Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2023

Supplementary information

Role of Er doping on isoamyl alcohol sensing performance of

LaFeO₃ microspheres and its prospect in wheat mildew detection

Kaichun Xu^a, Mengjie Han^a, Zichen Zheng^a, Jinyong Xu^a, Marc Debliquy^b, Chao Zhang^a,

*

^a College of Mechanical Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225127, PR China

^bService de Science des Matériaux, Faculté Polytechnique, University of Mons, Mons 7000,

Belgium

* Corresponding author

Prof. Chao Zhang College of Mechanical Engineering Yangzhou University Huayang West Road 196 Yangzhou 225127, Jiangsu Province P.R. China Tel/Fax: +86-514-87436008 Email: zhangc@yzu.edu.cn

Chemical Reagent

All the chemical reagents used in the preparation process of hydrothermalsynthesized LaFeO₃ powder were of analytical grade and used without further purification. Lanthanum nitrate hexahydrate (La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, 99%), Iron nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, AR), Erbium nitrate hexahydrate (Er(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, 99.99%), Citric acid (C₆H₈O₇, 99.5%) were all purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd.; Ethanol (99.7%) was supported by Chinasun Specialty Products Co., Ltd.

Synthesis of pure and Er-doped LaFeO₃

Firstly, x mmol $Er(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, 4-x mmol $La(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$, and 4 mmol $Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ (x = 0, 0.04, 0.2, 0.4) were dissolved successively in 40 mL of distilled water at room temperature. Then, 24 mmol critic acid was added to the above solution with stirring at 25°C for 10 min to obtain orange sol. Subsequently, the sol was transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined stainless autoclave and reacted at 180°C for 12 h. The precipitate was collected, washed three times with water and ethanol, dried at 70°C for 12 h, and then calcined at 700°C for 3 h. Finally, the brown pure and Er-doped LaFeO₃ powders were obtained. Interdigitated platinum electrodes used to fabricate gas sensors were fabricated by applying platinum paste to 6×30 mm alumina matrix through screen printing, and the spacing between the electrodes for gear shaping was 0.42 mm, as shown in Fig. S1.

Sample Characterization

Surface/cross-section morphologies, element content and distribution, and

lattice fringe gap were obtained by field emission scanning electron microscopy equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (FE-SEM, S4800II) and a highresolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM, Tecnai G2 F30). Phase and crystal structure parameters of gas sensing coatings and as-prepared powders were identified by X-ray diffraction analyzer (XRD, D8 Advance) with Cu-Kα radiation. Element valence and oxygen vacancy content were acquired by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi). The specific surface areas were calculated from nitrogen (N₂) adsorption/desorption isotherms (BET, Autosorb IQ3). The Er concentration of as-prepared samples were measured by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission (ICP-AES, Optima 7300 DV) to further verify whether the actual doping amount matches the preset value.

DFT simulations

The present first principle DFT calculations were performed by the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)¹ with the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.² The exchange-functional was treated using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Emzerhof (PBE) function.³ The energy cutoff for the plane wave basis expansion was set to 450 eV, and the force on each atom less than 0.05 eV/Å was set for the convergence criterion of geometry relaxation. Grimme's DFT-D3 methodology was used to describe the dispersion interactions.⁴ Partial occupancies of the Kohn–Sham orbitals were allowed using the Gaussian smearing method and a width of 0.05 eV. The Brillourin zone was sampled with Monkhorst mesh 2×2×1 through all the computational processes. The self-consistent calculations applied a convergence energy threshold of 10⁻⁵ eV. A 15 Å vacuum space along the z direction was added to avoid the interaction between the two neighboring images.

The adsorption energy (^Eads) of isoamyl alcohol was defined as

 $E_{ads} = E_{isoamyl alcohol/surf} - E_{surf} - E_{isoamyl alcohol(g)}$

where ^E_{isoamyl alcohol/surf}, ^E_{surf}, and ^E_{isoamyl alcohol(g)} represented the energy of isoamyl alcohol adsorbed on the surface, the energy of clean surface, and the energy of isolated isoamyl alcohol molecule in a cubic periodic box, respectively.



Figure S1. The interdigitated platinum electrode used in sensing measure (left), and schematic diagram of the hydrothermal synthesis procedure of the pure and Er-

doped LaFeO₃ powders (right).



Figure S2. The schematic set-up of isoamyl alcohol sensing device.



Figure S3. The FESEM images of as-prepared SEr0, SEr1, SEr5, SEr10 powders.



Figure S4. The particle size distribution of (a) SEr0, (b) SEr1, (c) SEr5, (d) SEr10.



Figure S5. The EDS results of (a) SEr0, (b) SEr1, (c) SEr5, (d) SEr10.



Figure S6. The Er: La and Er: Fe atom ratio of SEr1, SEr5, SEr10.



Figure S7. (a) TEM, (b) HRTEM, (c) SAED image and (d-g) EDX elemental mapping of

SEr0.



Figure S8. Dynamic resistance (a, b) and response (c, d) curves of SENO and SEN5 to various concentration of isoamyl alcohol at different temperature; (e) resistance change and (f) dynamic response curves of SENO, SEN1, SEN5 and SEN10 to 25-200 ppm isoamyl alcohol at optimal operating temperature.



Figure S9. (a) Dynamic response curves of SENO and SEN5 to 5-25 ppm isoamyl alcohol; (b) the long-term stability of SENO and SEN5 at 25% RH; 5-cycles response curves of (c) SENO and SEN5 to 25 ppm.



Figure S10. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms (inlet: pore-size distribution curve)

of (a) SErO and (b) SEr5.



Figure S11. The schematic set-up of volatile gases detection from stored wheat.

Commis	65-0			05.40	Standard	Crystal	Lattice
Sample	SErU	SEL	SEr5	SErIU	card	index	spacing
					20 (°)	muck	5600118
	22.575	22.619	22.621	22.663	22.606	(002)	3.9301
	32.197	32.207	32.253	32.281	32.170	(112)	2.7802
Peak	39.579	39.715	39.761	39.768	39.702	(022)	2.2683
position	46.058	46.183	46.228	46.270	46.157	(004)	1.9650
20 (°)	57.303	57.400	57.490	57.531	57.411	(204)	1.6037
	67.345	67.350	67.486	67.526	67.300	(040)	1.3901
	76.421	76.577	76.667	76.797	76.532	(116)	1.2438

Table S1. Physical properties of 0%, 1%, 5%, 10% Er doped LaFeO $_3$ calculated via XRD

results.

Table S2. The position of the main peak and grain size of samples.

	Sample		Peak posi	Grain siz	e (nm)			
	SEr0		32.1	28.0	05			
	SEr1	32.207			23.2	23.25		
	SEr5		32.253			85		
	SEr10		32.281			68		
	Table S3. The results of ICP-AES.							
		Er (×10 ⁻³ La La (×10 ⁻³						
	Er	Er (×10 ⁻³	La	La (×10 ⁻³	Weight	Atom		
Sample	Er (mg/L)	Er (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L)	La (mg/L)	La (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L)	Weight ratio (wt.	Atom ratio (at.		
Sample	Er (mg/L)	Er (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L)	La (mg/L)	La (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L)	Weight ratio (wt. %)	Atom ratio (at. %)		
Sample SEr1	Er (mg/L) 0.603	Er (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L) 3.61	La (mg/L) 40.36	La (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L) 290.55	Weight ratio (wt. %) 1.49	Atom ratio (at. %) 1.24		
Sample SEr1 SEr5	Er (mg/L) 0.603 0.902	Er (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L) 3.61 5.39	La (mg/L) 40.36 16.42	La (×10 ⁻³ mmol/L) 290.55 118.21	Weight ratio (wt. %) 1.49 5.49	Atom ratio (at. %) 1.24 4.56		

Peak position	La							
(eV)	3d _{3/2}			3d _{5/2}				
SErO	855.05	852.60	850.6	59	838.26	835.76	833.93	
SEr5	854.91	852.10	850.3	39	838.11	835.15	833.55	
Table S5. The fitting peak positions of Fe 2p region (XPS).								
Deak position (a)/	N			Fe	9			
) 2p _{1/2} satellite 2		2p1/2	1/2 2p3/2 satellite		te 2p	2p3/2	
SEr0	726	.83	723.84	-	718.64	711.51	710.00	
SEr5 72		.96	723.65	-	718.56	711.55	710.00	
Table S6. The fitting peak positions of O 1s and Er 4d region (XPS)								
Deak position (a)/			0			Er		
	O _c		Ov	0	L	4d _{5/2}	2	
SErO	531.5	0 53	31.05	529	.14			
SEr5	531.3	5 53	80.89	529	.17	169.50	167.43	

Table S4. The fitting peak positions of La 3d region (XPS).

Material	Method of preparation	Concentratio n (ppm)	Operation temperature (°C)	Respons e	Referen ce			
NiO	Microwave- assisted solvothermal	100	250	2.8 (Ra/Rg)	5			
Ru- QDs@g- CN	Thermal polymerization	100	30	55.2 (Rg/Ra)	6			
CdS/MoS ₂	Hydrothermal	50	230	<50 (Ra/Rg)	7			
CdS	Hydrothermal	50	190	50~75 (Rg/Ra)	8			
Er doped LaFeO ₃	Hydrothermal	25	250	219.1 (Rg/Ra)	This work			
	Table S8. The band structure of SErO and SEr5.							
Sample	E _g (eV)	E _{VB, NHE} (eV)	E _{CB, NHE}	(eV)	Φ			
SEr0	1.99	1.964	-0.02	-0.026				
SEr5	1.86	1.711	-0.14	-0.149				

Table S7. The isoa	imvl alcohol sensi	ng properties of sensors.
10510 57. 1110 1500	any accord sense	is properties of sensors.

References

- 1. G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Comp. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15-50.
- 2. P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 17953-17979.
- 3. J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh and C. Fiolhais, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1992, **46**, 6671-6687.
- 4. G. Stefan, A. Jens, E. Stephan and K. Helge, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, **132**, 154104.
- G. C. N. Vioto, T. M. Perfecto, C. A. Zito and D. P. Volanti, *Mater. Lett.*, 2023, 333, 133641.
- S. Samanta, P. Srinivasan, J. B. B. Rayappan and K. Kailasam, Sens. Actuators, B, 2022, 368, 132060.
- 7. L. Liu, W. Yng, H. Zhang, X. Yan and Y. Liu, *Nanoscale Res. Let.*, 2022, **17**, 7.
- 8. X. Yan, W. Yang, C. Li, L. Liu and Y. Liu, ACS Omega, 2022, **7**, 1468-1476.