Supplementary Information for:

## First-principles study of intrinsic and hydrogen point defects in the earth-abundant photovoltaic absorber Zn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub>

Zhenkun Yuan, Yihuang Xiong, and Geoffroy Hautier\*

Thayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, New Hampshire 03755, USA

Email: geoffroy.hautier@dartmouth.edu



**Fig. S1** Calculated chemical-potential region (gray colored) for which compositionally stoichiometric  $Zn_3P_2$  is thermodynamically stable. This region prevents formation of the secondary phase  $ZnP_2$  (indicated by the blue line) and bulk Zn and P phases. Two different chemical-potential points: A ( $\mu_{Zn} = -0.203 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\mu_P = -0.354 \text{ eV}$ ) and B ( $\mu_{Zn} = 0.0 \text{ eV}$ ,  $\mu_P = -0.658 \text{ eV}$ ), which represent Zn-poor (P-rich) and Zn-rich (P-poor) equilibrium growth conditions, respectively, are chosen for computing the defect formation energies.



**Fig. S2** Single-particle defect states of the  $V_{Zn}$  in three different charge states: q = 0, -1, and -2. The filled and empty dots indicate the occupied and unoccupied defect states in the spin-up (red) and spin-down (blue) channels.

**Table S1** Thermodynamic transition levels between different charge states q and q' for the intrinsic point defects and the H-related point defects in  $Zn_3P_2$ . Results from previous calculations by Demers *et al.* (Ref. 1) and Yin *et al.* (Ref. 2) are included for comparison.

Defect	$\epsilon(q/q')$		
V <sub>Zn</sub>	0.11 (0/-), 0.23 (-/2-)		
$V_{Zn}$ (Refs. <sup>1, 2</sup> )	$0.06^{a} 0.4^{b} (0/-), 0.09^{a} 0.75^{b} (-/2-)$		
V <sub>P</sub>	0.88 (+/-), 1.04 (+/0), 0.71 (0/-)		
$V_{P}$ (Refs. <sup>1, 2</sup> )	$0.25^{b} (2 + / +), 0.24^{a} 0.75^{b} (+ / 0)$		
Zn <sub>i</sub>	0.72 (2 + / + ), 0.95 ( + /0)		
$Zn_i$ (Refs. <sup>1, 2</sup> )	$0.04^{a} 0.87^{b} (2 + / +), 0.06^{a} 1.22^{b} (+ / 0)$		
P <sub>i</sub>	0.17 (3 + /0), 0.69 (0/ - )		
$P_i$ (Refs. <sup>1, 2</sup> )	$0.30^{a} 0.35^{b} (0/-), 0.96^{b} (-/2-)$		
Zn <sub>P</sub>	0.22 (3 + /2 +), 0.49 (2 + / +), 0.43 (2 + /0), 0.38 (+ /0), 1.26 (0/2 -)		
P <sub>Zn</sub>	0.24 (3 + / +), 0.72 (+ / 0), 0.61 (+ / -), 0.50 (0 / -)		
H <sub>i</sub>	0.57 (+/-)		
$H + V_{Zn}$	0.09 (0/ - )		
$2H + V_{Zn}$	None		
$H + V_P$	0.13 ( + /0)		

a Yin *et al*.

**b** Demers *et al*.

**Table S2** Convergence tests using an energy cutoff of 500 eV or a larger  $3 \times 3 \times 2$  supercell. The calculations using 400 eV cutoff and  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  supercell have been reported in the main text. For the test calculations, the supercells are based on the same primitive unit-cell lattice parameters, but internal atomic positions are relaxed under the same force convergence criterion. A  $\Gamma$ -only **k**-point is used throughout for Brillouin-zone sampling.

Transition level	400 eV cutoff,	500 eV cutoff,	400 eV cutoff,
	$2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell	$2 \times 2 \times 2$ supercell	$3 \times 3 \times 2$ supercell
$V_{Zn}(0/-)$	0.11	0.11	0.08
$V_{Zn}(-/2-)$	0.23	0.23	0.18
$P_{i}(0/-)$	0.69	0.70	0.75



**Fig. S3** Formation energies of the  $V_P$ ,  $P_i$ ,  $Zn_P$ , and  $P_{Zn}$  as a function of Fermi level with more detailed information on charge-state transitions.



**Fig. S4** Local atomic geometry: (a)  ${}^{H_i}$  in q = 0 and -1 charge states; (b)  ${}^{H_i}$  in q = +1 charge states; (c)-(e)  ${}^{H+V_{Zn}}$ ,  ${}^{2H+V_{Zn}}$ , and  ${}^{H+V_P}$  complexes (in their neutral charge state) in Zn<sub>3</sub>P<sub>2</sub>. For eye guide the vacancy centers are pointed by arrows.



**Fig. S5** Calculated bulk hole density in  $Zn_3P_2$  as a function of hydrogen chemical potential ( $\mu_H$ ), assuming the  $V_{Zn}$  concentration is not affected by hydrogen.  $\mu_H = 0$  corresponds to the H-rich limit. For  $\mu_H$  higher (lower) than ~0.4 eV, hole carriers arise mostly from the  $H + V_{Zn}$  ( $V_{Zn}$ ) acceptors. In calculating the defect and carrier densities, the temperature is set to 350 °C (a typical growth temperature of  $Zn_3P_2$ ).<sup>3</sup>

## References

- 1. S. Demers and A. van de Walle, *Physical Review B*, 2012, **85**, 195208.
- 2. W.-J. Yin and Y. Yan, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2013, **113**, 013708.
- 3. K. Kakishita, S. Ikeda and T. Suda, *Journal of Crystal Growth*, 1991, **115**, 793-797.