Supplementary Information

Enabling the uniform zinc deposition by zwitterion additive in aqueous zinc metal anode

Sung-Ho Huh,^{‡a} Yoon Jeong Choi,^{‡a} So Hee Kim,^b Jong-Seong Bae,^c Si-Hwan Lee^a and Seung-Ho Yu^{*a}

^a Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, 02841, Republic of Korea

^b Advanced Analysis Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul 02792,
Republic of Korea

^c Busan Center, Korea Basic Science Institute (KBSI), 30 Gwahaksandan 1-ro, 60 Beon-gil, Gangseo-gu, Busan 46742, Republic of Korea

‡S.-H. H. and Y. J. C contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding Author

E-mail: seunghoyu@korea.ac.kr



Figure S1. FTIR spectra of the 6-AA added electrolyte in various pH conditions (black: pH 7, blue: pH 1, red: pH 14).



Figure S2. Average initial and final contact angles on Zn foil for the blank electrolyte and the 6-AA added electrolyte.



Figure S3. (a) Average initial and final contact angles on Cu foil for the blank electrolyte and the 6-AA added electrolyte and (b) photograph of two electrolytes dropped on Cu foil measured at the final equilibrium state. The final state was measured 5 minutes after the initial state.



Figure S4. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy survey scan spectra of (a) blank electrolyte and (b) 6-AA added electrolyte, and (c) high-resolution N 1*s* spectra of blank electrolyte and 6-AA added electrolyte.



Figure S5. FTIR spectra of the (a) 6-AA powder and (b) Zn metal immersed in 6-AA added electrolyte for 1 day.



Figure S6. (a) The Zn $\|$ Zn coin cell heights at each cycle number (black: blank electrolyte, red: 6-AA added electrolyte) and (b) photograph of each cell after 150th cycle at 1 mA cm⁻², 1 mAh cm⁻².



Figure S7. (a) Scheme of Archimedes method and (b) the cell weight change of pouch cell as a function of cycle time (black: blank electrolyte, red: 6-AA added electrolyte). The pouch cells were cycled at a current density of 4 mA cm⁻² with a capacity of 1 mAh cm⁻².



Figure S8. Top-view SEM images and EDS elemental maps of Zn foil immersed in 7 days in the blank electrolyte (top) and the 6-AA added electrolyte (bottom).



Figure S9. Comparisons of the Zinc deposition overpotential between the blank electrolyte (black) and the 6-AA added electrolyte (red) at (a) 1 mA cm⁻² and (b) 2 mA cm⁻².



Figure S10. Chronoamperometry profiles and Nyquist plots of Zn||Zn symmetric cells cycled in (a) the blank electrolyte and (b) the 6-AA added electrolyte.



Figure S11. Top-view SEM images and EDS elemental maps of Cu current collector collected from Zn||Cu half cells cycled in the blank electrolyte, 0.3, 0.5, and 0.7 M of the 6-AA added electrolyte. The Zn||Cu half cells were cycled at 1 mA cm⁻² for 29 times, followed by 1 mA cm⁻², 4 mAh cm⁻² of zinc deposition.



Figure S12. Cyclic voltammograms (CV) of the α -MnO₂||Zn full cells in the blank electrolyte and the 6-AA added electrolyte at the scan rate of 0.2 mV s⁻¹ between 0.9 and 1.8 V (vs. Zn²⁺/Zn).



Figure S13. Voltage profiles of α -MnO₂||Zn full cells at 5th and 100th cycle at 0.5 A g⁻¹ between 0.9 and 1.8 V (vs. Zn²⁺/Zn) (a) in the blank electrolyte and (b) in the 6-AA added electrolyte.



Figure S14. Cycle performance with Coulombic efficiency of the α -MnO₂||Zn cells, cycling at 0.2 A g⁻¹ between 0.9 and 1.8 V (vs. Zn²⁺/Zn).