Supporting Information for:

Machine learning from quantum chemistry to predict experimental solvent effects on reaction rates

Yunsie Chung[†] and William H. Green^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 02139, U.S.A

E-mail: whgreen@mit.edu





Figure S1: Distributions of the pre-training data set for the reactions in all solvents (top), in water (middle), and in n-hexane (bottom). The absolute mean (abs. mean), mean and standard deviation (std.) are in kcal/mol. Water and n-hexane are chosen as sample solvents for data visualization.

S2 Details on the fine-tuning data set



Figure S2: Templates of the reaction types found in the fine-tuning set.

A subset of the fine-tuning data set was selected for the model training. Around 25 solvents were sampled per each reaction with following procedure:

- Water, acetic acid, and acetonitrile are always included.
- Randomly sample 17 solvents from the following list of 44 more common solvents: carbon tetrachloride, benzene, tert-Butanol, hexane, anisole, T-amyl alcohol, ethyl acetate, propionitrile, chlorobenzene, methylformate, dioxane, tetrahydrofuran, tetra-chloroethylene, bromobenzene, benzonitrile, cyclohexane, diethyl ether, methanol, 2-propanol, dichloromethane, chloroform, sulfolane, DMF, ethanol, 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol, acetone, toluene, isopropyl ether, veratrole, nitrobenzene, nitromethane, triethylene glycol, N-benzylacetamide, formamide, ethylene glycol, 2-methoxyethanol, benzyl alcohol, 2-phenoxyethanol, N-methylformamide, dimethyl sulfoxide, glycerol, isooctane, 3-methyl-3-pentanol, and 1-butanol.
- Sample 5 solvents from the remaining solvents.



Figure S3: Distributions of the fine-tuning data set for the reactions in all solvents (top), in water (middle), and in n-hexane (bottom). The absolute mean (abs. mean), mean and standard deviation (std.) are in kcal/mol. Water and n-hexane are chosen as sample solvents for data visualization.

S3 Reactions in the experimental set



Table S1: List of reactions found in the experimental set.





 a The rates constants of Reaction 6 are reported relative to the rate constants of Reaction 1. b The first step was used for the ML predictions for these reactions as the first step was previously identified as a rate limiting step.

S4 Details on the ML model

Atom features	Type	Bond features	Type
Atomic number	One-hot	Bond type	One-hot
Number of bonds	One-hot	Conjugation	One-hot
Formal charge	One-hot	In ring	One-hot
Ring size	One-hot	Stereo	One-hot
Connected hydrogen atoms	One-hot		
Hybridization	One-hot		
Aromaticity	One-hot		
Molar mass	Numeric		

Table S2: Default atom and bond features used for the model.

Table S	53: Op	otimized	hyperp	parameters.
---------	--------	----------	--------	-------------

Туре	Optimized value	
D-MPNN hidden size	Reaction: 900, Solvent: 200	
D-MPNN depth	Reaction: 4, Solvent: 2	
D-MPNN bias	No	
Aggregation	Normalized	
FNN hidden size	400	
FNN depth	6	
FNN bias	Yes	
Activation function	ReLu	
Dropout	0.0	
Batch size	30	
Warm-up epochs	4	
Max epochs for pre-training	80	
Max epochs for fine-tuning	10	
Learning rates (initial, max, final)	1.8e-4, 2.2e-4, 5.2e-5	

S5 Results on the pre-training set



Figure S4: Parity plots and histograms of the $\Delta\Delta G_{\rm solv}^{\ddagger}$ errors on the 5-fold pre-training set reaction split. (a) The pre-trained model with no additional feature. (b) The pre-trained model with the RP-solv feature. (c) The fine-tuned model with no additional feature. (d) The fine-tuned model with the RP-solv feature. The MAE and RMSE are in kcal/mol. The numbers of reactions, solvents, total data points found in the test set are provided.



Figure S5: Parity plots and histograms of the $\Delta\Delta H_{\rm solv}^{\ddagger}$ errors on the 5-fold pre-training set reaction split. (a) The pre-trained model with no additional feature. (b) The pre-trained model with the RP-solv feature. (c) The fine-tuned model with no additional feature. (d) The fine-tuned model with the RP-solv feature. The MAE and RMSE are in kcal/mol. The numbers of reactions, solvents, total data points found in the test set are provided.



Figure S6: Parity plots and histograms of the test errors on the 5-fold pre-training set solvent split. The results correspond to the pre-trained model with the RP-solv feature. (a) $\Delta\Delta G_{\rm solv}^{\ddagger}$ results. (b) $\Delta\Delta H_{\rm solv}^{\ddagger}$ results. The MAE and RMSE are in kcal/mol. The numbers of reactions, solvents, total data points found in the test set are provided.

Table S4: Top 20 reactions with the highest RMSE on the pre-training test set with the reaction split. The test errors are computed with the fine-tuned model with no additional feature. The RMSE, mean $\Delta\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{\text{solv}}$ of the reaction across different solvents, and gas phase barrier height $(E_{a, \text{ gas}})$ are shown in kcal/mol for both forward and reverse directions. The gas phase barrier heights are obtained from the published works by Grambow et el.^{1;2;3}













Table S5: Examples of reactions found in the 10 most frequent reaction types







References

- [1] Grambow, C. A.; Pattanaik, L.; Green, W. H. Reactants, products, and transition states of elementary chemical reactions based on quantum chemistry. *Sci. Data* **2020**, *7*.
- [2] Grambow, C. A.; Pattanaik, L.; Green, W. H. Reactants, products, and transition states of elementary chemical reactions based on quantum chemistry (1.0.1) [Data set]. Zenodo 2020, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3715478.
- [3] Grambow, C. A. Reactants, products, and transition states of radical reactions (1.0.0) [Data set]. Zenodo **2020**, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.3731554.