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General information. General reagents and buffer components were purchased from SigmaAldrich, Fisher Scientific, Alfa Aesar, TCI, or Wako Chemicals without further purification. Human Serum Albumin (lyophilized powder, essentially fatty acid free) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Prod\# A1887). Sheep blood in Alsevers was purchased from Funakoshi (Rockland Immunochemicals, Inc.) (Prod\# R311-0100). All experiments dealing with air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were conducted under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Anhydrous solvents were used as received, which include tetrahydrofuran (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical), dichloromethane (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical), benzene (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical), 1,4-dioxane (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical), acetone (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical), $N$, $N$-dimethylformamide (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical) and chloroform (anhydrous; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical). TLC analyses (F-254) were performed with $60 \AA$ silica gel from Merck. Ultrapure water used for all synthetic experiments described in this paper was obtained from a Milli-Q Advantage ${ }^{\circledR}$ A10 Water Purification System sold by Merck Millipore (Burlington, USA). In addition, Amicon® Ultra Centrifugal Filters ( 30 kDa ) and Durapore PVDF $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}{ }^{\circledR}$ filters were also purchased from by Merck Millipore (Burlington, USA). All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of RIKEN and approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of RIKEN (W2019-2-049).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on JNM-ECZ400 ( 399 MHz ) instrument or JNM-ECX400 (392 MHz) or JNM-ECZ600R/S1 $(600 \mathrm{MHz})$ instrument with the solvent peaks as internal standards: $\delta \mathrm{H} 0.00$ for TMS, $\delta \mathrm{H} 7.26$ and $\delta \mathrm{C} 77.16$ for $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Mass spectrometry (MS). For chemical synthesis, high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were measured on a Bruker MicroTOF-QIII spectrometer by electron spray ionization time-of-flight (ESI-TOF-MS) or a JMS-T100GCV (JEOL) spectrometer by electron ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer (EI-TOF-MS) or JMS-T100GCV (JEOL) by field desorption time-of-flight mass spectrometer FD-TOF-MS). High weight mass characterizations (i.e. proteins) were done using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry analysis on a Shimadzu Benchtop Linear MALDI-8020 Mass Spectrometer. Sample preparations used sinapic acid as a matrix.
HPLC analysis. To identify compounds from reaction mixtures, reverse-phase HPLC was used with a Shimadzu system consisting of two LC-20AP pumps, an SPD-20AV photodiode array detector. The column was an analytical $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ Cosmosil 5C18-AR-300 from Nacalai Tesque. Samples were eluted using a combination of mobile phases A ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with or w/o $0.1 \%$ TFA) and B (acetonitrile with or w/o $0.1 \%$ TFA). Normal-phase HPLC analysis was used with a Shimadzu system consisting of two LC-20AD pumps, an SPD-20AV photodiode array detector, a CTO-20A column oven. The column was an analytical Inert sil Diol $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ from GL sciences. Samples were eluted using a combination of mobile phase C (hexane for HPLC grade) and D (ethanol for HPLC grade). For absorbance, the detector was set to 220 and 254 nm . The HPLC methods outlined in Table S1-2 were used to identify products. Product peaks were identified by retention times and mass spectrometry analysis. Products peaks were integrated and then compared with calibration curves (Fig. S6-42) to quantify HPLC yields. Example HPLC traces of reaction mixtures, along with their corresponding product and substrate standards are shown in Fig. S43-80.


Fig. S1. The list of substrates used in the study.

## Preparation of substrates

The substrates used in the study were depicted in Fig. S1. Substrates $\mathbf{1}^{1}, \mathbf{3}^{-5}, \mathbf{6}^{2}, \mathbf{7}^{3}, \mathbf{8}^{1}, \mathbf{9}^{4}, \mathbf{1 0}^{5}$, $\mathbf{1 1}^{6}, \mathbf{1 3}^{7}, \mathbf{1 4}^{8}, \mathbf{1 5}^{9}, \mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}^{10}, \mathbf{1 8}^{11}, \mathbf{1 9 - 2 2}{ }^{12}, \mathbf{3 1}^{12}, \mathbf{3 2}^{13}, \mathbf{3 7}^{14}$, and prodrug $\mathbf{3 8}^{12}$ were prepared according to these previous references. Substrates $\mathbf{3 4 - 3 6}$ were purchased from Tokyo chemical industry CO., Ltd. (34: Prod\# A1442; 35: Prod\# S0095; 36: Prod\# A1983). Substrates 12, 23-30, and $\mathbf{3 3}$ were synthesized by the following protocols.

## General protocols for synthesis of substrates 12 and 23-30.

General method A for protection with trimethyl orthoformate


A two-necked round-bottom flask was charged with an aromatic aldehyde ( 1.0 eq ) in anhydrous MeOH (Concentration based on the aldehyde compound: 0.25 M ) and $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(10.0 \mathrm{eq})$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, followed by addition of $p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. After that, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and the solvent was removed under vacuum. The obtained residue was dissolved in a water/EtOAc (1/1) mixture, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc eluent mixture to give the desired dimethyl acetal compound.

General method B for allylation via Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling


In a dried two-necked round-bottom flask, $\mathrm{CsF}(4.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were dissolved in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane (Concentration based on the acetal compound: 0.05 M ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere, followed by dropwise adding a mixture solution of bromo dimethyl acetal ( 1.0 eq ) and allylboronic acid pinacol ester ( 2.0 eq ) dissolved in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane. The resulting yellow reaction mixture was refluxed for 15 hours. After that, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, and the resulting suspension was filtered through a short silica gel pad. The obtained filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc eluent mixture to give the desired allylated product.
For acetal deprotection, allylated product was dissolved in THF (Concentration based on the allylated product: 0.1 M ) and treated with 3 M aqueous solution of HCl . The mixture was stirred for 2 hours at room temperature, followed by quenching slowly with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution. The obtained solution was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc three times. The organic layers were combined and washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to dryness under vacuum. The obtained aldehyde was used for the next step without additional purification.

## General method C for Grignard reaction



In a dried round-bottom flask, the aldehyde ( 1.0 eq ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (Concentration based on the aldehyde: 0.2 M ) and cooled down to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The vinyl magnesium bromide ( $1.5 \mathrm{eq}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added in dropwise fashion under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ aqueous solution, followed by extracting with EtOAc three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc eluent mixture to give the desired hydroxyl compound.

General method D for pivalate esters synthesis


A two-necked round-bottom flask was charged with hydroxyl compound (1.0 eq) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, followed by addition of DMAP ( 0.2 eq ) and pyridine ( 1.0 eq ) at r.t. under an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Then, pivaloyl chloride ( 2.3 eq ) was added in dropwise fashion at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, the reaction mixture was refluxed for overnight ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of oil bath). After cooling down to r.t., the solvent of reaction mixture was removed under vacuum. The residue was redissolved in a mixture of $\mathrm{EtOAc} / 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}$ aqueous solution. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with EtOAc, then combined organic fractions was neutralized with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution, followed by washing with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a Hexane/EtOAc eluent mixture to give the desired ester compound.

## Preparation of 12



1-((N-allyl-4-tosyl)but-3-en-2-yl pivalate 12. Substrate $\mathbf{1 2}$ was prepared according to general method D using the reported compounds $\mathbf{S 1}{ }^{15}(97.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, pivaloyl chloride ( 94 mg , 0.78 mmol ), pyridine ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford $12(70 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.69$ (dt, $\left.J=8.5,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.77$ (ddd, $J=16.9,10.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.53 (ddt, $J=17.1,10.3,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29$ (dt, $J=17.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.6,143.5,137.2,133.9,132.6,129.9,127.3,119.7,118.3$, 71.8, 51.4, 49.7, 38.9, 27.3, 21.6.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 366.1734$; found 366.1705.

## Preparation of 23



4-bromo-5-(dimethoxymethyl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine S3. Compound S3 was prepared according to general method A using the reported aldehyde ${ }^{16}$ ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ ), $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(954 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p-\mathrm{TsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford $\mathbf{S 3}$ as a colorless oil ( 374 mg , quant.).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $392 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.67$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.32 (d, $\left.J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.14$ (s, 1H), $3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{tt}, J=5.8,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.9,133.3,130.6,129.9,127.8,119.6,104.9,55.3,44.9,44.1$, 36.1, 21.6.

HRMS (FD): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 389.0296$; found 389.0298 .


4-allyl-1-tosyl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carbaldehyde S4. Compound S4 was prepared according to general method B using $\mathbf{S 3}(374 \mathrm{mg}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$, allylBPin ( $322 \mathrm{mg}, 1.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(111 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CsF}(580 \mathrm{mg}, 3.84 \mathrm{mmol})$. The allylated product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 75/25 to 60/40. After deprotection step, desired aldehyde $\mathbf{S 4}$ was obtained as a colorless oil ( 227 mg , 77\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $399 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.73 (ddt, $J=16.9,10.1,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 188.3,154.5,144.0,133.8,132.8,130.7,129.9,127.9,118.1$, 43.1, 42.3, 35.7, 31.3, 21.6.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 328.0978$; found 328.0983.


1-(4-allyl-1-tosyl-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridin-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-ol 23. Substrate 23 was prepared according to general method $\mathbf{C}$ using $\mathbf{S 4}(225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol})$, vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 70/30 to $60 / 40$ to afford $\mathbf{2 3}$ as a colorless oil ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $392 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.67$ (dd, $\left.J=6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31$ (d, $\left.J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.82$ (ddd, $J=17.3,10.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65$ (ddt, $J=16.6,10.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{ddq}, J=25.6,11.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81$ (ddd, $J=33.2,15.3$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 143.6,137.7,135.1,133.4,130.3,129.7,128.8,127.9,116.2$, 115.5, 70.4, 43.4, 43.1, 36.7, 29.4, 21.6.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 334.1471$; found 334.1476.

## Preparation of 24



1-(2-allyl-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)prop-2-en-1-ol 24. Substrate 24 was prepared according to general method C using the reported aldehyde $\mathbf{S 5}^{17}$ ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 70/30 to $60 / 40$ to afford 24 as a colorless oil ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (ddd, $J=17.1,10.3,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79-5.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=10.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 145.1,139.2,136.4,135.5,130.1,128.8,128.5,127.1,122.3$, 115.9, 115.0, 110.3, 67.9, 29.0, 21.7.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 340.0978$; found 340.0993.

## Preparation of 25



1-(2-allyl-3-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S7. Compoudn $\mathbf{S 7}$ was prepared according to general method C using the reported $\mathbf{S 6}^{18}(344.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution $(3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from $95 / 5$ to $85 / 15$ to afford $\mathbf{S 7}$ as a colorless oil ( $342 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $399 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.27-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.6,7.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-$ $5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.05(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{ddq}, J=16.0,5.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (ddq, $J=15.8,6.0,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 161.3$ (d, $J_{C-F}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 143.1 (d, $J_{C-F}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 139.6, $136.1,128.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 122.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.8,115.6$, $114.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=23.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 71.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 28.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-117.2$.
HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}^{+}$: 192.0950; found 192.0949.


1-(2-allyl-3-fluorophenyl)allyl pivalate 25. Substrate 25 was prepared according to general method D using S7 ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 48 mg , $0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford $25(86 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1}$ H NMR (392 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 7.24-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.9,8.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=4.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.05(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.3,161.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=245.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), $140.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.2$, $135.5,127.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.7,116.0$, $114.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=22.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 72.1,38.9,29.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 27.2$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-116.8$.
HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNaO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 299.1418; found 299.1422.

## Preparation of 26



1-(2-allyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S9. Compound S9 was prepared according to general method C using the reported $\mathbf{S 8}^{19}(362 \mathrm{mg}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 95/5 to 80/20 to afford $\mathbf{S} \mathbf{5}$ as a colorless oil ( $343 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.06-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.50-5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 144.4,139.2,138.0,136.3,130.1\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=31.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 127.2$, $126.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.3\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=272.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.8\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.1,116.2,71.2$, 36.6.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-62.5$.
HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}: 242.0919$; found 242.0914.


1-(2-allyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)allyl pivalate 26. Substrate 26 was prepared according to general method D using S9 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine $(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from $9: 1$ to $8: 2$ to afford $26(35 \mathrm{mg}$, $63 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.49$ (s, 2H), 7.44 (s, 1H), 6.44 (d, $\left.J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.02-5.91$ (m, 2H), $5.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.3,141.4,138.5,135.8,135.7,130.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=31.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $127.7,126.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 124.2\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=269.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 123.7\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{C-F}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.4,117.3$, 72.0, 39.0, 36.8, 27.2.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-62.5$.
HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 349.1386; found 349.1354.
Preparation of 27


1-(2-allyl-4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S11. Compound S11 was prepared according to general method $C$ using the reported $\mathbf{S 1 0}^{20}(480.6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $4.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 90/10 to 70/30 to afford $\mathbf{S 1 1}$ as a yellow oil ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (399 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79$ (dd, $\left.J=8.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.73$ (d, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=10.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ ( td, $J=6.0,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.3,140.1,139.0,137.4,132.9,128.3,116.3,115.7,114.8$, 112.0, 71.1, 55.4, 37.0.

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}: 204.1150$; found 204.1147.


1-(2-allyl-4-methoxyphenyl)allyl pivalate 27. Substrate 27 was prepared according to general method D using S11 (139 mg, 0.68 mmol ), pivaloyl chloride ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 52 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to $8: 2$ to afford 27 ( 92 mg , 47\%) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }^{2}$ ): $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.4,159.4,139.4,136.80,136.77,129.6,129.0,116.5,115.9$, 115.2, 112.1, 72.4, 55.3, 38.9, 37.1, 27.3.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}{ }^{+}: 311.1618$; found 311.1619.

## Preparation of 28



1-(2-allyl-4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S13. Compound S13 was prepared according to general method C using the reported $\mathbf{S 1 2}^{19}(362 \mathrm{mg}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 95/5 to 80/20 to afford S13 as a colorless oil ( $343 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $399 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07-5.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=10.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (99 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 1162.4$ (d, $J_{C-F}=246.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 139.9 ( $\mathrm{d}, J_{C-F}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 139.8, $136.6,136.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.8,116.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 115.4$, 113.7 (d, $J_{C-F}=20.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 71.0, 36.6.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-114.8$.
HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}^{+}$: 192.0950; found 192.0948 .


1-(2-allyl-4-fluorophenyl)allyl pivalate 28. Substrate 28 was prepared according to general method D using S13 ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 48 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( 61 mg , $36 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $5.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23-5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=10.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (99 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 177.4,162.5$ (d, $J_{C-F}=247.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 140.3 (d, $J_{C-F}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 136.4, $136.1,133.2\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.1,116.5,116.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $113.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 72.0,38.9,36.8,27.2$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-114.3$.
HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNaO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 299.1418; found 299.1422.

## Preparation of 29



1-(2-allyl-5-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S15. The compound S15 was prepared according to general method C using the known aldehyde $\mathbf{S} 14^{21}(350.5 \mathrm{mg}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl magnesium bromide 1 M solution ( $3.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 90/10 to 75/25 to afford S15 as a colorless oil ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (399 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98$ (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 162.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=244.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 142.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 139.3$, $137.3,132.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 116.3,115.8,114.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $113.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=22.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 71.1,36.1$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-115.7$.
HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{FO}^{+}$: 192.0950; found 192.0947 .


1-(2-allyl-5-fluorophenyl)allyl pivalate 29. Substrate 29 was prepared according to general method D using $\mathbf{S 1 5}$ ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 45 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford 29 ( 130 mg , $82 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.13$ (dd, $\left.J=8.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.93 (td, $J=8.3,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.2,161.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=244.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 139.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ ), 136.7, $135.9,133.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 131.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.0,116.5,115.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=20.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $113.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{C-F}=22.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 72.0,38.9,36.2,27.2$.
${ }^{19}$ F NMR ( $\mathbf{3 7 6} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-115.9$.
HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FNaO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 299.1418; found 299.1422.

## Preparation of 30



1-(2-allyl-4-chlorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-ol S17. Compound S17 was prepared according to general method C using the reported $\mathbf{S} 16^{22}(295 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ and vinyl magnesium bromide

1 M solution ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 95/5 to 80/20 to afford $\mathbf{S 1 7}$ as a colorless oil ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (399 MHz, CDC1 $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ : $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=17.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.22(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.45-3.43$ (m, 2H), 1.89 (d, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 139.5,139.2,139.0,136.5,133.7,129.9,128.3,127.1,116.9$, 115.7, 71.1, 36.5.

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}^{+}:$208.0655; found 208.0627.


1-(2-allyl-4-chlorophenyl)allyl pivalate 30. Substrate $\mathbf{3 0}$ was prepared according to general method D using S17 ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pyridine ( 32 mg , 0.4 mmol ) and DMAP ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 9:1 to 8:2 to afford $\mathbf{3 0}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{dq}, J=10.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.56-3.41 (m, 2H), 1.21 (s, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.3$, 139.7, 136.1, 136.04, 135.99, 133.9, 129.8, 128.9, 127.0, 117.1, 116.8, 72.0, 38.9, 36.7, 27.2.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClNaO}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 315.1122 ; found 315.1145.

## Prepartion of 33


allyl (5-(tert-butyl)-2-methylphenyl)sulfane (33). To a solution of commerical avaiable 5-(tert-butyl)-2-methylbenzenethiol $\mathbf{S 1 8}(0.88 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(9.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added by $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 12 mmol ) and allyl bromide ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature and stirred for 16 hours, and then quenched with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(3.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under vaccum. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (hexane only) to give $33(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.7,10.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dq}, J=16.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dq}$, $J=10.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{9 9} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 149.3,135.2,134.6,134.0,129.9,126.6,123.3,117.7,36.8,34.7$, 31.5, 20.0.

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 220.1285$ found 220.1286 .

## Preparation of Ru-I catalyst.



Ru-I. In a round-bottom flask, the reported $\mathbf{R u - C l}{ }^{1}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and KI ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45$ mmol ) were dissolved in the degassed and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Then, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and the obtained residue was suspended in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2.0 mL ). The resulting suspension was filtered through celite with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ washing. The filtrate was evaporated dryness under vacuum and the obtained solid was dissolved in the degassed and anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by adding $\mathrm{KI}(74 \mathrm{mg})$. The mixture solution was reacted for additional 4 h at room temperature. Then, the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and the obtained residue was precipitated with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Hexane}$ to give $\mathbf{R u - I}$ (12 $\mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) as a green solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 15.64-15.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10-9.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69-8.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.54-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.19-6.93 (m, 5H), 6.85-6.80 (m, 2H), 6.68-6.63 (m, 1H), 6.53-6.49 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.24$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\mathbf{\delta}$ : 299.7, 299.0, 217.0, 216.1, 170.9, 170.2, 170.0, 163.5, 163.4(x2), 163.2, 163.0, 162.6, 157.8, 153.1, 153.0, 152.9, 152.8, 152.6, 148.2, 148.1, 145.4, $145.2,139.8,139.3,139.1,139.0,138.9,138.7,138.2,138.1,138.0,137.5,137.2,136.5,135.2$, 134.0 , 131.4, 131.3, 131.2, 130.8, 130.6(x3), 130.3, 130.0, 109.9, 108.4, 96.7(x2), 96.6, 75.8, 75.7, 70.6, 70.5(x2), 70.4(x2), 68.5, 64.0, 63.2, 57.1, 55.8, 52.0, 45.3, 45.2(x2), 42.0, 41.6, 39.5, $39.2,39.1,38.7,35.7,35.1,27.1,27.0(x 2), 26.4(x 2), 26.2,24.9,24.0,23.5,23.3,22.0,21.9,21.8$, 21.7, 21.5, 21.2, 21.1(x2), 20.7, 20.5, 20.2, 12.6(x2), 12.5.

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HI}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{IRu}^{+}$: 1056.2705 ; found 1056.2816.


Fig. S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, chemical shift from 0.5 to 9.5 ppm ) of Ru-I


Fig. S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY NMR spectrum ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, chemical shift from -1.0 to 17.0 ppm ) of Ru-I




Fig. S4. 1 H -NOESY NMR spectrum $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of $\mathbf{R u - I}$
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Fig. S5. The list of olefin metathesis products in the study.

## Prepartion of olefin metathesis products generated from substrates

To determine yields through HPLC analysis, olefin metathesis products 2, S19-53, and drug 39 (Fig. S5) were synthesized according to the following methods.

General method E for preparing products 2, S19-46, and drug 39
A substrate ( $0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by addition of Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst 2 nd generation ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2-15 hours, and then reaction progress was monitored by TLC (commonly a hexane/EtOAc mixture (4:1)). After completion, reaction mixture was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from $10: 1$ to $1: 1$ to afford cylization product.

General method F for preparing products S47-49
To a solution of a substrate ( $0.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in anhydrous toluene ( 9.0 mL ) were added by Grubbs Catalyst 2 nd generation ( $0.027 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The reaction mixture was reacted at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling down to r.t., the mixture was concentrated under vaccum, and the crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a cyclohexane/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient from 10:0 to 9:1 to afford a homodimerization product.

Products $2^{1}$, S19-21 ${ }^{1}$, S22 ${ }^{23}$, S23 $^{3}$, S24 $^{1}$, S25 $^{24}$, S26-27 $^{6}$, S29 $^{25}$, S30-31 $^{6}$, S32-33 $^{10}$, S34 $^{23}$, S35 $^{26}$, $\mathbf{S 3 6}^{27}, \mathbf{S 3 7}^{26}, \mathbf{S 3 8}^{28}, \mathbf{S 3 9}^{29}, \mathbf{S 4 0}^{30}, \mathbf{S 4 1}{ }^{31}, \mathbf{S 4 2}{ }^{32}, \mathbf{S 4 3}^{33}, \mathbf{S 4 4}^{34}, \mathbf{S 4 5}^{35}, \mathbf{S 4 6}{ }^{36}, \mathbf{S 4 7}^{13}, \mathbf{S 4 9}^{13}$ and drug $39^{12}$ were reported compounds and characterization data matched these reported references.


S28
1-tosyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridin-3-yl pivalate S28. According the general method E, the yield of S28 (a white solid) was $91 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 9 9} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97-5.87$ (m, 1 H ), 5.79 (ddt, $J=10.1,4.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (br.s, 1H), 3.84-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.47 (dd, $J=16.8$, $2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 178.2,143.9,133.7,129.9,128.0,127.7,124.3,65.1,46.6,44.6$, 38.9, 27.2, 21.7

HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 338.1421$; found 338.1434.


S48
(4-(tert-butyl)-2-methylphenyl)(4-((5-(tert-butyl)-2-methylphenyl)thio)but-2-en-1-yl)sulfane S48. According the general method F, the yield of $\mathbf{S 4 8}$ (a white solid) was $28 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $392 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.67-5.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47$ (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 149.4,135.3,134.6,129.9,128.9,126.7,123.4,35.7,34.6,31.5$, 20.0.

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}$: 412.2258; found 412.2257.


S50
(4-(tert-butyl)-2-methylphenyl)(4-(phenylthio)but-2-en-1-yl)sulfane S50. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 4}$ $(480 \mathrm{mg}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $33(290 \mathrm{mg}, 1.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added HoveydaGrubbs catalyst 2nd generation ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was reacted at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The mixture was concentrated under vaccum and the crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a cyclohexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient from 10:0 to $10: 1$ to afford $\mathbf{S 5 0}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 8.9 \%)$ as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 9 2} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 7.28-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 5.64-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 149.3,136.0,135.3,134.5,130.04,129.96,128.9,128.7,126.5$, 126.4, 123.4, 36.2, 35.5, 34.7, 31.5, 20.0.

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{+}: 342.1476$; found 342.1481.


S51
Phenyl (3-phenylallyl)sulfane S51. To a solution of $34(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and styrene $\mathbf{3 5}$ ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst 2nd generation $(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was reacted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The mixture was concentrated under vaccum and the crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a cyclohexane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gradient from 100:0 to 90:10 to afford $\mathbf{S 5 1}$ (105 $\mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ) as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ : 7.39-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.25 (m, 6H), 7.23-7.17 (m, 2H), 6.42 (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 136.9,135.9,132.9,130.4,129.0,128.7,127.7,126.6,126.5$, 125.2, 37.3 .

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~S}^{+}: 226.0816$; found 226.0820.


S52
(4-methoxybut-2-en-1-yl)(phenyl)sulfane S52. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 4}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and allyl methyl ether 36 ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst 2nd generation ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was reacted at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The mixture was concentrated under vaccum and the crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a hexane/EtOAc gradient from 20:0 to 20:1 to afford S52 (37 mg, 29\%) as a colorless oil.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $392 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 7.37-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.73$ (m, 1H), $5.66-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $99 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 135.9,130.0,129.9,129.0,128.7,126.4,72.4,57.9,36.1$.
HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{OS}^{+}$: 194.0765; found 194.0765.


S53
dodecyl(4-(phenylthio)but-2-en-1-yl)sulfane S53. To a solution of $\mathbf{3 4}$ ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and allyl(dodecyl)sulfane $37(68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added Hoveyda-Grubbs catalyst 2nd generation ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was reacted at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 hours. The mixture was concentrated under vaccum and the crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using from $90: 10$ to $85: 15$ to afford $\mathbf{S 5 3}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%)$ as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (392 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ ) $\mathbf{\delta :} 7.33-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (99 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{\delta}: 135.9,130.1,129.9,129.0,127.9,126.4,36.0,33.4,32.1,30.6$, 29.82, 29.79, 29.76, 29.69, 29.5, 29.4, 29.0, 22.8, 14.3 .

HRMS (EI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~S}_{2}: 346.2258$; found 364.2249.

## HPLC product standard curves and calibration

To determine yields through HPLC analysis, calibration curves were constructed using product standards of known amounts (shown in Fig. S6-42). The used HPLC methods were showed in Tables S1-2.

| Reverse-phase HPLC | Flow rate ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Time (min) | \%A ( $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with or w/o 0.1\% TFA) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right. \\ \text { with or w/o } \\ 0.1 \% \text { TFA) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method 1 | 1.0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | 20 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 30 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 31 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | 38 | 50 | 50 |
| Method 2 | 1.0 | 0 | 70 | 30 |
|  |  | 20 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 22 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 22.5 | 30 | 70 |
|  |  | 30 | 70 | 30 |
| Method 3 | 1.0 | 0 | 80 | 20 |
|  |  | 30 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 35 | 80 | 20 |
| Method 4 | 1.0 | 0 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | 20 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 30 | 10 | 90 |
|  |  | 31 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  | 38 | 50 | 50 |

Table S1.
Gradient profiles for reverse-phase HPLC analysis

| Normal-phase HPLC | Flow rate ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Column temperature | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (min) } \end{aligned}$ | \%C (hexane) | \%D (ethanol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method 5 | 1.0 | Ambient temperature | 0 | 99 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 99 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 99 | 1 |
| Method 6 | 1.0 | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 50 | 50 |
|  |  |  | 27 | 99.5 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 99.5 | 0.5 |

Table S2.
Gradient profiles for normal-phase HPLC analysis


Fig. S6. HPLC calibration curve of product 2


Fig. S7. HPLC calibration curve of product S19


Fig. S8. HPLC calibration curve of product S20


Fig. S9. HPLC calibration curve of product S21


Fig. S10. HPLC calibration curve of product S22


Fig. S11. HPLC calibration curve of product S23


Fig. S12. HPLC calibration curve of product S24


Fig. S13. HPLC calibration curve of product S25


Fig. S14. HPLC calibration curve of product S26


Fig. S15. HPLC calibration curve of product S27


Fig. S16. HPLC calibration curve of product S28


Fig. S17. HPLC calibration curve of product S29


Fig. S18. HPLC calibration curve of product S30


Fig. S19. HPLC calibration curve of product S31


Fig. S20. HPLC calibration curve of product $\mathbf{S 3 2}$


Fig. S21. HPLC calibration curve of product $\mathbf{S 3 3}$


Fig. S22. HPLC calibration curve of product S34


Fig. S23. HPLC calibration curve of product S35


Fig. S24. HPLC calibration curve of product S36


Fig. S25. HPLC calibration curve of product S37


Fig. S26. HPLC calibration curve of product S38


Fig. S27. HPLC calibration curve of product S39


Fig. S28. HPLC calibration curve of product S40


Fig. S29. HPLC calibration curve of product S41


Fig. S30. HPLC calibration curve of product S42


Fig. S31. HPLC calibration curve of product S43


Fig. S32. HPLC calibration curve of product S44


Fig. S33. HPLC calibration curve of product S45


Fig. S34. HPLC calibration curve of product S46


Fig. S35. HPLC calibration curve of product $\mathbf{S} 47$


Fig. S36. HPLC calibration curve of product S48


Fig. S37. HPLC calibration curve of product S49


Fig. S38. HPLC calibration curve of product S50


Fig. S39. HPLC calibration curve of product S51


Fig. S40. HPLC calibration curve of product $\mathbf{S 5 2}$


Fig. S41. HPLC calibration curve of product S53


Fig. S42. HPLC calibration curve of drug 39

## Preparation of AlbRu-Cl/-I

In separated 1 mL vials, a $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution of human serum albumin (Alb) in PBS buffer pH 7.4 and a $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution of a Ru complex (Ru-I/or -Cl) in 1,4-dioxane were prepared. The reaction mixture consisted of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of Alb ( $50 \mathrm{nmol}, 167 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution) and $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of a Ru complex ( $66.6 \mathrm{nmol}, 167 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution). The total reaction volumes were adjusted accordingly to ensure $1670 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ 1,4-dioxane in PBS buffer pH 7.4 . Following initiation by the Ru complex addition, reaction mixtures were mildly mixed and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . To remove unbound catalyst, the solution was concentrated and washed with PBS buffer using Amicon® Ultra Centrifugal Filters ( 30 kDa cut-off). Required concentrations of AlbRu-Cl/-I were then prepared accordingly. The characterization of AlbRu$\mathbf{C l} /-\mathbf{I}$ was followed according to our previously reported procedures ${ }^{1}$. The characterization data of AlbRu-I was presented in the supplementary information (see Figs. S84-85)

## Preparation of (cRGD)AlbRu-Cl/-I

To prepare the cRGD-functionalized artificial metalloenzyme used in this study, a solution of the cRGD peptide $40^{37}$ (see Fig. S86) ( $80.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in DMSO) in PBS buffer pH $7.4(3.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was first prepared, followed by the addition of human serum albumin (Alb) $(6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ in PBS buffer pH 7.4 ) and DIPEA reagent $(2.4 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The mixture solution was incubated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . To remove unreacted cRGD peptides, the solution was concentrated and washed with water using Amicon® Ultra Centrifugal Filters ( 30 kDa cut-off). Confirmation of cRGD peptides were made via MALDI-TOF-MS (positive mode), which detected an average molecular weight of 70 kDa (see Fig. S87). This implies that an average of 6.2 molecules of the cRGD peptide were attached to the surface of each protein. In the next stage, a solution of the prepared cRGDfunctionalized Alb ( $120 \mathrm{nmol}, 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution in water) in PBS buffer pH $7.4(3.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was made. The solution of Ru complex ( $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{I} /$ or -Cl ) $(160 \mathrm{nmol}, 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from 400 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ stock solution in dioxane) was then added, and the mixture was mildly mixed and incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . To remove unbound catalyst, the solution was concentrated and washed with PBS buffer using Amicon ${ }^{\circledR}$ Ultra Centrifugal Filters ( 30 kDa cut-off). Required concentrations of (cRGD)AlbRu-Cl/-I were then prepared accordingly.

## Protocols for catalytic olefin metathesis in blood

Reactions (done in triplicate) were generally carried out in Eppendorf tubes containing varying conditions of substrates (1-38) and catalyst (AquaMet catalyst, Ru-Cl/or -I, AlbRu-Cl/or -I, or (cRGD)AlbRu-Cl/or -I) in desired solutions (5:4:1 blood/PBS $\mathrm{pH} 7.4 / 1,4$-dioxane or $8: 1: 1$ blood/PBS $\mathrm{pH} 7.4 / 1,4$-dioxane) The resulting mixtures were typically incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To workup, mixtures were cooled to room temperature and then 10 mM of 1-dodecanethiol in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the Ru catalyst. Solutions were then centrifuged for 10 min at $16900 \mathrm{x} g$ and the supernatants were analyzed by HPLC.
For entries 1-5 and 7 of Fig. 2A, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with either AlbRu-Cl, AlbRu-I, or the AquaMet catalyst ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.2 mM stock solution in PBS ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ); $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.25 mM stock solution in PBS ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ ), followed by dilution with PBS buffer pH 7.4 (60 or $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, respectively), and adding substrate $1(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 20 mM stock solution in $1,4-$ dioxane). The resulting mixtures were incubated for specified time ( 3 or 24 h ) at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For entry 6 of Fig. 2A, $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with AlbRu-I ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.2 mM stock solution ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) ) and substrate $\mathbf{1}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 20 mM stock solution 1,4 -dioxane) without dilution with PBS buffer. The resulting mixture was incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
For Fig. 2B, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with either AlbRu-Cl, AlbRu-I, Ru-Cl or Ru-I (AlbRu-Cl/or -I ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.2 mM stock solution in PBS ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) ) ; Ru-Cl/ or $-\mathrm{I}(10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.4 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ )). The resulting mixture was incubated for a specified time $(0,5,15,30$, and 60 min for $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{Cl} ; 0,5,15,45,120$, and 360 min for AlbRu$\mathbf{C l}$; and $0,5,15,45,120,360 \mathrm{~min}$, and 24 h for AlbRu-I) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After that, the mixture solution was added necessary volumes of PBS buffer pH 7.4 , followed by addition of substrate $\mathbf{1}$ ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 20 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane). The total reaction volume was $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \%$ 1,4-dioxane and $40 \%$ PBS buffer in the blood mixture. The reaction mixture was incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for additional 3 h .

For Fig. 2D and 3A-B, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with either AlbRu-CI or AlbRu-I ( 40 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ from a 0.25 mM stock solution in PBS ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) ), followed by dilution with PBS buffer pH $7.4(40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and adding varying substrates (3-31) ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 20 mM stock solution in $1,4-$ dioxane). The obtained mixture was incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For Fig. 3C-I, $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with AlbRu-I ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 1.25 mM stock solution in PBS ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) ), followed by addition of varying substrates (32-34) ( $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 100 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane). The obtained mixture was incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
For Fig. 3C-II, $12.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with AlbRu-I ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 1.25 mM stock solution in PBS ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) ), followed by addition of stock solution of substrate $\mathbf{3 4}$ ( $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 200 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane) and varying substrates ( $\mathbf{3 3}$ and $\mathbf{3 5 - 3 7}$ ) ( $1.25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 400 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane). The obtained mixture was incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
For Fig. 4, $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of sheep blood was mixed with either (cRGD)AlbRu-Cl or (cRGD)AlbRu-I ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 0.25 mM stock solution in PBS ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ )), followed by dilution with PBS buffer $\mathrm{pH} 7.4(40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and adding prodrug $38(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ from a 20 mM stock solution in 1,4-dioxane). The obtained mixture was incubated for 3 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

1
2


Fig. S43. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 1, B) product 2, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


3
S19


Fig. S44. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 3, B) product S19, and C) analysis of reaction of 3 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S45. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 4, B) product S20, and C) analysis of reaction of 4 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S46. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 5, B) product S21, and C) analysis of reaction of 5 in blood by AlbRu-I.


(B)

(C)


Fig. S47. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 6, B) product $\mathbf{S 2 2}$, and C) analysis of reaction of 6 in blood by AlbRu-I.
(A)

Fig. S48. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 7, B) product S23, and C) analysis of reaction of 7 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S49. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 8, B) product S24, and C) analysis of reaction of 8 in blood by AlbRu-I.
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(C)


Fig. S50. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 9, B) product S25, and C) analysis of reaction of 9 in blood by AlbRu-I.



10 S26

Fig. S51. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 10, B) product S26, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S52. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 11, B) product $\mathbf{S 2 7}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 1}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S53. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 12, B) product S28, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 2}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.

13
S29


Fig. S54. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 13, B) product $\mathbf{S 2 9}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 3}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S55. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 14, B) product S30, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S56. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 15, B) product $\mathbf{S 3 1}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 5}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S57. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 16, B) product $\mathbf{S 3 2}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 6}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S58. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 17, B) product S33, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 7}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S59. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 18, B) product S34, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 8}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


19


Fig. S60. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 19, B) product $\mathbf{S 3 5}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{1 9}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


20

(C)


Fig. S61. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 20, B) product S36, and C) analysis of reaction of 20 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S62. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 21, B) product S37, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 1}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.

22
S38

(C)


Fig. S63. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 22, B) product S38, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 2}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.

23
S39


Fig. S64. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 23, B) product S39, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 3}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.

24
S40


Fig. S65. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 24, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 0}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


(B)

(C)


Fig. S66. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 25, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 1}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 5}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S67. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 26, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 2}$, and C) analysis of reaction of 26 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S68. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 27, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 3}$, and C) analysis of reaction of 27 in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S69. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 28, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 4}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 8}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S70. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 29, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 4}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{2 9}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


(B)

(C)


Fig. S71. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 30, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 5}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 0}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.

31
S46
(A)

(B)
(C)


Fig. S72. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 31, B) product S46, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 1}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S73. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 32, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 7}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 2}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



(C)


Fig. S74. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 33, B) product $\mathbf{S 4 8}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 3}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


(C)

Fig. S75. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 34, B) product S49, and C) analysis of reaction of 34 in blood by AlbRu-I.


34
 (5/4/1), $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$


S50
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Fig. S76. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 34, B) substrate 33 C) product S50, and D) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 3}$ with $\mathbf{3 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


(C)

(B)

(D)


Fig. S77. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 34, B) substrate 35, C) product S51, and D) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 5}$ with $\mathbf{3 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


34
36
S52
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Fig. S78. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 34, B) product $\mathbf{S 5 2}$, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 6}$ with $\mathbf{3 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.



Fig. S79. Example HPLC traces of A) substrate 34, B) product S53, and C) analysis of reaction of $\mathbf{3 7}$ with $\mathbf{3 4}$ in blood by AlbRu-I.


Prodrug 38

Drug 39
(A)

Fig. S80. Example HPLC traces of A) prodrug 38, B) drug 39, and C) analysis of reaction of 38 in blood by (cRGD)AlbRu-I.


| Entry | Catalyst | HPLC yield <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ru-Cl | $27.0 \pm 1.2$ |
| 2 | Ru-I | $48.9 \pm 2.1$ |

Fig. S81. Ring-closing metathesis by $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl} /-\mathrm{I}$ in PBS solution. Reaction conditions: substrate 1 $(2 \mathrm{mM})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl} /$ or $-\mathrm{I}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were reacted in a mixture of PBS/1,4-dioxane (9:1). Incubations were carried out in triplicate at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Given HPLC yields were determined by HPLC analysis (peak retention times relative to product standards, followed by MS analysis for confirmation, and calculation of resultant yields based on product standard curves).

The result of Fig. S81 showed that by using the same loading amount ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Ru-I could afford a higher yield of 2 ( $49 \%$ ) than using $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Cl}$ (27\%), indicating that the iodine ligand enhanced the reactivity and stability of the Ru catalyst in aqueous media.

In a recent report by D. Fogg, ${ }^{38}$ they found that the iodine ligand could reduce the sensitivity of the Ru-based Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst toward moisture. Since ROH...Cl-Ru interactions have been reported for related metathesis catalysis, ${ }^{39}$ they deduced that one probable contributor to improved tolerance toward water is the limited capacity of Ru-I catalyst to enter into hydrogen-bonding interactions with water. Our results from the reference experiment also confirmed this fact.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | HPLC yield (\%) |
| 1 | AlbRu-Cl | PBS/1,4-dioxane (9/1) | $12.1 \pm 0.6$ |
| 2 | AlbRu-I | PBS/1,4-dioxane (9/1) | $47.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| 3 | AlbRu-I | $\begin{gathered} \text { PBS/1,4-dioxane (9/1) } \\ +\mathrm{NaCl}(0.1 \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $51.7 \pm 0.6$ |
| 4 | AlbRu-Cl | $\begin{gathered} \text { PBS/1,4-dioxane (9/1) } \\ +\mathrm{NaI}(0.1 \mathrm{M}) \end{gathered}$ | $15.2 \pm 0.6$ |

Fig. S82. Ring-closing metathesis by AlbRu-Cl/-I in PBS solution. Reaction conditions: substrate $\mathbf{1}(2 \mathrm{mM})$ and AlbRu-Cl/or -I ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were reacted in various mixtures of PBS solution as indicated. Incubations were carried out in triplicate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Given HPLC yields were determined by HPLC analysis (peak retention times relative to product standards, followed by MS analysis for confirmation, and calculation of resultant yields based on product standard curves).



Fig. S83. Olefin metathesis by AlbRu-I in blood solution. Reaction conditions: substrates (2 mM ) and AlbRu-I ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were reacted in a mixture of blood/PBS/1,4-dioxane (5:4:1). Incubations were carried out in triplicate at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Given HPLC yields were determined by HPLC analysis (peak retention times relative to product standards, followed by MS analysis for confirmation, and calculation of resultant yields based on product standard curves).

## Characterization of AlbRu-I protein complex.

As our previous study ${ }^{1}$, a method to monitor binding of Ru-I with albumin was used. The approach is based on the quenching of intrinsic albumin fluorescence at $\lambda_{\mathrm{EX}}=280 \mathrm{~nm} / \lambda_{\mathrm{EM}}=320$ nm (due to aromatic amino acids like tryptophan) upon coumarin ligand of Ru-I binding. Binding affinity parameters obtained in this study were done through spectrofluorometric analysis via a SpectraMax iD3 multi-mode microplate reader (Molecular Devices).

## Binding site confirmation

By utilizing known site marker ligands of albumin, the potential binding site for Ru-I can be indirectly determined. In literature, there are two well-known binding regions: Sudlow's site I (located in subdomain IIA) and Sudlow's site II (located in subdomain IIIA). Bulky, heterocyclic molecules (ex/ warfarin) are known to bind to site I while aromatic carboxylates (ex/ ibuprofen) have a preference for site II. Both warfarin and ibuprofen are known to bind albumin with low micromolar $K_{D}$.
Experimentally, a $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ solution of albumin was preincubated with 2 equivalents of either site marker ligand (warfarin, ibuprofen) for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The albumin/site marker ligand mixture was then added with Ru-I to construct saturation binding curves, which are shown in Fig. S84. From this data, it can be clearly seen that binding of Ru-I remains unaffected in the presence of ibuprofen, but decreases significantly with warfarin. This data suggests that the main binding site of Ru-I is Sudlow's site I.


Fig. S84.Saturation binding curves based on the fluorescent quenching of albumin (black line), albumin preincubated with warfarin (dotted line), and albumin preincubated with ibuprofen (grey line). Preincubation was carried out with $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of albumin and $40 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of either PBS buffer (control), warfarin, or ibuprofen for 1 hour at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $10 \%$ dioxane/PBS buffer pH 7.4 . And then, an additional incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour was done with various concentrations of Ru-I. Fluorescence quenching was monitored at $\lambda_{\mathrm{EX}}=280 \mathrm{~nm} / \lambda_{\mathrm{EM}}=320 \mathrm{~nm}$. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three replicated measurements.

## Dissociation constant ( $K_{D}$ ) for Ru-I ligand

For sample preparation, $10 \times$ stock solutions of compound $\mathbf{R u}$-I were made in dioxane. $10 \times$ stock solutions of albumin was alternatively prepared in PBS buffer pH 7.4 . For binding affinity experiments, reagents were diluted from their stock solutions to $1 \times$ final concentrations of $10 \%$ dioxane/PBS buffer. Adjustments to experimental conditions were made to albumin concentrations ( $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), ligand concentrations ( $0,5,10,15,20,25,30,40,50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), as described. During incubations, mixtures in separated Eppendorf tubes were placed in a temperaturecontrolled oven at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Fig. S85. Saturation binding curves based on the fluorescent quenching of albumin when bound to Ru-I. Experiments were conducted at albumin concentrations of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (black line). The measured equilibrium dissociation constants ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) were determined by non-linear regression. Incubations were performed at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour in $10 \%$ dioxane/PBS buffer pH 7.4 and monitored at $\lambda_{\mathrm{EX}}=280 \mathrm{~nm} / \lambda_{\mathrm{EM}}=320 \mathrm{~nm}$. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three replicated measurements.


(cRGD)Alb

Fig. S86. Preparation of the (cRGD)Alb


Fig. S87. MALDI-TOF-MS spectra of (cRGD)Alb

## Cell culture

Cell line was obtained from the RIKEN Cell Bank and typically incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $5 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ humidified environment. SW620 (human colon adenocarcinoma cancer cells) was cultured in Leibovitz's L-15 medium (Wako-Fujifilm) supplemented with $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum (Biowest) and $1 \%$ penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco).

## Cell viability experiments

Cell viability was determined using a MTS assay, which monitors the reduction of MTS tetrazolium salts to formazan via mitochondrial dehydrogenase of metabolically active cells. The commercial kit used in this study was the CellTiter 96® AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Wisconsin, USA). Based on cell titration experiments to ensure controls do not reach the stationary phase at the time of analysis, $\sim 10^{3}$ SW620 cancer cells were plated in each well of 96 -well Falcon ${ }^{\circledR}$ microplates and grown overnight. The medium was then removed, followed by the incubation of compounds used in this patent. Generally, $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the compound in $10 \% \mathrm{DMSO} /$ media solution was added to $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of media, giving a final DMSO concentration of $1 \%$.
$\mathrm{GR}_{50}$ values represent concentrations that gives half maximal growth rate inhibition. For evaluation of GR $5_{50}$ values for SW620 cancer cells (Fig. S88), various concentrations ( $0,0.0078$, $0.0156,0.031,0.062,0.125,0.25,0.5,1,2,4,8,16,32$, and $64 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) of prodrug 38 and drug 39 were tested. Following an incubation period of 4 days, media was replaced with a solution of MTS reagent $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in growth media $(80 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Following a further 2-hour incubation at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, end-point absorbance was acquired at 490 nm via a SpectraMax iD3 multi-mode microplate reader (Molecular Devices). The background control for this assay was the mixture of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ MTS reagent and $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium in the absence of cells. The $100 \%$ growth was taken from cells incubated with $1 \%$ DMSO in growth medium. Obtained GR $_{50}$ values were calculated via GraphPad Prism (version 7.0d) software using fitting based on the sigmoidal dose response equation.


Fig. S88. Cancer cell growth curves aimed at exploring the effects $\left(\mathrm{GR}_{50}\right)$ of either prodrug 38 (black) and drug 39 (red) to cultures of SW620 cancer cells. GR ${ }_{50}$ values represent concentrations that gives half maximal growth rate inhibition.

For in cellulo prodrug activation studies by (cRGD)Alb-I/or - Cl (Fig. S89), varying concentrations $(0.25,0.5,1.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of (cRGD)Alb-I/or -Cl were incubated with a fixed nontoxic concentration of prodrug $38(1.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$. On the other hand, the concentration of prodrug 38 (1.0 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ and various concentrations $(0.25,0.5,1.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of (cRGD)Alb-I/or -Cl were tested as control groups. As a positive control, drug $39(1.0 \mu \mathrm{M})$ was used. Following an incubation period of 4 days, media was replaced with a solution of MTS reagent $(20 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in growth media $(80 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Following a further 2 h incubation at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, end-point absorbance was acquired at 490 nm via a SpectraMax iD3 multi-mode microplate reader (Molecular Devices). The background control for this assay was the mixture of $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ MTS reagent and $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ medium in the absence of cells. The $100 \%$ growth was taken from cells incubated with $1 \%$ DMSO in growth medium.


Fig. S89. Drug synthesis by the cRGD-linked ruthenium-containging artificial metalloenzymes ((cRGD)AlbRu-I/or -CI) against SW620 cancer cells growth. (A) Schematic of cancer-targeted activation of the prodrug 38 into drug 39 using (cRGD)AlbRu-I/or -Cl. (B) Cytostatic assays were conducted using SW620 cancer cells by (B) (cRGD)AlbRu-I or (C) (cRGD)AlbRu-Cl. Error bars represent the S.D. of three replicated measurements.


HPLC yield (\%): $34.2 \pm 1.3$
Fig. S90. Drug 39 synthesis from prodrug 38 by using (cRGD)AlbRu-I in a mixture of blood/PBS/DMSO (8.5/1/0.5). Reaction conditions: prodrug 38 ( 3 mM ) and (cRGD)AlbRu-I ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were reacted at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Incubations were carried out in triplicate. Given HPLC yields were determined by HPLC analysis (peak retention times relative to product standards, followed by MS analysis for confirmation, and calculation of resultant yields based on product standard curves).

## Animal experiments

All animal experiments were carried out with approval by RIKEN's Animal Ethics Committee. In general, mice were anesthetized with $2.5 \%$ isoflurane in oxygen at a flow rate of 2.5-3.0 L/min. SW620 xenograft tumors were established in 6-week-old female nude mice BALB/cAJcl$\mathrm{nu} / \mathrm{nu}$ by subcutaneous injection of cells (approximately $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ cells in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of unnourished Leibovitz's L-15) into the right shoulder. Tumor growth was monitored while mice were housed in a facility with controlled temperature, salinity, aeration, and a standard 12 h light $/ 12 \mathrm{~h}$ dark cycle. Stock samples were prepared as follows. For the saline stock solution, DMSO ( $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) was added with Tween $80(60 \mu \mathrm{l})$, followed by the addition of a $0.9 \%$ saline solution $(505 \mu \mathrm{l})$. For the prodrug 38 stock solution, 38 ( 7.0 mg ) was first dissolved in DMSO ( $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), followed by the addition of Tween $80(60 \mu \mathrm{l})$, and then a $0.9 \%$ saline solution ( $505 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). For the drug 39 stock solution, $\mathbf{3 9}(3.9 \mathrm{mg})$ was first dissolved in DMSO ( $35 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ), followed by the addition of Tween 80 $(60 \mu \mathrm{l})$, and then a $0.9 \%$ saline solution $(505 \mu \mathrm{l})$. For the stock solution of (cRGD)Ru-Cl at a dose of 20 or $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, (cRGD)Ru-CI was prepared in PBS ( 55 or $110 \mu$ l, respectively, 500 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ), followed by the addition of a $0.9 \%$ saline solution ( 445 or $390 \mu \mathrm{l}$, respectively). For the stock solution of (cRGD)Ru-I at a dose of 20 or $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, (cRGD)Ru-I was prepared in PBS ( 55 or $110 \mu \mathrm{l}$, respectively, $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ), followed by the addition of a $0.9 \%$ saline solution ( 445 or $390 \mu \mathrm{l}$, respectively). On day 1 following tumor implantation, SW620-bearing mice were randomly divided into 6 groups: saline control (group 1, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ); drug 39 only (group 2, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ); (cRGD)Ru-Cl at a dose of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and prodrug 38 (group 3, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ); (cRGD)Ru-Cl at a dose of $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and prodrug 38 (group 4, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ); (cRGD)Ru-I at a dose of $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and prodrug 38 (group 5, $\mathrm{n}=3$ ); (cRGD)Ru-I at a dose of $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and prodrug 38 (group $6, \mathrm{n}=3$ ). By intravenous administration, each mouse in group 1 received saline ( $100 \mu$ l stock solution); each mouse in group 2 received $32.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of drug 39 ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ stock solution); each mouse in group 3 received $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of (cRGD)Ru-Cl ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ stock solution), followed by $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of prodrug 38; each mouse in group 4 received $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of (cRGD)Ru-Cl ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ stock solution), followed by $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of prodrug 38; each mouse in group 5 received $20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of (cRGD)Ru-I ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ stock solution), followed by $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of prodrug 38; each mouse in group 6 received $40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of (cRGD)Ru-I ( $100 \mu$ l stock solution), followed by $58 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of prodrug 38. Treatments were done daily for 8 total injections. Tumor volume was quantified using a caliper and calculated as width ${ }^{2} \mathrm{x}$ length x 0.5 . The tumor volume and body weight of the mice were recorded until day 17 post-injection.


Fig. S91. Body weight $(n=3)$ change of various treatments group mice. Data are represented as mean value. Data are represented as mean value $\pm \mathrm{SD}, n=3$ biological independent samples.
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