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Experimental Section

Materials

Polypropylene membrane (PP, NKK-MPF30AC-100) was purchased from Nippon Kodoshi Corporation. polyvinyl alcohol (PVA 98.0-99.0 mol%) and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) were purchased from Aladdin Chemical Reagents, Inc. Unless otherwise stated, other analytical chemicals were used as received.

Synthesis of GDY

Hexaethynylbenzene (HEB) was synthesized according to a reported synthetic method\(^1\). HEB (20, 25, 30, 35, 40 mg) was dissolved in ethyl acetate and pyridine solution and dropped into copper foil solution containing pyridine. The mixture was heated at 50 °C in nitrogen for 3 days while avoiding light. Then the copper foil coated with GDY membrane was washed several times with acetone. GDY membrane was obtained by drying at room temperature.

Synthesis of GDY/PVA membrane

GDY/PVA membrane was synthesized by in situ composite method. First, PVA (4 g) dissolved in deionized water (50 mL). The blend was heated at 90 °C for 3 h with continuous stirring until the solution became transparent. After that, 8% (w/w) of the PVA solution was prepared. Then the copper foil coated with GDY membranes (20, 25, 30, 35, 40 mg) was placed in a petri dish, 15 mL PVA solution was added, and placed in an oven at 60 °C for 8 h. After drying, the copper sheet was removed and naturally exfoliated. The prepared GDY/PVA composite membranes were denoted as GDY/PVA-20, GDY/PVA-25, GDY/PVA-30, GDY/PVA-35 and GDY/PVA-40, respectively.

Structure characterizations
The FTIR spectra of the samples were recorded by VERTEX70 spectrometer. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Japan Hitachi SU-4800) was used to observe the microstructure of the material. TEM image was studied by a JEM 2100F (200 kV) high resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, VG Scientific ESCALab220i-XL (Al Kα radiation)) was used to determine the surface chemical properties of the material. Structure information of samples was studied by X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Japan Rigaku D/max-2500 rotation anode X-ray diffractometer and graphite-monochromatized Cu Kα radiation) and Raman spectra (Raman; NT-MDT NTEGRA Spectra). The contact angle was measured with a contact angle meter (JJ2000B2, China Electric Power Corporation). Stress-strain was tested by a microcomputer-controlled electronic universal testing machine (MTS, E44.304) with the tensile speed set at 0.5 mm/min.

**Proton conductivity**

The ionic conductivity ($\sigma$) of the membrane was measured by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (CHI 770, Shanghai Chenhua Co., Ltd.) in the frequency range of 1 Hz ~ 100 kHz. The 1 cm × 1 cm sample was sandwiched between two stainless steel electrodes at room temperature. The sample was soaked in deionized water before measurement. The transverse $\sigma$ value of the membrane is calculated from the impedance data using the following formula.

$$\sigma = \frac{d}{R \times S}$$

where $d$ (cm) and $S$ (cm$^2$) are the thickness and surface area of the samples, respectively. $R$ originates from the low intersection point between the high frequency semicircle and the $Z''$ axis on the complex

**Electrochemical characterization**
The electrodes were prepared on stainless steel mesh using activated carbon (AC, 80 wt%), polytetrafluoroethylene (5 wt%), and carbon black (15 wt%). The area loading amount of stainless steel mesh was 5–7 mg cm\(^{-2}\). The capacitance performance of SC was tested on the dual-electrode battery of CHI 760E electrochemical workstation. 1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) mixed with HQ aqueous solution as electrolyte. The cell capacitance \(C_{\text{cell}}\, \text{F g}^{-1}\), electrode specific capacitance \(C_{\text{sp}}\, \text{F g}^{-1}\), energy density \(E, \text{Wh kg}^{-1}\) and power density \(P, \text{W kg}^{-1}\) using the following equation\(^{2-7}\).

\[
C_{\text{cell}} = \frac{2 \times I \times \Delta t}{M \times \Delta V} \tag{2}
\]

\[
C_{\text{sp}} = 4 \times C_{\text{cell}} \tag{3}
\]

\[
E = \frac{C_{\text{cell}} \times \Delta V^2}{2 \times 3.6} \tag{4}
\]

\[
P = \frac{3600 \times E}{\Delta t} \tag{5}
\]

Where \(I\) is the discharge current (A), \(\Delta t\) is the discharge time (s), \(\Delta V\) is the potential window (V), and \(M\) is the total mass of the active materials used in the two electrodes (mg).
Figure S1. Contact angle of different membranes.

Figure S2. Stress-strain curves of different membranes.
Figure S3. (a) XPS survey scan of GDY, (b) XPS survey scan of GDY/PVA membrane.

Figure S4. (a) cross-sectional SEM image of GDY/PVA-20, (b) cross-sectional SEM image of GDY/PVA-25, (c) cross-sectional SEM image of GDY/PVA-35, (d) cross-sectional SEM image of GDY/PVA-40.
Figure S5. TEM image of GDY.

Figure S6. (a) SEM image of GDY/PVA-30 membrane, (b) cross-sectional SEM image of GDY/PVA-30 membrane at different magnifications, (c) FTIR spectra of pure PVA and hybrid membranes, (d) XRD patterns of pure PVA and hybrid membranes.
Figure S7. Redox reactions initiated by hydroquinone/ p-benzoquinone molecules.

Figure S8. The electrolyte was 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ with 0.2 M HQ added, and the membrane was composed of GDY composite films of different concentrations (a) Schematic diagram of the supercapacitor device, (b) Charge/discharge curves of supercapacitor with different membranes at current density 1 A g$^{-1}$, (c) CVs of supercapacitor with different membranes at scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$, (d) EIS of supercapacitor with different membranes, (e) Self-discharge...
of the supercapacitors with different membranes, (f) Cycle stability of supercapacitor at current density 1 A g\(^{-1}\).

Figure S9. The coulombic efficiency of different membrane.

Figure S10. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves at a scan rate of 5 mV s\(^{-1}\) by use PVA membrane.

Table S1 Membrane thickness, Solution resistance, Charge–transfer resistance, and ionic conductivity of the membranes containing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Membrane thickness /µm</th>
<th>Solution resistance /(R_s) D</th>
<th>Charge–transfer resistance /(R_{ct}) D</th>
<th>Ionic conductivity /10(^{-2}) S cm(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-20</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-25</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-30</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0.642</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-35</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-40</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S2. Electrical properties of GDY composite membranes with different concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Energy density (Wh kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Power density (W kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Retention capability self-discharge (s, 1V-0.3V)</th>
<th>self-discharge (s, 1V-0.3V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>352.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>604.1</td>
<td>78.98 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>4343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td>294.6</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>590.9</td>
<td>81.11 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>18450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-20</td>
<td>337.4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>604.8</td>
<td>85.35 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>30900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-25</td>
<td>388.8</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>604.4</td>
<td>89.74 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>75920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-30</td>
<td>443.54</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>596.1</td>
<td>94.63 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>484700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-35</td>
<td>298.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>603.7</td>
<td>92 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>276600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDY/PVA-40</td>
<td>293.7</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>596.9</td>
<td>84.26 % after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>209700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S3. Comparison of specific capacitance and energy density with different reported values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode</th>
<th>Separator</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>Specific capacitance (F g⁻¹)</th>
<th>self-discharge (s)</th>
<th>Retention capability</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>Nafion® 117</td>
<td>H₂SO₄ + HQ</td>
<td>Cₛ 75.0 @ 2.1 A g⁻¹</td>
<td>4686 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>100% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>cellulose acetate</td>
<td>H₂SO₄ + HQ</td>
<td>Cₛ 100.2 @ 1.3 A g⁻¹</td>
<td>1462 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>70% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHG</td>
<td>cellulose acetate</td>
<td>CuSO₄+H₂SO₄</td>
<td>Cₛ 113 @ 2.1 A g⁻¹</td>
<td>113 @ 2.1 A g⁻¹</td>
<td>100% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXene</td>
<td>Celgard 3501</td>
<td>H₂SO₄ + KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 166 @ 1A g⁻¹</td>
<td>100% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>1110% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWNTs</td>
<td>glassy fibrous</td>
<td>H₂SO₄+indigo carmine</td>
<td>Cₛ <a href="mailto:50@0.88mA">50@0.88mA</a> cm⁻²</td>
<td>1800 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>70% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>1110% after 5000 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>polypropylene sheet</td>
<td>H₂SO₄+ KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 912@2mA cm⁻²</td>
<td>25200 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>86.2% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Glass microfiber</td>
<td>H₂SO₄+ KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 235 @ 1A g⁻¹</td>
<td>235 @ 1A g⁻¹</td>
<td>86.2% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS-800</td>
<td>Swagelok® cells</td>
<td>H₂SO₄+ KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 70 @ 0.7 A g⁻¹</td>
<td>21600 (1.35-1.2V)</td>
<td>86.2% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>Anion exchange membranes</td>
<td>SnF₂ + VOSO₄</td>
<td>Cₛ 172 F g⁻¹ @ 0.5A g⁻¹</td>
<td>86400 (1.6-1.15 V)</td>
<td>86.2% after 5000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>C₆≡C₆-MXene Janus separator</td>
<td>Na₂SO₄ + KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 325.6@1A g⁻¹</td>
<td>37160 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>96.2% after 1000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>GDYO/PVA</td>
<td>H₂SO₄+ KI</td>
<td>Cₛ 443.54@1A g⁻¹</td>
<td>484700 (1-0.3V)</td>
<td>94.63% after 2000 cycles</td>
<td>8.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GHG: graphene hydrogel
MXene: Ti₃C₂Tₓ
AC: Activated carbon
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