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Characterization

FTIR spectra were measured with a Bruker Tensor 27 FTIR spectrophotometer and the conventional crystal KBr disk method was utilized: micelle solutions were cast onto the KBr disk, then dried in reduce pressure; 32 scans were collected at a spectral resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$. $^1$H NMR spectra were recorded using an INOVA 500 spectrometer in CDCl$_3$, which as an external standard. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) was performed using a TA Q–20 analyzer. Glass transition temperatures were collected using ca. 5 mg sample placed on the DSC sample pan under a N$_2$ atmosphere (100 mL min$^{-1}$), then heated from 40 to 300 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out under N$_2$ atmosphere at a flow rate of 60 mL min$^{-1}$ using a TA Q–50 analyzer to confirm the thermal stabilities of the samples, which were placed in a Pt cell and heated from 40 to 800 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C min$^{-1}$. Molecular weights and Polydispersity indexes ($M_w/M_n$) were evaluated using GPC (Waters 510 gel permeation chromatograph). Particle sizes were estimated by dynamic light scattering instrument (DLS: NANOTRAC Wave II Q, Microtrac, MRB), which was conducted at 25 °C. Before the DLS examination, the mixture was filtrated through a syringe filter with 0.45μm porous size. The morphologies of the samples were examined through transmission electron microscopy (TEM), using a JEOL–2100 microscope operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Micelles solutions were dropped onto copper grids coated with carbon–supporting films. The specimens were dried in vacuum oven at ambient temperature, then stained with iodine (I$_2$) or ruthenium tetroxide (RuO$_4$). In TEM images, I$_2$ staining was selective for pyridine ring domain; RuO$_4$ staining was optional to pyridine ring and styrene domain, which corresponded to gray and dark contrasts respectively. Topological images of surface morphology were examined by using atomic force microscope (AFM),
equipped with a Hitachi High–Tech Instrument Scanning Probe Microscope (AFM5300E) and dynamic force mode. The specimens were prepared by a drop of micelles solution on a microscope slide and dried in a desiccator.

**Polystyrene (PS)**

Polystyrene was synthesized by free radical copolymerization. AIBN (5 wt%) was put in a 100–mL two-necked round–bottom flask, then dry THF (40 mL) was injected. When AIBN was thoroughly dissolved, then styrene (2.083 g, 0.02 mol) added into solution. The mixture reacted under N$_2$ at 70 °C and stirred for 24 h. Reaction was terminated by exposing to air for 1 h, then the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure until turbid. The final solutions were dropped into cold MeOH and the precipitates were purified with THF/cold MeOH twice. The white solid was dried under vacuum for 5 days. Yield: 0.488 g; FTIR (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3025 (Aromatic C–H stretching), 2924 (Alkanes C–H stretching), 1600 (Aromatic ring); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, chloroform–$d$, δ, ppm): 1.63–2.02 (3H, CH$_2$CH), 6.26–7.24 (5H, C=CH in aromatic rings); Thermal decomposition temperatures ($T_{d5}$ and $T_{d10}$) were 339 and 377 °C; Number-average molecular weight $M_n$: ca. 9,421 g mol$^{-1}$, polydispersity index (PDI): 1.137.
Figure S1: AFM images of (a–c) 2D topology and (d–f) 3D topology images of (a, d) hydrogen bonding connected micelles, (b, e) crosslinked micelles with 1,4–dibromobutane, and (c, f) the hollow spheres after dissolution in DMF solution from poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/P4VP inter–polymer complex
Figure S2: AFM images of (a–c) 2D topology and (d–f) 3D topology images of (a, d) hydrogen bonding connected micelles, (b, e) crosslinked micelles with 1,4–dibromobutane, and (c, f) the hollow spheres after dissolution in DMF solution from poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/PS_{41–r–P4VP_{59}} inter–polymer complex.
Figure S3: FTIR spectra of (a) hydrogen bonding connected micelles, (b) cross–linked micelles with 1,4–dibromobutane, and (c) the hollow spheres after dissolution in DMF solution from poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/PS$_{41}$–r–P4VP$_{59}$ inter–polymer complex.
Figure S4: DSC thermal analyses of (a) pure PS, (b) poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/PS = 50/50 blend, (c) pure poly(S–alt–pHPMI)
Figure S5: AFM images of (a–c) 2D topology and (d–f) 3D topology images of (a, d) hydrogen bonding connected micelles, (b, e) crosslinked micelles with 1,4–dibromobutane, and (c, f) the rod–like structures after dissolution in DMF solution from poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/PS_{68}–b–P4VP_{32} inter–polymer complex
Figure S6: FTIR spectra of (a) hydrogen bonding connected micelles, (b) cross-linked micelles with 1,4-dibromobutane, and (c) the rod-like structures after dissolution in DMF solution from poly(S–alt–pHPMI)/PS$_{68}$–b–P4VP$_{32}$ inter–polymer complex