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1. Materials and Techniques

All commercial solvents and reagents were used as purchased, unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous solvents were degassed with N₂ and dried using an Innovative Technology PureSolv MD 5 solvent purification system. Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ was stored in a desiccator. 1-Azidohexane,¹ tris((1-benzyl-4-triazolyl)methyl)amine (TBTA),² and tris-hydroxypropyltriazolymethylamine (THPTA)³ were prepared following literature procedures. Water was distilled and microfiltered using an ELGA DV 35 Purelab water purification system. Chromatography was undertaken using silica gel (particle size: 40-63 μm) or preparative TLC plates (20 × 20 cm, 1 cm silica thickness).

All NMR spectra (1D: ¹H, ¹³C; 2D: ¹H−¹H COSY, ¹H−¹H NOSEY, ¹H−¹³C HSQC, and ¹H−¹³C HMBC) were recorded at 298 K using Bruker AVIII400 (400 MHz), Bruker AV NEO 400 (400 MHz), or Bruker AV NEO 500 (500 MHz) spectrometers. These NMR spectra were also used for assignment of peaks in 1D ¹H NMR spectra. Mass spectra were recorded using a Waters Synapt G2-S mass spectrometer or a Waters Xevo G2-XS mass spectrometer for high resolution MS-ESI or MS-ASAP.
2. Synthesis and Characterisation

Compounds 6-8 were isolated as a 2:1 inseparable mixture of 1,7 and 1,6 regioisomers. Macrocycles 1-2 were isolated as the pure 1,7 regioisomer since removal of the 1,6 regioisomer was possible by silica gel column chromatography at this stage. Acyclic compounds 3-5 were initially isolated as a regioisomeric mixture and purified by HPLC. HPLC purifications were performed using a COSMOSIL Buckyprep Packed Column (10.0 mm I.D. × 250 mm) on an Agilent 1290 Infinity analytical HPLC instrument at a flow rate of 3 mL/minute, with a detection wavelength of 500 nm. The eluents for each chromatogram are specified in the text.

Note that for clarity, the chiral nomenclature of BINOL and related compounds have replaced R- and S- with M- and P- labels respectively.

Scheme S1: Multistep synthesis of PDI compounds 1-11. 1-Azidohexane, TBTA, and THPTA were prepared following literature procedures.
General procedure for compounds 1–5: Copper(I)-catalysed Azide-Alkyne Cycloaddition (CuAAC)

Alkyne and organic azide were dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and degassed by bubbling a stream of N$_2$ for 15 min. TBTA or THPTA and Cu(MeCN)$_4$PF$_6$ was then sequentially added, and the resulting mixture was left to stir at rt under an atmosphere of N$_2$ for a specified time, or until reaching completion as monitored by TLC. After which, the reaction mixture concentrated in vacuo and purified via flash column chromatography.

1,4-Di(4-butoxy)benzene PDI macrocycle 1a

The general procedure above was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (120 mg, 0.158 mmol, 1 eq), 1,4-di(4-azidobutoxy)benzene 11a (48.1 mg, 0.158 mmol, 1 eq), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (260 mL), TBTA (33.5 mg, 0.0633 mmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)$_4$∙PF$_6$ (23.6 mg, 0.0633 mmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after four days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 0.4:100 MeOH:CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to give macrocycle 1a as a purple solid (27 mg, 24%).

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ 8.84 (2H, s, Ar Ortho PDI), 8.38 (2H, d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, ArH Bay PDI), 8.01 (2H, s, CH triazole), 7.50 (2H, t, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, iPr$_2$-ArH), 7.35 (4H, app dd, $J$ = 7.7, iPr$_2$-ArH), 6.11 (4H, s, ArH oxybenzene), 4.63 (2H, ddd, $J$ = 14.0, 8.5, 3.7 Hz, O(CH$_2$)$_3$CH$_2$H$_2$N), 4.54 (2H, ddd, $J$ = 14.0, 6.8, 3.7 Hz, O(CH$_2$)$_3$CH$_2$H$_2$N), 3.36 – 3.23 (4H, m, OCH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_2$N), 2.85 (2H, hept, $J$ = 6.9 Hz, CH iPr), 2.71 (2H, hept, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, CH iPr), 2.23 – 2.02 (4H, m, OCH$_2$CH$_2$(CH$_2$)$_2$N), 1.77 – 1.55 (4H, m, O(CH$_2$)$_2$CH$_2$CH$_2$N), 1.24 (1H, d, $J$ = 6.9 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$ iPr), 1.22 (2H, d, $J$ = 6.9 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$ iPr), 1.16 (3H, d, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$ iPr), 1.24 (1H, d, $J$ = 6.7 Hz, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$ iPr)

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.5, 163.3, 152.3, 147.5, 147.0, 145.8, 145.6, 135.0, 134.6, 133.4, 130.5, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 128.5, 124.4, 124.1, 123.5, 122.8, 122.4, 114.7, 77.5, 77.2, 76.8, 66.3, 50.3, 29.8, 29.5, 29.3, 25.8, 24.2, 24.2, 24.1, 24.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C$_{66}$H$_{63}$N$_8$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+*: 1063.4871; found 1063.4869
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 1a (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1a (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 1a.

1,4-Di(6-hexoxy)benzene PDI macrocycle 1b

The general procedure above was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (150 mg, 0.198 mmol, 1 eq), 1,4-di(6-azidoctoxy)benzene 1b (75.0 mg, 0.198 mmol, 1 eq), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (600 mL), TBTA (45 mg, 79.1 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)$_4$∙PF$_6$ (30 mg, 79.1 µmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after four days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 0.4:100 MeOH:CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to give macrocycle 1b a purple solid (33.7 mg, 23%).

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.74 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.37 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.15 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.65 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.49 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr$_2$-ArH), 7.37 – 7.31 (m, 4H, iPr$_2$-ArH), 6.19 (s, 4H, ArH oxybenzene), 4.64 (ddd, J = 13.9, 7.5, 3.9 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$ArH), 4.48 (ddd, J = 13.9, 8.1, 3.9 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$ArH), 3.60 – 3.37 (m, 4H, OCH$_2$), 2.79 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH iPr), 2.68 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H, CH iPr), 2.22 – 2.04 (m, 2H NCH$_2$CH$_2$ArH), 2.04 – 1.87 (m, 2H, NCH$_2$CH$_2$ArH), 1.72 – 1.25 (m, 12H, CH$_2$), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.18 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.15 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.13 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr).

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.5, 163.2, 152.6, 147.5, 145.8, 145.7, 135.8, 135.1, 133.8, 130.5, 129.9, 129.7, 129.5, 129.3, 129.0, 124.4, 124.2, 122.7, 122.7, 122.2, 114.7, 67.4, 49.9, 29.3, 29.3, 29.1, 28.2, 24.6, 24.4, 24.3, 24.3, 24.2, 24.1.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C$_{70}$H$_{71}$N$_8$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 1119.5497; found 1119.5502
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 1b (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 1b (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 1b.
P-BINOL butyl PDI macrocycle 2a

The general procedure above followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (100 mg, 0.132 mmol, 1 eq), P-2,2'-bis((4-azidobutyl)oxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene 12a (63.3 mg, 0.132 mmol, 1 eq), CH_2Cl_2 (600 mL), THPTA (23 mg, 52.7 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)_5PF_6 (20 mg, 52.7 µmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after six days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO_2, 0.4:100 → 0.6:100 MeOH:CH_2Cl_2), then by preparative TLC (SiO_2, 1:100 MeOH:CH_2Cl_2) to give the two diastereomers of the macrocycle PM-2a and PP-2a as purple solids (2.0 mg, 2%; 2.0 mg, 2%).

Diastereomer 1, PM-2a:

^1^H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 9.36 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.48 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.55 – 7.48 (m, 4H, iPr-ArH), 7.36 (app ddd, J = 11.8, 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 4H, iPr2-ArH), 7.30 – 7.26 (obs m, 2H, C(6)H), 7.24 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.18 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.10 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.07 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 6.77 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 4.54 (ddd, J = 13.9, 7.9, 3.5 Hz, 2H, NCH_AHb), 3.83 (ddd, J = 13.9, 7.5, 3.1 Hz, 2H, NCH_AHb), 3.73 (ddd, J = 9.7, 7.7, 3.6 Hz, 2H, OCH_AHb), 3.52 – 3.41 (m, 2H, OCH_AHb), 2.81 (dh, J = 13.7, 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH iPr), 1.22 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.19 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.12 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.08 (m, 4H, CH_2).

^13^C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 163.5, 163.0, 153.8, 147.2, 145.8, 145.4, 134.8, 134.4, 133.7, 132.5, 130.4, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 127.5, 126.5, 125.4, 124.3, 124.2, 124.1, 123.2, 122.8, 122.3, 120.3, 115.5, 69.2, 50.5, 29.7, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 24.1, 24.0, 24.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C_{60}H_{71}N_{8}O_{8} [M+H]^+: 1239.5497; found 1239.5510

Diastereomer 2, PP-2a:

^1^H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 9.17 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.38 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.69 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.64 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr2-ArH), 7.36 (app ddd, J = 7.8, 4.4, 1.4 Hz, 4H, iPr2-ArH), 7.29 – 7.23 (obs m, 2H, C(6)H), 7.18 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.15 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.06 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 7.01 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 4.50 (dt, J = 14.2, 4.7 Hz, 2H, NCH_AHb), 4.12 (ddd, J = 14.2, 10.6, 3.6 Hz, 2H, NCH_AHb), 3.84 (td, J = 9.5, 5.3 Hz, 2H, OCH_AHb), 3.54 (ddd, J = 9.5, 8.4, 6.6 Hz, 2H, OCH_AHb), 2.82 – 2.70 (m, 4H, CH iPr), 1.64 – 1.57 (obs m, 2H, NCH_AHb), 1.52 – 1.43 (obs m, 2H, NCH_AHb), 1.21 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.19 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.18 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2 iPr), 1.24 – 1.08 (m, 4H, OCH_2CH_2).
$^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.6, 163.2, 153.9, 147.3, 145.9, 145.5, 134.9, 134.5, 133.8, 132.7, 130.6, 130.0, 129.1, 128.7, 127.6, 126.7, 125.6, 124.4, 124.3, 124.3, 123.3, 122.9, 122.4, 120.5, 115.6, 69.4, 50.6, 29.9, 29.5, 29.4, 26.3, 24.3, 24.2, 24.2, 24.1.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C$_{80}$H$_{71}$N$_8$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 1239.5497; found 1239.5508

$^1$H NMR spectrum of PM-2a (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of PM-2a (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
$^{1}$H NMR spectrum of **PP-2a** (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of **PP-2a** (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound \textit{PM-2a}.
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound **PP-2a**.
The general procedure above was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (113 mg, 0.149 mmol, 1 eq), 
P-2,2'-bis((6-azidoethyl)oxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene P-12b (80.0 mg, 0.149 mmol, 1 eq), CH₂Cl₂ (680 mL), TBTA (31 mg, 59.6 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (22 mg, 59.6 µmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after four days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.5:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) to give two diastereomers of macrocycle PM-2b and PP-2b as purple solids. Diastereomer 1 PM-2b did not require any further purification (54.0 mg, 42%), whilst diastereomer 2 PP-2b was further purified by preparative TLC (SiO₂, 1:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) (isolated 4.3 mg, 3.3%, calculated yield from crude NMR 11%). The ratio of diastereomers 1 and 2 are calculated to be 4:1 by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product (see Section 3.4).

The reaction was repeated with its enantiomer M-12b to yield MP-2b and MM-2b with near identical results and spectroscopic data (excluding CD and chiral HPLC).

Diastereomer 1 PM-2b:

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.14 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.55 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.47 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.49 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.41 – 7.34 (m, 4H, iPr₂-ArH, C(5)H), 7.29 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.26 – 7.22 (m, 2H, C(6)H), 7.21 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.15 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.00 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 6.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(9)H), 6.79 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(10)H), 4.53 (ddd, J = 13.6, 6.1, 4.1 Hz, 2H, NCH₃H₃), 3.78 (ddd, J = 13.6, 9.6, 3.5 Hz, 2H, NCH₃H₃), 3.74 – 3.68 (m, 2H, OCH₃H₃), 3.58 – 3.46 (m, 2H, OCH₃H₃), 2.79 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH iPr₃), 2.62 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H, CH iPr₃), 1.99 – 1.71 (m, 2H, NCH₂CH₃H₃), 1.50 (obs dq, J = 6.6, 3.0 Hz, 2H, NCH₂CH₃H₃), 1.20 (app t, J = 6.8 Hz, 12H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃), 1.02 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃), 0.96 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃) 1.30 – 0.57 (obs m, 12H, CH₂).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5, 163.2, 154.0, 146.9, 145.9, 145.5, 135.9, 135.0, 134.0, 133.3, 130.6, 130.4, 130.2, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.1, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 126.3, 125.6, 124.4, 124.2, 124.0, 123.2, 123.1, 122.3, 120.4, 115.0, 69.1, 49.7, 29.8, 29.4, 28.8, 28.6, 24.6, 24.2, 24.2, 24.1, 24.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₈₄H₇₉N₈O₆ [M+H]⁺: 1295.6123; found 1295.6139
Diastereomer 2 PP-2b:

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.97 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.30 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 7.88 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.71 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.61 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.51 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, iPr$_2$-ArH), 7.37 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 1.4 Hz, 2H, iPr$_2$-ArH), 7.34 (dd, $J = 7.9$, 1.4 Hz, 2H, iPr$_2$-ArH), 7.29 (td, $J = 8.1$, 6.5, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.14 (td, $J = 8.4$, 6.5, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 6.92 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 5.87 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 5.28 (dt, $J = 13.6$, 3.9 Hz, 2H, NCH$_2$H$_5$), 5.09 (ddd, $J = 10.5$, 7.0, 2.9 Hz, 2H, OH$_A$H$_B$), 4.29 (dt, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H, CH$_2$-ArH), 4.07 (p, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H, CH$_2$-ArH), 2.91 (dd, $J = 12.5$, 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$), 0.39 (dt, $J = 12.5$, 4.6 Hz, 2H, CH$_2$), 0.34 – 0.25 (m, 2H, C$_2$H$_4$), 0.22 – 0.11 (m, 4H, C$_2$H$_4$).

$^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 163.3, 163.2, 153.1, 146.7, 146.1, 145.0, 135.4, 135.3, 134.8, 133.7, 130.6, 130.5, 130.0, 129.8, 129.5, 129.2, 129.1, 128.6, 128.0, 126.8, 125.4, 124.6, 124.3, 124.2, 124.1, 122.4, 122.3, 119.6, 113.6, 66.4, 47.1, 39.9, 29.7, 29.4, 28.6, 27.7, 24.2, 24.1, 24.1, 24.0, 22.6, 21.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C$_{84}$H$_{79}$N$_8$O$_6$ [M+H]$^+$: 1295.6123; found 1295.6139.

$^1$H NMR spectrum of PM-2b (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of PM-2b (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^1$H NMR spectrum of PP-2b (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of PP-2b (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound $PM-2b$.

Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound $PP-2b$. 
**P-BINOL octyl PDI macrocycle 2c**

The general procedure above was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (150 mg, 198 µmol, 1 eq), P-2,2′-bis((8-azidooctyl)oxy)-1,1′-binaphthalene P-12c (117 mg, 198 µmol, 1 eq), CH₂Cl₂ (900 mL), TBTA (35 mg, 79 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (30 mg, 79 µmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after four days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.5:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) to give a mixture of two diastereomers of macrocycle PM-2c and PP-2c (80 mg, 33%). This mixture was separated by preparative TLC (SiO₂, 1:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂), where despite appearing as a single band on TLC, extracting the top 10% and bottom 10% respectively gives the diastereomer of macrocycle PM-2c (isolated 3.4 mg, 1.4%, calculated yield from mixture in NMR 17%) and PP-2c (isolated 2.7 mg, 1.1%, calculated yield from mixture in NMR 17%) as purple solids. The ratio of diastereomers is calculated to be 1:1 by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product (see Section 3.4).

**Diastereomer 1 PM-2c:**

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.94 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.45 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.26 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.63 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.52 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.43 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.35 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH₂(H₆)), 7.31 (dd, J = 7.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH₂(H₆)), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.7, 1.2 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.11 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.7, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.01 (obs dd, J = 8.4 Hz, 1.2, 2H, C(8)H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 4.54 (dt, J = 13.5, 5.5 Hz, 2H, NCH₆H₆), 4.39 (dt, J = 13.5, 6.5 Hz, 2H, NCH₆H₆), 3.71 – 3.61 (m, 2H, OH₆H₆), 3.55 (dt, J = 9.3, 6.4 Hz, 2H, OH₆H₆), 2.74 (h, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH iPr₃), 2.60 (p, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, CH iPr₃), 1.19 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₃ iPr₃), 1.16 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃), 1.06 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃), 0.99 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr₃), 1.84 – 0.52 (obs m, 24H, CH₂).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.4, 163.3, 154.5, 147.4, 145.8, 135.7, 135.6, 135.2, 134.2, 133.8, 130.5, 130.5, 129.9, 129.9, 129.7, 129.6, 129.2, 129.0, 128.7, 127.5, 126.1, 125.7, 124.3, 124.2, 123.5, 123.0, 122.8, 122.2, 116.0, 50.6, 32.1, 29.8, 29.4, 29.2, 28.2, 27.7, 25.2, 25.0, 24.1, 24.0, 22.8.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₆₈H₆₈N₈O₆Na [M+Na]+: 1373.6569; found 1373.6609

**Diastereomer 2 PP-2c:**

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.02 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.73 (br d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H, C(4)H, C(5)H), 7.50 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.41 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.38 – 7.31 (m, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.25 – 7.23 (obs ddd, J = 8.6, 6.7, 1.2 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.14 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.10 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 7.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 4.45 (dt, J = 13.3, 6.4 Hz, 2H, NCH₆H₆), 4.36 (dt, J = 13.3, 6.1 Hz, 2H, NCH₆H₆), 3.56 (dt, J = 9.5, 6.5 Hz, 2H, OCH₆H₆),

S18
3.50 (dt, $J = 9.5$, 6.5 Hz, 2H, OCH$_2$H$_2$), 2.81 – 2.66 (m, 4H, CH$_2$iPr$_A$), 1.86 – 1.70 (m, 4H, OCH$_2$H$_2$), 1.18 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.18 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.16 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.14 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$iPr), 1.68 – 0.52 (obs m, 20H, CH$_2$)

$^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 163.2, 163.1, 154.1, 147.2, 145.6, 145.5, 135.4, 135.0, 134.0, 133.8, 130.3, 129.8, 129.7, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 128.9, 128.8, 127.6, 126.1, 125.5, 124.3, 124.0, 123.6, 122.7, 122.6, 121.9, 120.6, 119.8, 115.6, 50.1, 31.9, 29.2, 29.2, 29.1, 28.2, 27.4, 25.1, 24.8, 24.1, 24.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C$_{88}$H$_{86}$N$_8$O$_6$Na [M+Na]$^+$: 1373.6569; found 1373.6609

$^1$H NMR spectrum of PM-2c (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of PM-2c (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
\(^1\)H NMR spectrum of **PP-2c** (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)).

\(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum of **PP-2c** (101 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound \textit{PM-2c}.
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound **PP-2c**.
1,4-Di(6-hexoxy)benzene PDI acyclic control 3

General Procedure B was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (50 mg, 0.0659 mmol, 1 eq), 1,4-di(6-azidohexoxy)benzene 11b (237 mg, 0.659 mmol, eq), CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL), THPTA (11.5 mg, 26.4 μmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (9.82 mg, 26.4 μmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after three days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.4:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) to give PDI 3 as a purple solid (66 mg, 68%). The 1,7 and 1,6 regioisomers were separated by HPLC (Buckyprep, 2:60:38 IPA:n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.34 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 7.88 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.50 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.35 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 6.83 – 6.73 (m, 8H, ArH oxybenzene), 4.54 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.91 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.84 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.27 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.74 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH iPr), 2.07 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 1.85 – 1.69 (m, 8H, CH₂), 1.66 – 1.25 (m, 20H, CH₂), 1.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr).

¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5, 163.5, 153.3, 153.2, 147.9, 145.8, 135.7, 135.3, 134.1, 130.6, 130.5, 129.9, 129.6, 129.5, 129.5, 129.1, 124.3, 122.8, 122.6, 122.1, 115.5, 115.5, 68.5, 68.3, 51.5, 50.9, 30.5, 29.9, 29.4, 29.4, 29.3, 28.9, 26.7, 26.4, 25.8, 25.7, 24.2, 24.1.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₈₈H₉₉N₁₄O₈ [M+H]⁺: 1479.777; found 1479.7783
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 3 (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 3 (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 3.
General Procedure B was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (53 mg, 69.8 µmol, 1 eq), (M)-2,2\'-bis((6-azidohexyl)oxy)-1,1\'-binaphthalene 12b (375 mg, 0.698 mmol, 10 eq), CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL), THPTA (11.7 mg, 26.5 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (8.30 mg, 26.5 µmol, 0.4 eq). Reaction was completed after 1 day and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.4:100 → 0.6:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) to give PDI 4 as a purple solid (34 mg, 27%). The 1,7 and 1,6 regioisomers were separated by HPLC (Buckyprep, 2:60:38 IPA:n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.75 (s, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.93 – 7.87 (m, 12H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 4H), 7.64 (s, 2H), 7.54 – 7.47 (m, 4H), 7.43 – 7.38 (m, 10H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 6H), 7.30 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 6H), 7.25 – 7.07 (m, 24H), 4.22 – 4.07 (m, 8H), 4.07 – 3.80 (m, 18H), 2.97 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 9H), 2.75 (ddt, J = 19.8, 13.1, 6.8 Hz, 9H), 1.67 – 1.58 (m, 8H), 1.50 – 1.28 (m, 14H), 1.28 – 1.09 (m, 65H), 1.07 – 0.88 (m, 15H), 0.87 – 0.74 (m, 11H).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.5, 163.4, 154.7, 147.9, 145.8, 135.7, 135.4, 134.9, 134.4, 134.3, 130.5, 130.3, 129.9, 129.5, 129.4, 129.3, 129.2, 128.0, 126.3, 125.7, 124.3, 123.7, 122.8, 122.6, 122.1, 121.1, 120.7, 116.1, 115.9, 69.7, 69.5, 51.2, 50.4, 30.0, 29.5, 29.3, 29.1, 28.6, 26.1, 25.7, 25.2, 24.9, 24.1.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₁₁₆H₁₁₅N₁₄O₈ [M+H]⁺: 1831.9022; found 1831.8977

P-BINOL hexyl PDI acyclic control 4
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 4 (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 4 (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 4.
General Procedure B was followed with dialkynyl PDI 8 (50 mg, 65.9 µmol, 1 eq), 1-azidohexane (58.7 mg, 461 µmol, 7 eq), CH₂Cl₂ (260 mL), TBTA (9.82 mg, 26.4 µmol, 0.4 eq) and Cu(MeCN)₄PF₆ (14.0 mg, 26.4 µmol, 0.4 eq). The reaction was completed after two days and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 0.4:100 MeOH:CH₂Cl₂) to give PDI 4 as a purple solid (45 mg, 67%). The 1,7 and 1,6 regioisomers were separated by HPLC (Bucky prep, 2:38:60 IPA:n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂) as outlined above.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.76 (s, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.34 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Ortho PDI), 8.01 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH Bay PDI), 7.88 (s, 2H, CH triazole), 7.50 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 4.52 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H, NCH₂), 2.74 (p, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂Pr), 2.02 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 4H, NCH₂CH₂), 1.47 – 1.31 (m, 12H, CH₂), 1.17 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂iPr), 0.90 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH₂CH₃).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 163.3, 163.3, 145.7, 135.5, 135.2, 134.0, 130.4, 130.4, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 128.9, 124.1, 122.6, 122.5, 50.9, 31.1, 30.4, 29.2, 26.2, 24.0, 24.0, 22.4, 14.0.

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₆₄H₆₉N₈O₄ [M+H]^+: 1013.5442; found 1013.5493.
$^1$H NMR spectrum of 4 (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of 4 (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound 5.

1,7-Dibromo-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic dianhydride

Perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic dianhydride (20.0 g, 64.0 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in conc H$_2$SO$_4$ (300 mL) in a round bottomed flask. N-Bromosuccinimide (9.1 g, 79.8 mmol, 1.1 eq) was then added and the reaction flask was stoppered and left to stir at rt for 16 h. After which, additional N-bromosuccinimide (9.1 g, 79.8 mmol, 1.1 eq) was added and the flask was again stoppered and left to stir at 80 °C for 1 day. On cooling to rt, the resulting mixture was added onto a large beaker of ice, causing the formation of a red precipitate. Any remaining ice was left to melt, and precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with H$_2$O until neutral. The precipitate was dried in the oven overnight to yield a crude mixture of dibromo PDA as a red solid (28.0 g). Its poor solubility in any solvent left it unable to be characterised, and it was subjected to imidization reaction to synthesise 6 without further purification.
Crude mixture of dibromo PDA (5.90 g, 10.7 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in propionic acid (120 mL). 2,6-Diisopropylaniline (4.5 mL, 24 mmol, 2.2 eq) was then added and the reaction mixture was left to stir at 140 °C for four days. On cooling to rt, the resulting mixture was added onto a large beaker of ice, causing the formation of a red precipitate. Any remaining ice was left to melt, and precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with H₂O until neutral. The red solid was added to CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), and the resulting suspension was filtered under vacuum, washing with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 50 mL). The filtrate was concentrated under vacuum and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 60:40 CH₂Cl₂:n-hexane) to yield dibromo PDI 6 as red solids (2.42 g, 39%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.57 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 9.03 (s, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.82 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 7.53 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, ArH iPr₂-ArH), 7.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 2.75 (hept, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H, CH iPr), 1.20 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr).

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₄₈H₄₁Br₂N₂O₄ [M+H]⁺: 869.1418; found 869.1443.

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.⁵

¹H NMR spectrum of 6 (400 MHz, CDCl₃).
Dibromo PDI 6 (2.40 g, 2.76 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in triethylamine (125 mL) and degassed by bubbling a stream of N₂ for 15 min. After which, copper iodide (58.0 mg, 0.303 mmol, 0.11 eq), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (116 mg, 0.166 mmol, 0.06 eq), and trimethylsilylacetylene (1.95 mL, 13.8 mmol, 5 eq) was added, and the reacting mixture was left to stir at 60°C for 16 h under an atmosphere of N₂. On cooling to rt, the resulting mixture was quenched with 10M HCl (100 mL). The product was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 100 mL), washed with H₂O until neutral, dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. Purification via flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 60:40 CH₂Cl₂:n-hexane) furnished TMS protected PDI 7 as a red solid (2.28 g, 99%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.94 (s, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.75 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 7.52 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 2.82 – 2.68 (m, 4H, CH(C₃H₃))₂iPr), 1.19 (app dd, J = 6.8, 1.0 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂iPr), 0.40 (s, 18H, Si(CH₃)₃).

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₅₈H₅₉N₂O₄Si₂ [M+H]⁺: 903.4013; found: 903.4020

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.⁶
**N-N-Di(2,6-diisopropylphenyl) 1,7-diethynyl-perylene-3,4,9,10-tetracarboxylic diimide**

TMS protected PDI 7 (2.28 g, 2.52 mmol, 1 eq) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (1:1 v/v, 300 mL). Potassium carbonate (1.05 g, 7.57 mmol, 3 eq) was then added and the reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. After which, the resulting mixture was added to H₂O (200 mL) extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with 1 M HCl (200 mL) and H₂O (200 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to furnished dialkynyl PDI 8 as a dark red solid (1.93 g, quant.).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.11 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), ArH PDI, 8.96 (s, 2H, ArH PDI), 8.83 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H, ArH PDI), 7.52 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, iPr₂-ArH), 7.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H, iPr₂-ArH), 3.84 (s, 2H, C≡C), 2.83 – 2.68 (m, 4H, CH iPr), 1.19 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 24H, CH(CH₃)₂ iPr).

MS (ESI): m/z calc. for C₅₂H₄₃N₂O₄ [M+H]⁺: 759.3223; found 759.3251

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.

¹H NMR spectrum of 8 (400 MHz, CDCl₃).
General procedure for compounds 9-12:

A: Formation of alkyl bromide
The dihydroxy starting material and dibromo alkane were added to a suspension of potassium carbonate in acetone and left to stir at 60 °C for three days. After which, the reaction mixture was left to cool to room temperature and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified via flash column chromatography (100:0 → 60:40 n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂).

B: Formation of organic azides
Halide substrate and NaN₃ were dissolved in DMF and left to stir at 75 °C for 16 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with H₂O. If a precipitate was formed, the solid product was collected by vacuum filtration, washed with H₂O and left under suction for 15 min to dry. If no precipitate was formed, the reaction mixture was extracted with Et₂O, washed with H₂O (× 4), brine, then dried with MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo.

1,4-Di(4-bromobutoxy)benzene⁸ 9a

1,4-Dibromobutane (0.87 mL, 7.32 mmol, 3.5 eq) was added to a stirring suspension of potassium carbonate (1.5 g, 10.93 mmol, 5.3 eq) and hydroquinone (227 mg, 2.06 mmol, 1 eq) in acetone (60 mL). The resulting mixture was left to stir at 60 °C for three days. Upon cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to an orange oil. The oil was diluted in n-hexane (50 mL) and filtered again, washing with more n-hexane (2 × 10 mL). The filtrate was set aside overnight in the fridge to crystallise. The off-white crystals were collected, and the mother liquor was concentrated in vacuo, redissolved in a minimal amount of hot ethanol, and set aside overnight to yield a second crop of off-white crystals. These crystals were combined with the first batch to yield 9a (199 mg, 25%).

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.81 (s, ArH, 4H), 3.94 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.49 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 2.10 – 1.99 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.97 – 1.85 (m, CH₂, 4H). Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.⁸
General procedure A was followed with P-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.49 mmol, 1 eq), 1,4-dibromobutane (6.3 mL, 52.4 mmol, 15 eq), potassium carbonate (2.90 g, 21.0 mmol, 6 eq) in acetone (10 mL), to yield P-10a as a colourless oil (0.702 g, 36%). The major side product was identified to be the [1+1] macrocyclic adduct. The dibromide P-10a was further reacted to form the diazide P-12a without further characterization.

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.41 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 7.33 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.6, 1.0 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.22 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.6, 1.0 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.16 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.0 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 4.04 (ddd, J = 9.4, 6.5, 4.8 Hz, 2H, OCHAHex), 3.93 (ddd, J = 9.4, 6.9, 4.8 Hz, 2H, OCHBHex), 2.91 (td, J = 6.8, 2.4 Hz, 4H, BrCH2), 1.64 – 1.48 (m, 4H, CH2), 1.45 – 1.32 (m, 4H, CH2).
P-2,2'-bis((6-bromohexyl)oxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene \(^{10}\) P-10b

General procedure A was followed with \(P\)-BINOL (1.00 g, 3.49 mmol, 1 eq), 1,6-dibromohexane (8.1 mL, 52.4 mmol, 15 eq), potassium carbonate (2.90 g, 21.0 mmol, 6 eq) in acetone (10 mL), to yield \(P\)-10b as a colourless oil (1.47g, 69%). The major side product was identified to be the [1+1] macrocyclic adduct.

The reaction was repeated with its enantiomer \(M\) to yield \(M\)-10b with matching results and spectroscopic data.

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.94 \text{ (d, } J = 8.9 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(4)H}), 7.86 \text{ (dd, } J = 8.3, 1.2 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(5)H}), 7.41 \text{ (d, } J = 8.9 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(3)H}), 7.32 \text{ (ddd, } J = 8.3, 6.5, 1.0 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(6)H}), 7.21 \text{ (ddd, } J = 8.2, 6.5, 1.2 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(7)H}), 7.16 \text{ (ddd, } J = 8.2, 1.0, 0.5 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, C(8)H}), 4.08 – 3.94 \text{ (m, } 2\text{H, OCH}_3\text{H}_3\text{), 3.88 \text{ (ddd, } J = 9.3, 7.1, 5.4 \text{ Hz, } 2\text{H, OCH}_3\text{H}_3\text{), 3.15 \text{ (t, } J = 7.0 \text{ Hz, } 4\text{H, BrCH}_2\text{), 1.54 – 1.45 \text{ (m, } 4\text{H, CH}_2\text{), 1.45 – 1.32 \text{ (m, } 4\text{H, CH}_2\text{), 1.05 \text{ (dtt, } J = 9.0, 6.8, 3.2 \text{ Hz, } 4\text{H, CH}_2\text{), 0.90 – 0.75 \text{ (m, } 4\text{H, CH}_2\text{).}}

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature. \(^{10}\)

\(^1\)H NMR spectrum of \(P\)-10b (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)).
**1H NMR** spectrum of **M-10b** (400 MHz, CDCl₃).

1,4-Di(4-azidobutoxy)benzene¹¹ 11a

```
N₃
\hspace{1cm}O
\hspace{1cm}N₃
```

General procedure B was followed with dibromo 9a (199 mg, 0.524 mmol, 1 eq) and NaN₃ (85.1 mg, 1.31 mmol, 2.5 eq) in DMF (10 mL) to yield azide 11a an off-white solid (160 mg, quant.)

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.83 (s, 4H, ArH), 3.94 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 3.36 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 1.95 – 1.71 (m, CH₂, 8H).

MS (ASAP): m/z calc. for C₁₄H₂₁N₆O₂ [M]+: 304.1648; found 304.1650.

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.¹¹

**¹H NMR** spectrum of 11a (400 MHz, CDCl₃).
1,4-Di(6-azidohexoxy)benzene 11b

\[ \text{N}_3 \quad \text{O} \quad \text{N}_3 \]

General procedure A was followed with hydroquinone (1.00 g, 9.08 mmol, 1 eq), 1,6-dibromohexane (14 mL, 90.8 mmol, 10 eq), potassium carbonate (7.53 g, 54.5 mmol, 6 eq) in acetone (20 mL), to yield 1,4-di(6-bromohexoxy)benzene 9b as a white solid (3.13 g, 79%).

General procedure B was then followed with dibromo 9b (3.12 g, 7.15 mmol, 1 eq) and NaN\(_3\) (1.16 g, 17.9 mmol, 2.5 eq) in DMF (50 mL) to yield azide 11b as a yellow oil that solidified into a cream white solid (2.55 g, 99%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 6.82\) (s, 4H, ArH), 3.91 (t, \(J = 6.4\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 3.28 (t, \(J = 6.9\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 1.77 (dq, \(J = 7.7, 6.3\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 1.63 (p, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 4H, CH\(_2\)), 1.55 – 1.38 (m, 8H, CH\(_2\)).

MS (ASAP): m/z calc. for C\(_{18}\)H\(_{28}\)N\(_6\)O\(_2\) [M]\(^+\): 360.2274; found 360.2284

Spectroscopic data is in agreement with literature.\(^{11}\)

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{P-2,2'}-\text{bis(4-azidobutoxy)-1,1'}-\text{binaphthalene P-12a} \\
\end{array} \]

\[ \text{P-12a} \]

General procedure B was followed with dibromo P-10a (680 mg, 1.22 mmol, 1 eq) and NaN\(_3\) (200 mg, 3.06 mmol, 2.5 eq) in DMF (10 mL) to yield P-12a as a yellow oil that solidified into a cream white solid (575 mg, 97%).

\(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta = 7.96\) (d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.88 (d, \(J = 8.0\) Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.41 (d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 7.34 (ddd, \(J = 8.0, 6.5, 1.3\) Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.23 (ddd, \(J = 8.1, 6.5, 1.3\) Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.00 (ddt, \(J = 8.0, 6.5, 1.3\) Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 6.95 (d, \(J = 9.0\) Hz, 2H, C(9)H).
6.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.17 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 4.02 (ddd, J = 9.3, 6.6, 4.9 Hz, 2H, OCH₂H₂B), 3.91 (ddd, J = 9.4, 7.0, 4.8 Hz, 2H, OCH₂H₂B), 2.74 (app td, J = 7.0, 3.3 Hz, 4H, N₃CH₂), 1.46 (tttd, J = 14.2, 7.0, 4.9 Hz, 4H, CH₂), 1.18 – 1.07 (m, 4H, CH₂).

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 154.4, 134.2, 129.5, 129.5, 128.1, 126.4, 125.5, 123.8, 120.9, 115.9, 69.3, 50.8, 26.5, 25.5.

MS (ASAP): m/z calc. for C₂₈H₂₈N₆O₂ [M]+: 480.2274; found 480.2283.

¹H NMR spectrum of P-12a (400 MHz, CDCl₃).

¹³C NMR spectrum of P-12a (101 MHz, CDCl₃).
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound \textbf{P-12a}.

\textbf{P-2,2'-bis((6-azidohexyl)oxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene P-12b}

General procedure \textbf{B} was followed with dibromo \textbf{P-10b} (1.47 g, 2.40 mmol, 1 eq) and NaN$_3$ (390 mg, 6.00 mmol, 2.5 eq) in DMF (20 mL) to yield \textbf{P-12b} as a yellow oil (1.29 g, quant.).

The reaction was repeated with its enantiomer \textbf{M-10b} to yield \textbf{M-12b} with near identical results and spectroscopic data.

$^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.94 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.86 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.41 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 7.32 (ddd, $J = 8.3$, 6.5, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.21 (ddd, $J = 8.1$, 6.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.16 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 4.00 (dt, $J = 9.3$, 5.8 Hz, 2H, OCH$_\alpha$H$_\beta$), 3.88 (ddd, $J = 9.3$, 7.0, 5.5 Hz, 2H, OCH$_\alpha$H$_\beta$), 3.00 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 4H,
N\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.45 – 1.32 (m, 4H, OCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 1.31 – 1.19 (m, 4H, NCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 0.99 (dddd, J = 13.5, 11.3, 6.8, 2.4 Hz, 4H, N(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 0.90 – 0.77 (m, 4H, O(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 154.6, 134.3, 129.5, 129.2, 128.0, 126.2, 125.6, 123.7, 121.0, 116.1, 69.7, 51.3, 29.3, 28.6, 26.2, 25.3.

MS (ASAP): m/z calc. for C\textsubscript{32}H\textsubscript{37}N\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{2} [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 537.2978; found 537.2974

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of \textit{P-12b} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}).

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectrum of \textit{M-12b} (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}).

\textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectrum of \textit{P-12b} (101 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}).
$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $M-12b$ (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound $P-12b$. 
Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound $M-12b$. 
**P-2,2'-bis((8-azidoctyl)oxy)-1,1'-binaphthalene P-12c**

![Structure of P-12c]

General procedure A was followed with **P-BINOL** (1.00 g, 3.49 mmol, 1 eq), 1,8-dibromoocante (9.7 mL, 52.4 mmol, 15 eq), potassium carbonate (2.90 g, 21.0 mmol, 6 eq) in acetone (10 mL), to yield **P-10c** as a colourless oil (2.00 g, 86%). The major side product was identified to be the [1+1] macrocyclic adduct.

General procedure B was followed with dibromo **P-10c** (2.00 g, 2.99 mmol, 1 eq) and NaN₃ (486 mg, 7.48 mmol, 2.5 eq) in DMF (30 mL) to yield **P-12c** as a yellow oil (1.77 g, quant.).

**1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 7.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(4)H), 7.85 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(5)H), 7.40 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H, C(3)H), 7.30 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.6, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(6)H), 7.20 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H, C(7)H), 7.15 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.4 Hz, 2H, C(8)H), 3.97 (dt, J = 9.3, 6.2 Hz, 2H, OCH₂H₁₂), 3.89 (dt, J = 9.3, 6.4 Hz, 2H, OCH₂H₁₂), 3.21 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 4H, NCH₂C₂H₅), 1.55 – 1.47 (m, 4H, NCH₂C₂H₅), 1.44 – 1.32 (m, 4H, OCH₂C₂H₅), 1.22 – 1.12 (m, 4H, N(CH₂)₂C₂H₅), 1.10 – 0.92 (m, 8H, CH₂), 0.89 (ddd, J = 14.2, 7.9, 4.4 Hz, 4H, O(CH₂)₂CH₂).

**13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃)** δ 154.7, 134.4, 129.4, 129.2, 127.9, 126.2, 125.7, 123.5, 120.9, 116.0, 69.9, 51.6, 29.5, 29.0, 28.9, 26.6, 25.6.

**MS (ASAP):** m/z calc. for C₃₆H₄₄N₆O₂ [M+H]+: 593.3604; found 593.3614

**1H NMR spectrum of P-12c (400 MHz, CDCl₃).**
$^{13}$C NMR spectrum of $P$-12c (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$).

Calculated (top) and observed (bottom) ESI MS data for compound $P$-12c.
3. Further NMR Spectroscopy Experiments

3.1. $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY NMR Spectroscopy

Fig. S1 $^1$H–$^1$H NOESY NMR spectrum of PP-2b (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$), displaying nOe signals between protons H$_{d,f}$, H$_{d,e}$, and H$_{a,e}$, confirming the proximity of PDI and BINOL units in macrocycle 2b.
3.2. $^1$H NMR Spectra of the Stereoisomers of Macrocycle 2b

![NMR Spectra of Stereoisomers of Macrocycle 2b](image)

Fig. S2 $^1$H NMR of 2b (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$), displaying the identical spectra of macrocycles that have an enantiomeric relationship (e.g., MM-2b and PP-2b).
3.3. PDI Configurational Stability

Both diastereomers of macrocycle 2b were subjected to heating at 140 °C for 12 hours in 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane, with ¹H NMR spectra were collected prior to and after heating. The identical ¹H NMR spectra indicate that PDI and BINOL atropisomers, and hence all diastereomers, are configurationally stable.

![Before Heating](image1)

![After Heating](image2)

**Fig. S3** ¹H NMR spectra of macrocycle 2b (400 MHz, CDCl₃) before and after heating.
3.4. Diastereomeric Ratio Calculations by $^1$H NMR Spectroscopy

Fig. S4 $^1$H NMR of crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2a (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) and purified 2a, displaying no significant stereoselectivity in the synthesis (i.e., $PM:PP$ dr = 1:1 at room temperature). Dichloromethane was used as solvent in the synthesis.
Fig. S5 ¹H NMR of crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2b (400 MHz, CDCl₃), and purified 2b, displaying stereoselectivity in the synthesis (PM:PP dr = 4:1 at room temperature). CH₂Cl₂ was used as solvent in the synthesis.

\[
\frac{PM}{PM + PP} = \frac{1 + 1.07}{1 + 1.07 + 0.26 + 0.29} = 79\% PM
\]
**Fig. S6** $^1$H NMR of crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2b (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$), and purified 2b, displaying stereoselectivity in the synthesis ($PM:PP$ dr = 3:1 at room temperature). A 1:1 mixture of toluene:CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (v/v) was used as solvent in the synthesis.
Fig. S7 $^1$H NMR of crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2b (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$), and purified 2b, displaying stereoselectivity in the synthesis ($PM$:PP dr = 3:1 at room temperature). N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF) was used as solvent in the synthesis.
Fig. S8 ¹H NMR of crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2c (400 MHz, CDCl₃) and purified 2c, displaying no significant stereoselectivity in the synthesis (i.e., PM:PP dr = 1:1 at room temperature). Dichloromethane was used as solvent in the synthesis.
Fig. S9 \(^1\)H NMR of: a)-e) crude reaction mixture of macrocycle 2b (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), reacted at stated temperatures with 1,2-dichlorobenzene as solvent; and f)-g) purified 2b. The PM:PP diastereomeric ratio are: a) 79:21 at room temperature (25 °C), b) 75:25 at 60 °C, c) 69:31 at 100 °C, d) 65:35 at 150 °C, and e) 63:37 at 180 °C. These values are used to calculate the difference in standard Gibbs energies between the PP-2b and PM-2b transition states (\(\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger\)) following the Curtin-Hammett principle below (Fig. S10).
3.5. Calculation of ΔΔ$G^\ddagger$ following the Curtin-Hammett principle

The Curtin-Hammett principle$^{12-14}$ states that for a rapidly interconverting reactive intermediates or reactants, which respectively irreversibly forms a different product, the product ratio will depend only on the difference in energy between the transition states (ΔΔ$G^\ddagger$). This can be written as equation (1).

$$Product\ ratio = e^{-\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger/RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where, in context:

- Product ratio = [PM-2b]/[PP-2b]
- ΔΔ$G^\ddagger$ = free energy difference between transition states of the two products (i.e., PM-2b and PP-2b)
- R = Ideal gas constant
- T = Temperature

Rearranging (1) gives the following equation:

$$\ln\left(\text{product ratio}\right) = \frac{-\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Using the product ratio calculated from $^1$H NMR spectra above (Fig. S9), ln(product ratio) was plotted against 1/T to give a slope of $-\Delta\Delta G^\ddagger/R$ (754 ± 53 J mol$^{-1}$, Fig. S10). This was used to calculate ΔΔ$G^\ddagger$ ($-6.3 \pm 0.4$ kJ mol$^{-1}$).

![Curtin-Hammett plot](image)

Fig. S10 Curtin-Hammett plot of ln(product ratio) against 1/T.
3.6. Comparisons of $^1$H NMR Spectra of Macroyclic and Acyclic Systems

**Fig. S11** Stacked $^1$H NMR spectra of acyclic bis-triazole PDI 5, acyclic bis-BINOL PDI 4, BINOL–PDI macrocycles 2a and 2b (CDCl$_3$, 298 K, 400 MHz).
3.7 Comparisons of $^1$H NMR Spectra of Homo and Heterochiral Macrocycles 2b against BINOL acyclic starting material 12b

Fig. S12 Stacked $^1$H NMR spectra (CDCl$_3$, 298 K, 400 MHz) of acyclic BINOL 12b against both homo and heterochiral BINOL–PDI macrocycles 2b, displaying a higher average upfield shift from H$_{3-5}$ in PM-2b (0.7 vs 0.4). This suggests a stronger interaction between the BINOL and PDI units in PM-2b compared to PP-2b, which is further supported by shorter π–π and CH–π distances and more numerous CH–π interactions seen in calculated structures in Section 8.
3.8 Estimation of $\Delta G_{\text{int}}^{\ddagger}$ (upper bound)

The interconversion of PDI atropisomers were found, by Würthner and co-workers,\textsuperscript{15} to be dependent on the apparent overlap parameter $\sum r^*$ of the bay substituent (Y) according to equation (1) below:

$$\Delta G^\ddagger (180 \text{ K}) = 29.0 \sum r^* - 41.8$$  (1)

Given the C–H bond length and van der Waals radius of hydrogen, $\sum r^*$ can be calculated from equation (2):

$$\sum r^* = 2r_{vdw}(Y) + 2.40 \text{ Å} - 2 \sqrt{(2.312 \text{ Å} - 0.5a)^2 + (-0.953 \text{ Å} + 0.866a)^2}$$  (2)

Where:

$r_{vdw}(Y) = \text{van der Waals radius of Y (bay substituent)}$

$a = \text{Bond length of C–Y}$

For our diethynyl PDI starting material 8, Substituting $r_{vdw}(\text{ethynyl}) = 1.78,\textsuperscript{15}$ and $a = 1.53,\textsuperscript{15}$ gives:

$$\sum r^* = 2.78 \text{ Å}$$

Substituting into equation (1) gives:

$$\Delta G^\ddagger (180 \text{ K}) = 38.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

This gives an upper bound of $\Delta G^\ddagger < 39 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, and hence rate $k > 6 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

For our acyclic bis-triazole PDI control compounds (3-5), and the singly ‘clicked’ CuAAC intermediate (Fig. 5), we assume that the triazole heterocycle must twist away from the plane of the PDI as the PDI interconverts, giving an estimated value $r_{vdw}(\text{triazole}) = 1.77.\textsuperscript{15}$

Substituting this value into equation (2) and then (1) gives:

$$\sum r^* = 2.76 \text{ Å} \text{ and } \Delta G^\ddagger (180 \text{ K}) = 38.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

This gives an upper bound of $\Delta G^\ddagger < 39 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, and hence rate $k > 6 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

The authors also state that for room-temperature stable atropisomers of PDIs, $\Delta G^\ddagger > 106 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$, apparent overlap $\sum r^*$ must be greater than 5.1 Å.\textsuperscript{15} This is clearly not the case for acyclic PDI compounds 3-5.

Indeed, $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of acyclic variants 3-5 (and 6-8) all show that the diastereotopic methyl protons exhibit only one doublet, and thus are in fast exchange at 25 °C (see below Fig. S13). Therefore, the rate of PDI atropisomer interconversion is faster than the chemical shift timescale of the $^1\text{H}$ NMR experiment. However, the $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of chirally locked PDI macrocycles 1-2 all show the diastereotopic methyl protons are split into four sets of doublets, and thus are in slow exchange at 25 °C (i.e., a high PDI interconversion barrier at 25 °C). Therefore, the rate of PDI atropisomer interconversion is much slower than the chemical shift timescale of the $^1\text{H}$ NMR experiment.\textsuperscript{16}
**Fig. S13** $^1$H NMR spectra of acyclic PDIs 3-8, displaying a single set of doublets for methyl groups, displaying no diastereotopic splitting as PDI atropoisomers are in fast exchange (CDCl$_3$, 298 K, 400 MHz).
Fig. S14 $^1$H NMR spectra of PDI macrocycles 1-2, displaying four sets of doublets for methyl groups due to diastereotopic splitting arising from chiral locking of the PDI (CDCl$_3$, 298 K, 400 MHz).
4. Circular Dichroism Studies

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-1500 CD spectrophotometer with a wavelength accuracy ± 0.2 nm (250 to 500 nm), ± 0.5 nm (500 to 800 nm) and a CD root mean square noise < 0.007 mdeg (500 nm). A quartz cuvette with 1 mm path length was used unless stated otherwise.

![CD Spectra](image)

**Fig. S15** CD spectra for PP and PM diastereomers of macrocycles 2b and 2a in CHCl₃ at a concentration of 26 µM.
**Fig. S16** CD spectra for *PP* and *PM* diastereomers of macrocycle 2c in CHCl₃ at a concentration of 26 µM.
Fig. S17 CD spectra for MM and MP diastereomers of macrocycle 2b in CHCl₃ at a concentration of 26 µM.
Fig. S18 CD spectra for $P$ and $M$ enantiomers of macrocycles $1\text{a}$ and $1\text{b}$ in CHCl$_3$ at a concentration of 26 µM, post separation by chiral HPLC (Fig. S24).
Fig. S19 CD spectra for macrocycle *PM-2b* (concentration = 26 µM) in 1,2-dichlorobenzene, prior and after heating to 180 °C for two hours.
**Fig. S20** Full spectra of dissymmetry factor of PP and PM diastereomers of macrocycles 2b and 2a. The $g_{abs}$ values are: PP-2a (0.005, 449 nm), PM-2a (0.007, 453 nm), PP-2b (0.004, 425 nm), PM-2b (0.005, 434 nm). The $g_{abs}$ values are comparable to similar compounds in literature.\textsuperscript{17-20}
5. UV-vis Spectroscopy

UV-vis spectroscopy was performed on a Cary 5000 spectrophotometer, with a wavelength accuracy $\leq 0.08$ nm and absorbance accuracy $\leq 0.01$ Abs. A quartz cuvette with 1 cm path length was used.

5.1. Beer-Lambert Plots

Fig. S21 Left: absorption spectrum of macrocycles: a) 1b, b) PM-2b and c) PP-2b in CHCl$_3$ at different concentrations. Right: the dependence of absorption ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$) on concentration for these macrocycles: a) 1b ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 567$ nm), b) PM-2b ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 560$ nm), and c) PP-2b ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 549$ nm), in CHCl$_3$ is linear, showing Beer-Lambert behaviour.
5.2. UV-vis Spectra of Macroycles

Fig. S22 UV-vis absorption spectra of hetero- and homochiral diastereomers of macrocycle 2a recorded in CHCl₃ at a concentration of 26 µM.
6. Chiral HPLC

Chiral HPLC studies were performed using a Phenomenex i-Amylose-1 chiral column on an Agilent 1290 Infinity analytical HPLC instrument. The flow rate was 1 mL/minute, and the detection wavelength was 500 nm. The eluents for each chromatogram are specified in the figure captions.

![Chiral HPLC Chromatogram](image)

**Fig. S23** Chiral HPLC chromatogram of compounds *MM-2b* (red), *MP-2b* (blue), *PP-2b* (green), and *PM-2b* (purple), dissolved in DCM and eluted with 0.1:60:39.9 IPA:n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂. Retention times of each compound are shown next to the peaks.
Fig. S24. Chiral HPLC chromatogram of compound 1b that was isolated as a racemic mixture after column chromatograph (SiO₂), dissolved in DCM and eluted with 2:60:38 IPA:n-hexane:CH₂Cl₂. Using CD spectroscopy (Fig. S18), the first eluted diastereomer at 3.35 min is assigned as the M enantiomer the other as P enantiomer. The integration ratio of M:P = 1:1.
7. X-ray Crystallography

Crystals of macrocycle 1a, suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction, were grown from a solution of 1a dissolved in CHCl₃, with slow diffusion of n-hexane (antisolvent).

Single Crystal X-Ray Diffraction (SCXRD): Data were collected using a Rigaku Synergy-S dual source with a PhotonJet-S X-ray source and a HyPix-6000 detector. Data were collected at 100 K through the use of an Oxford Cryosystems cryostream device. Data were collected and processed using CrystAlis PRO171.42.49. The structures were solved by direct methods using ShelXT and refined with ShelXL using a least squares method. Olex2 software was used as the solution, refinement and analysis program.

Positional disorder is modelled for one of the straps between the PDI and hydroquinone moieties (carbon atoms C52 – C60 and oxygen atom O5). The occupancies of the two sites were refined and constrained to sum to unity with values of 0.85 and 0.15 respectively. All atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters with the exception of carbon atom C42B and oxygen atom O5B which form part of the low occupancy (part 2) disordered strap which could not be modelled satisfactorily anisotropically. The ADPs of carbons C55B, C56B, C57B, C58B, C59B, C60B of the low occupancy part of the disordered strap were constrained to be equal (EADP) as the shift would not settle if the ADPs of these atoms were only restrained to be similar. Hydrogen atoms were geometrically placed and refined using a riding model.

The occupancy of the chloroform residue (Cl1-3, C67, H67) was allowed to freely refine and settle before being fixed at 0.83. Although evidence for additional molecules of chloroform was seen in the electron density map, these disordered solvent molecules could not be sensibly modelled, so the structure was treated with a Solvent Mask, implemented by Olex. A solvent mask was calculated, and 580 electrons were found in a volume of 1784 Å³ in 1 void per unit cell. This is consistent with the presence of 2.5 chloroform molecules per Asymmetric Unit which account for 580 electrons per unit cell. Figures were produced using CrystalMakerX.

Crystal Data for macrocycle 1a C₆₆H₆₂N₈O₆. 0.83 CHCl₃: monoclinic, space group P2/n, a = 14.39140(10) Å, b = 14.94010(10) Å, c = 33.6959(2) Å, α = 90°, β = 91.1850(10)°, γ = 90°, V = 7243.37(8) Å³, Z = 4, T = 100.00(10) K, μ(Cu Kα) = 1.369 mm⁻¹, Dcalc = 1.066 g/cm³, 134698 reflections measured (5.916° ≤ 2θ ≤ 156.862°), 14866 unique (Rint = 0.0433, Rsigma = 0.0229) which were used in all calculations. The final R₁ was 0.0829 (I > 2σ(I)) and WRF2 was 0.2357. Deposited cif number: 2249179.
**Fig. S25** Asymmetric unit of macrocycle 1a with all non-hydrogen atoms represented by ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms omitted for clarity (C, dark grey; O, red; N, blue).
8. Density Functional Theory Calculations

Conformer searches for macrocycles 1a, 2a and 2b were performed using the combination of the CREST code\textsuperscript{23} and the GFN2-xTB semiempirical tight-binding DFT-method.\textsuperscript{24} The lowest energy conformers found using CREST were subsequently reoptimized by means of full DTF using the B97-3c composite scheme.\textsuperscript{25} Solvation effects in the DFT calculations were described using the COSMO (toluene, chloroform) implicit solvation models.\textsuperscript{26} Vertical excitation and circular dichroism spectra of the DFT optimised conformers were calculated by single point calculations using the combination of the $\omega$B97x\textsuperscript{27} density functional and the def2-SVP basis-set.\textsuperscript{28} Finally, harmonic frequencies were calculated for both the GFN2-xTB (lowest conformers only) and B973c optimised structures to verify that these optimised structures have no imaginary frequencies and, as expected, correspond to minima on their respective potential energy surfaces. All DFT calculations are performed using Turbomole 7.5.\textsuperscript{29,30}

8.1. Conformer Searches

The lowest energy conformer for macrocycle \textit{M-1a} as found in the GFN2-xTB CREST conformer search is shown below (Fig. S26). This conformer agrees with the X-ray crystal structure of macrocycle 1a shown in Fig. 2b (\textit{M atropisomer}), thereby supporting this method and thus our predictions for macrocycles 2a,b below (Fig. S27). The conformers of \textit{M-1a} and \textit{P-1a} are provided as .xyz files in the 'Macrocycle Structures' folder.

![M-1a](image)

\textbf{Fig. S26} Lowest energy conformer for macrocycle \textit{M-1a}, as found in the GFN2-xTB conformer search. For clarity, hydrogen atoms and the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl imide substituents have been removed from the structure.

The lowest energy conformer for macrocycles 2a and 2b, found using the same GFN2-xTB CREST conformer search as for \textit{M-1a}, are shown below. The conformer search returned in all cases only homochiral conformers (i.e., \textit{PPIMM-2a} and \textit{PPIMM-2b}), even when a full conformer search was performed using the heterochiral structure as input, such that heterochiral conformers must lie at least of the order of 20-30 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1} higher in energy. These conformers are provided as .xyz files in the 'Macrocycle Structures' folder.
Fig. S27 Lowest energy conformer for macrocycles 2a and 2b, as found in the GFN2-xTB conformer search. For clarity, hydrogen atoms and the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl imide substituents have been removed from these structures.

Using the lowest energy conformer PP-2b as a starting point, a conformer for PM-2b was manually constructed (PM-2b*). Then, a constrained conformer search starting from PM-2b* was used to obtain our best attempt in achieving the lowest energy conformer of PM-2b (Fig S28). These conformers, which are not guaranteed to be the lowest energy PM-2b conformer, are predicted to lie 79 and 65 kJ mol⁻¹ respectively above the MM/PP-2b lowest energy structure (B97-3c/CHCl₃). Thus, the lowest energy heterochiral conformer must inclusively lie in the energy window between 20 and 65 kJ mol⁻¹ above the homochiral conformer. These conformers are provided as .xyz files in the ‘Macrocycle Structures’ folder.
8.2. Predicted Circular Dichroism Spectra

The predicted circular dichroism spectra of the lowest energy conformer of macrocycles MM-2a and PP-2a (Table S1) and MM-2b and PP-2b (Table S2). The lowest energy transitions are given since they are exclusive to the PDI chromophore. These predicted CD spectra were used to assign the experimental CD spectra of macrocycles 2a and 2b (Supporting Information, Section 4). We note that in all cases the predicted CD spectrum is blue-shifted relative to the experimental spectra, which is a side-effect of the range-separated functional used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation energy / eV (wavelength / nm)</th>
<th>Rotary strength / 10^{-40} erg cm^3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM-2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2.57 (482)</td>
<td>+137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 3.63 (341)</td>
<td>+81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 3.71 (334)</td>
<td>+26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 3.88 (319)</td>
<td>−107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 3.89 (318)</td>
<td>−99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 4.14 (300)</td>
<td>−6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table S1:* CD spectra predicted for MM-2a and PP-2a using B97x/def2-SVP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation energy / eV (wavelength / nm)</th>
<th>Rotary strength / 10^{-40} erg cm^3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MM-2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 2.53 (490)</td>
<td>+186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 3.61 (343)</td>
<td>+123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 3.75 (331)</td>
<td>−71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 3.87 (321)</td>
<td>−163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 3.90 (318)</td>
<td>−38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 3.95 (314)</td>
<td>+8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table S2:* CD spectra predicted for MM-2b and PP-2b using B97x/def2-SVP.
Tables S3 and S4 give the predicted CD spectra for \textit{PM-2b*} and \textit{PM-2b}, which show in line with experiment that the sign of the \textit{PM} structure (PDI-only region) is opposite to that of the \textit{PP} structure, while the magnitude is reduced. The conformers of \textit{PM-2b*} and \textit{PM-2b} are provided as a .xyz file in the ‘Macrocycle Structures’ folder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation energy / eV (wavelength / nm)</th>
<th>Rotary strength / $10^{-40}$ erg cm$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{PM-2b*}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.51 (493)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.62 (342)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.87 (321)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.90 (318)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.08 (304)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.20 (295)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Table S3}: CD spectrum predicted for \textit{PM-2b*} using B97x/def2-SVP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation energy / eV (wavelength / nm)</th>
<th>Rotary strength / $10^{-40}$ erg cm$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\textit{PM-2b}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.56 (484)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.55 (349)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.66 (338)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.85 (322)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.95 (314)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.05 (306)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Table S4}: CD spectrum predicted for \textit{PM-2b} using B97x/def2-SVP.
8.3. **Analysis of Structures**

**Fig. S28**: DFT optimised structures of the lowest energy conformers of *PM-2b* and *PP-2b*, using in the case of *PM-2b* the structure obtained in the constrained conformer search discussed above, showing relevant non-covalent interactions (π–π, CH–π and CH–O hydrogen bonding) between BINOL and PDI (top) and between BINOL and triazole (bottom). Note, the CH–π interactions are represented by close contacts between H and a PDI/BINOL centroid and π–π interactions are represented by close contacts between BINOL/PDI/triazole centroids. For clarity, hydrogen atoms and the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl imide substituents have been removed from these structures.

When considering the energy difference between homo- and heterochiral macrocycle transition states, potential non-covalent interactions involving the triazole moieties (Fig. S28, bottom) were discounted since these heterocycles are formed during the ring-closing of the macrocycle and it is not clear how a triazole intermediate may interact with the BINOL unit. Moreover, if the potential interactions with a single triazole heterocycle are considered, since the rate determining step is likely to be the second ring-closing CuAAC ‘click’, then the DFT optimised structures indicate that the triazole–BINOL interactions (Fig. S28, bottom), namely π–π, CH–π, and CH–O hydrogen bonding interactions, are very similar in the homo- and heterochiral macrocyclic structures.
The PDI twist angle (dihedral) increases on decreasing the linker length from hexyl (2b) to butyl (2a). This angle is also larger in PM-2b compared to PP-2b.

**Fig. S29:** DFT optimised structures of the lowest energy conformers of PM-2b, PP-2b, and PP-2a, using in the case of PM-2b the structure obtained in the constrained conformer search discussed above, displaying their PDI core twists of 25°, 23° and 25° respectively. For clarity, hydrogen atoms and the 2,6-diisopropylphenyl imide substituents have been removed from these structures.
8.4. Conformation of BINOL

BINOL may exist in either a transoid (θ < 90°) or cisoid conformer (θ > 90°) as shown in Figure S30a.\textsuperscript{31} For our macrocycles, only transoid conformers of BINOL were found in the tight-binding DFT conformer search, i.e., θ = 97° and 102° for PP-2b and PM-2b respectively. These dihedral angles are in line with what is typical for a transoid conformer of BINOL\textsuperscript{32} (θ ~ 90 - 100°) and indicates that macrocycles with cisoid conformers of BINOL (θ ~ 70°) lie considerably higher in energy. This is also consistent with our \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy analysis since, when transoid BINOL is relatively co-planar with PDI (Fig. S30b), this conformer is able to maximise the BINOL–PDI π–π and CH–π interactions identified from both computational and experimental studies. By contrast, a cisoid BINOL unit interacting with PDI (Fig. S30c) would not only greatly increase ring strain, but would cause desymmetrization, which is not observed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy. An alternative cisoid conformer (Fig. S30d) would require the BINOL unit to be positioned away from the PDI, where it would have reduced π–π and CH–π non-covalent interactions, which is not consistent with the significant proton shifts observed by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy.

Fig. S30 a) The transoid and cisoid conformers of BINOL; schematics of BINOL–PDI macrocycles where BINOL adopts either, b) a transoid conformer, or c,d) a cisoid conformer.
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