Supporting Information

Synergistic action of highly-active porous carbon-based bifunctional electrocatalysts and neutral electrolyte: endowing Zincair batteries with ultra-long cycle stability

Juanjuan Zhao^a, Xiaohang Ma*^a, Lingyun Liu^a, Weiguang Fang^a, Zhenfa Zi^a*, Mingzai Wu^{b,c}*

a.School of Physics and Materials Engineering, Hefei Normal University, Hefei, 230601, PR China. E-mail: a. E-mail: zjj@hfnu.edu.cn; mxh@mail.ustc.edu.cn; zfzi@issp.ac.cn.

b.School of Physics and Materials Science, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China. E-mail: wumz@ahu.edu.cn. c.Key Laboratory of photoelectric conversion energy materials and devices of Anhui Province, Key Laboratory of hybrid material structure and function regulation, Ministry of Education, Anhui University, Hefei, 230601, China.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of compound 2 ({[Co (ADC) (bpp)]} n)

According to the synthesis method in the literature¹:

The 22.4 mg of H₂ADC (0.10 mmol, H₂ADC = 1,3-Amantadane dimethyl acid) and 18 mg of bpp (0.10 mmol, bpp = 1,3-two- (4-pyridyl) propane) was dissolved in 5 mL of methanol as solution A. Subsequently, the 28.8 mg of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 5 mL of deionized water as solution B. Solution B was then dispersed into solution A. Subsequently, the pH of the mixed solution was adjusted to 7.5 with 0.5 M NaOH solution. After resting for 48 h at room temperature, the purple precipitate was the synthesized marked as compound 2.

Synthesis of the SiO₂-nanosphere

The SiO₂ nanospheres with an average particle size of 200 nm were synthesized by Stober: first, a mixture of 65 mL of anhydrous ethanol and 4.5 mL of ethyl orthosilicate was added to 25 mL of deionized water under stirring conditions. Next, 9 ml of ammonia water was poured into the above mixture under stirring conditions. The final mixed solution obtained above was stirred for 5 hours, and then the resulting milky solution was centrifuged and washed several times with deionized water and ethanol. Finally, the resulting white product was the SiO₂ nanosphere by drying in a 60°C oven.

SiO₂@ Compound 2 synthesis

Disperse 2 g SiO₂ nanosphere into solution of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ as solution A. Subsequently, 22.4 mg H₂ADC and 18.0 mg bpp were dissolved in 5 mL of methanol as solution B. Then solution B was added to solution A, adjusted pH with 0.5 M NaOH to 7.5, and rested for 48 h at room temperature until the purple powder was the target product.

Synthesis of N/SdCF-OIM / Co₉S₈

Take the SiO₂@ compound 2 precursor above 200 mg and put it into the quartz boat, and put 50 mg of sublimated sulfur into the quartz boat. The sublimation sulfur was put into the upstream area of the tube furnace, then SiO₂@ compound 2 was put into the downstream area of the tube furnace. Subsequently, the tube furnace was heated up to 700 °C with the rate of 5°C/min and heated at 700 °C for 2h in N₂ atmosphere to obtain black powder. Finally, the black powder was soaked in 3 M KOH solution for 48 h, and then the solution was centrifuged and washed with deionized water for 6 times. Then the target product was acquired through the final drying marked as N/SdCF-OIM/Co₉S₈.

Synthesis of N/SdCF-Co₉S₈

Compound 2 (100 mg) was prepared directly placed into a quartz boat with 0.5 mg of sublimated sulfur at the upstream, then under N_2 atmosphere at a heating rate of 5°C/min, calcined at 700°C for 2h to obtain black powder marked as N/SdCF-Co₉S₈.

Fabrication and test of liquid rechargeable Zn-air batteries (ZABs)

The liquid ZAB was assembled with Zn-plate served as the anode and carbon cloth coated bifunctional electrocatalyst (1 cm²) served as the air electrode, the mixed solution of 6 M KOH and 0.2 M Zn (CH₂COO)₂ and the 2.5 M NH4Cl and 0.5 M ZnCl₂ mixed solution as electrolyte respectively. Typically, 10 mg of electrocatalyst was fully dispersed in a solvent consisting of 700 μ L DI water, 240 μ L isopropanol, and 60 μ L Nafion solution by sonication for 2 h. Then, 100 μ L electrocatalyst ink was dripped on 1 cm² carbon cloth to achieve a electrocatalyst loading amount of 1 mg cm⁻². ZABs tests were measurand with electrochemical workstation (CHI 760E) and LAND battery testing system (LAND-CT2001A, China). Both the discharge/charge current and corresponding power densities were standardized to the active area of air cathode electrode.

Materials and characterization

All reagents and solvents were commercially available and were used without further purification. In addition, the microstructure and phase composition of the as-obtained samples were characterized by Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, S-4800, Hitachi, Japan), Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100, JEOL, Japan), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD Bruker D8-ADVANCE) with an 18 kW advanced X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α radiation (λ =1.54056 Å). XPS was conducted with a Mg Ka achromatic X-ray source.

Electrochemical characterizations

The catalyst ink was prepared by dispersing catalyst (10 mg) and 50 µL Nafion (DuPont) into

700 µL DI water and 250 µL is opropanol by sonication. The electrochemical activities (CV, ORR and OER) of the catalysts were tested in a standard three electrodes system on the electrochemical workstation (CHI 760E) in 0.1 M saturated KOH electrolyte and 0.1 M NH₄Cl at room temperature respectively. The working electrode was a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode (diameter 5 mm) which drop casted with the catalyst ink to a loading amount of 0.2 mg cm⁻². The counter electrode was a platinum electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as a reference electrode. All potentials here are converted to a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) scale, $ERHE = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.059pH + E_{\theta Ag/AgCl}$. In 0.1 M saturated KOH electrolyte, the catalytic performance toward the ORR was measured from -0.8 to 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), and the catalytic activity for OER was evaluated from 0 to 1.0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). In comparison, commercial 20 wt% Pt/C catalyst ink (for ORR) and the RuO₂ catalyst ink (for OER) were prepared using the same procedure. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were performed at 20 mV s⁻¹ from -0.8 to 0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) in O₂-saturated and N₂-saturated electrolyte, respectively. In order to test the electrochemical performances of the catalysts for ORR, the linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) carves were performed at a scanning rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ from -0.8 V to 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) at different rotation rates (400~2500 rpm) in O₂-saturated electrolyte.

The electron transfer number (n) per O_2 molecule in the ORR was determined by the slopes of the linear fit lines on the basis of the following K–L equation:

$$\frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{j_k} + \frac{1}{B \times \omega^{1/2}}$$
(1)
$$B = 0.2 \times n \times F \times C_{O_2} \times D_{O_2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \times \nu^{-\frac{1}{6}}$$
(2)

where j is the measured current density, j_k is the kinetic current density, ω is the electrode rotation rate, F is the Faradic constant (96,485 C mol⁻¹), C₀₂ is the saturatedoxygen concentration in 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution(1.2 × 10⁻⁶ mol cm⁻³), D₀₂ is the oxygen diffusion coefficient (1.73 × 10⁻⁵ cm² s⁻¹), v is the kinematic viscosity of the solution (0.01 cm² s⁻¹) and n is the electron transfer number in the ORR.



 $\label{eq:started} \textit{Figure S1} (a) \ N_2 \ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) \ BJH \ pore-size \ distribution \ of the \ N/SdCF-OIM/Co_9S_8 \ and \ N/SdCF-Co_9S_8.$



Figure S2 LSV curves of the Pt/C catalysts at different rotating speeds and the corresponding fitted curves.



Figure S3 The electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the N/SdCF-OIM/ Co_9S_8 catalysts obtained in 0.1 M KOH and 0.1 M NH₄Cl by corresponding equivalent circuit.



Figure S4 Charge-discharge polarization curves and the power density curves of the liquid ZABs in 2.5 M NH₄Cl and 0.5 M ZnCl₂ mixed solution.

Table S1. Comparative summary of the performance of liquid ZABs employing currently reported bifunctional electrocatalysts with our work in alkaline electrolyte (6 M KOH+0.2 M Zn^{2+}) with our work.

Electrocatal OCP performanc lest conditions of density canacity Ref.	Electrocatal OCP	Power	Specific	Cycling performanc	Test conditions of	Dof
---	------------------	-------	----------	-----------------------	--------------------	-----

N/SdCF- OIM/Co ₉ S ₈	1.425	181	803	510 cycles (170 h)	At a current density of 10 mA cm ⁻² (20 min per cycle)	This work
C09S8- NSHPCNF	1.44	113	823.5	200 cycles (66 h)	At a current density of 10 mA cm ⁻² (20 min per cycle)	1
Fe-N-C/rGO	1.52	107	736	600 cycles (400 h)	At a current density of 5 mA cm ⁻² (20 min per cycle)	2
Mn-SAS/CN	N.A	100	780	25 h	At a current density of 10 mA cm ⁻² (20 min per cycle)	3
T-CoNCNTs // NiFe-LDH	1.39	245	N. A	100 cycles	At a current density of 10 mA cm ⁻² (N.A)	4
Co _{5.47} N/Co ₃ F e ₇ /NC	1.5	264	N. A	180 h	At a current density of 10 mA cm ⁻² (N.A)	5
CNCNN electrode	N. A	N. A	N. A	600 cycles	At a current density of 20 mA cm ⁻² (5 min per cycle)	6
CoSx/Co-NC	1.4	103	770	450 cycles (90 h)	At a current density of 5 mA cm ⁻² (12 min per cycle)	7
Co@N-C	1.46	105	768	360 cycles (120 h)	At a current density of 2 mA cm-2 (20 min per cycle)	8
Co₄N and Co−N−C	1.4	174	774	408 cycles (136 h)	At a current density of 10 mA cm-2 (20 min per cycle)	9

(mW cm⁻²) (mAh g⁻¹) e

References

1. W. Peng, Y. Wang, X. Yang, L. Mao, J. Jin, S. Yang, K. Fu and G. Li, Appl. Catal. B Environ., 2020, 268, 118437.

2. L. Li, Y. J. Chen, H. R. Xing, N. Li, J. W. Xia, X. Y. Qian, H. Xu, W. Z. Li, F. X. Yin, G. Y. He and H.

Q. Chen, Nano Res., 2022, 15, 8056-8064.

3. X. Han, T. Zhang, W. Chen, B. Dong, G. Meng, L. Zheng, C. Yang, X. Sun, Z. Zhuang, D. Wang, A. Han and J. Liu, Adv. Energy Mater., 2021, 11, 2002753.

4. W. Xu, Z. Lu, T. Zhang, Y. Zhong, Y. Wu, G. Zhang, J. Liu, H. Wang and X. Sun, Energy Storage Mater., 2019, 17, 358-365.

5. L. Li, J. Chen, S. Wang, Y. Huang and D. Cao, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2022, 582, 152375.

6. Y. Chen, M. Zhou, J. Wu, X. Xiao and L. Xu, J. Mater. Sci. Technol., 2024, 178, 155–161.

7. Q. Lu, J. Yu, X. Zou, K. Liao, P. Tan, W. Zhou, M. Ni and Z. Shao, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2019, 29, 1904481.

8. S. S. Shinde, C. H. Lee, J. Y. Jung, N. K. Wagh, S. H. Kim, D. H. Kim, C. Lin, S. U. Lee and J. H. Lee, Energy Environ. Sci., 2019, 12, 727-738.

9. Y. N. Chen, Y. Guo, H. Cui, Z. Xie, X. Zhang, J. Wei and Z. Zhou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 9716-9722.