\Delta E_3)\right]^2\right) + mE + b,$

where we assign the peaks corresponding to the first and third terms of Eq. (S2) as resulting from the 0-0 and 0-1 vibronic structures of the Soret resonance of individual molecules of each porphyrin species. We propose the second term in Eq. (S1) stems from H-aggregates that form in face-to-face orientations in the solution at sufficiently high concentrations The terms (mE + b) represent a sloping background in each spectrum. We report the important model values found by fitting our data to Eqs. (S1) and in (S2) Table SI.

Table S1: Quantitative comparison between the intensities, energies, and widths of the Soret absorption peaks of Zn-M, F_{20} Zn-M, Zn_2 U-D and F_{30} Zn₂U-D in toluene

•											
Sample	I ₁ [O. D.]	$\mathbf{E}_1 [\mathbf{eV}]$	$\Delta \mathbf{E}_1$ [meV]	I ₂ [O. D.]	E ₂ [eV]	$\Delta \mathbf{E}_2 [\mathbf{meV}]$	I ₃ [O. D.]	E ₃ [eV]	$\Delta \mathbf{E}_3 [\mathbf{meV}]$		
Zn-M	0.676 ± 0.041	$2.94{\pm}0.01$	19.7±0.2	$0.082{\pm}0.004$	3.00 ± 0.00	14.6 ± 1.8	$0.048 {\pm} 0.006$	3.09 ± 0.00	28.11±2.7		
F ₂₀ Zn-M	$0.402{\pm}0.001$	$2.94{\pm}0.00$	23.2±0.1	0.043 ± 0.005	3.01±0.00	17.5±0.5	$0.041 {\pm} 0.001$	$3.08 {\pm} 0.00$	39.5±2.7		
Zn ₂ U-D	0.425 ± 0.044	2.90±0.01	26.6 ± 1.7	$0.199 {\pm} 0.080$	2.96 ± 0.01	21.2 ± 2.5	$0.044 {\pm} 0.006$	3.042 ± 0.03	50.0 ± 15.1		
F ₃₀ Zn ₂ U-D	0.376 ± 0.010	2.92 ± 0.00	30.7±0.9	-	-	-	0.040 ± 0.004	$3.04{\pm}0.02$	58.8±12.6		

3.2 Q Resonance

The panels of Fig. S2 show comparisons between the measured Q state absorption and fluorescence spectra of Zn-M, F_{20} Zn-M, Zn_2 U-D, and F_{30} Zn_2U-D. By comparing the structure of the spectra corresponding to monomers and dimer (Zn-M to Zn₂U-D and F_{20} Zn-M to F_{30} Zn₂U-D) we find no qualitative evidence of intermolecular Q-state exciton delocalization in either dimer. From this lack of evidence we propose the Q-state excitons must remain localized in one of the two porphyrin sub-units of Zn₂U-D.



Figure S5: Comparisons between the measured Q state absorption (green) and fluorescence (red) spectra of Zn-M (top left panel), F_{20} Zn-M (top right panel), Zn_2 U-D (bottom left panel), and F_{30} Zn₂U-D (bottom right panel).

4 Transient Transmission Spectra

The panels of Fig. S3 show the broadband transient transmission spectra of Zn-M, F_{20} Zn-M, Zn₂U-D, and F_{30} Zn₂U-D across the visible region of the excited state spectra following photoexcitation with a 2.85 eV (435 nm) pump pulse. The blue and red regions of the spectra indicate excited state absorption and ground state bleaching, respectively. The rise of stimulated emission appears as a feature at 1.9 eV due to depleted excited state absorption. Kinetic traces of these dynamics are shown in Fig. 3 of the main manuscript.

5 Time-Resolved EPR Spectra

The panels of Fig. S4 show comparisons between the measured and simulated TR-EPR spectra of Zn-M and Zn₂U-D. These panels include assignments of triplet transitions at the X^+ and X^- canonical field strengths. These transitions overlap with those of the Z⁺ and Z⁻ canonical fields and complicate our analysis of these molecule's triplet ENDOR spectra.



Figure S6: Transient transmission spectra of Zn-M (top left panel), F_{20} Zn-M (top right panel), Zn₂U-D (bottom left panel), and F_{30} Zn₂U-D (bottom right panel) after photoexcitation at 2.85 eV.

References

•



Figure S7: Comparisons between the measured (solid green) and simulated (dashed red) spectra of Zn-M (top panel) and Zn₂U-D (bottom panel), which also show the assignments of transitions at the X^+ , X^- , Y^+ , Y^- , Z^+ , and Z^- canonical field strengths.