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Figure S1. Rietveld refinement for XRD spectra fitted with observed (y_obs) and calculated (y_calc) XRD patterns, and the difference (y_diff) between the y_obs and y_calc: (a) PSMF and (b) RP-PSMF


Figure S2. The SEM images of the LSGM electrolyte-supported cell (Configuration: PSMFGDC $\mid$ LSGM $\mid$ LSCF-GDC) for direct $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ electrolysis operation: (a) cross-sectional image of the single cell, (b) LSCF-GDC, and (c) PSMF-GDC layers


Figure S3. The current-voltage ( $I-V$ ) polarization curve of the LSGM electrolyte-supported cell (Configuration: PSMF-GDC $\mid$ LSGM $\mid$ LSCF-GDC) at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while feeding $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to fuel electrode and exposing ambient air to air electrode.


Figure S4. The EIS spectra of the LSGM electrolyte-supported cell (Configuration: PSMF/GDC |LSGM | LSCF/GDC) at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under various applied voltages from OCV to 1.4 V .

Table S1. The results of XPS fitting for the $\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}$ and $\mathrm{Mn} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}$ peaks

| PSMF <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}\left(\chi^{2}=0.95\right)$ |  |  |  | RP-PSMF <br> $\mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}\left(\chi^{2}=1.38\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak | Position(eV) | Area (\%) | FWHM(eV) | Peak | Position(eV) | Area (\%) | FWHM(eV) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{0}$ | - | - | - | $\mathrm{Fe}^{0}$ | 705.9 | 20.6 | 3.0 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 709.4 | 29.9 | 2.5 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 709.4 | 46.5 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 710.7 | 46.4 | 2.8 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 710.7 | 32.9 | 3.3 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{4+}$ | 712.4 | 23.7 | 3.0 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{4+}$ | - | - | - |
| PSMF |  |  |  | RP-PSMF |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{Mn} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}\left(\chi^{2}=1.56\right)$ |  |  |  | $\operatorname{Mn} 2 \mathrm{P}_{3 / 2}\left(\chi^{2}=1.83\right)$ |  |  |  |
| Peak | Position(eV) | Area (\%) | FWHM(eV) | Peak | Position(eV) | Area (\%) | FWHM(eV) |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | - | - | - | $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ | 640.4 | 53.9 | 3.6 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ | 641.4 | 27.8 | 2.7 | $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ | 641.4 | 46.1 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ | 642.1 | 72.2 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ | - | - | - |

