Supporting Information

Sugar-plastic Assisted Fabrication of Hollow PDMS Wearable Fabrics toward Excellent Sensory Capabilities

Mei Liu^{*a*}, *Shiqiang Song*^{*a,c**}, *Zhenlin Jiang*^{*a**}, *Yujie Xiong*^{*b*}, , *Yong Zhang*^{*c*}

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, P. R. China

^b School of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, Shanghai University of Engineering Science, Shanghai 201620, P. R. China

^c State Key Laboratory for Metal Matrix Composite Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P. R. China.

*E-mail: polymer song@hotmail.com (S.Q. Song)



Fig. S1 The conductivity of the hollow PDMS/CNT composite as a function of CNT contents



Fig. S2 Schematic diagram of adhesion between PDA-PPy and PDMS matrix



Fig. S3 FTIR spectra of the pure PDMS fiber and PDMS/PDA-PPy composite fiber



Fig. S4 SEM morphology of the outer and inner surfaces of the PDMS/PDA-PPy composite

fiber



Fig. S5 Stress-strain curves of hollow PDMS/PDA-PPy fiber and its curve after 1000

stretching cycles



Fig. S6 a) Typical arterial pulse waveform with P, T and D peaks. b) Change in relative resistance of arterial pulse waveform by sticking the sensor to the wrist artery



Fig. S7 Relative resistance response to detecting the swallowing action



Fig. S8 Relative resistance response to detecting the elbow bending



Fig. S9 Different objects applied to the sensor array and their pressure mapping



Fig. S10 Relative resistance response to different cyclic external forces



Fig. S11. Relative resistance change of the pressure sensor under 500 cycles of loading and

unloading the 300 kPa pressure



Fig. S12 Pressure sensitivities of the sensors based on the hollow PDMS/CNT and

PDMS/PDA-PPy



Fig. S13 Schematic diagram and SEM images of the morphology changes of the sensor under

continuous external force

Materials	Sensitivity (kPa ⁻¹)	Ref
microbeads/PVDF nanofibers	1.12	1
rGO/P(VDF-TrFE) fibers	0.072	2
GO/PPY/PU fibers	0.79	3
PDMS-grafted PPy fiber	0.07	4
Mxene/PVB fiber	1.15	5
ZnO/SiO ₂ nanofibers	2.06	6
SSTO-5 nanofiber	2.24	7
AuNPC-MoS 2 composite-coated fiber	0.19	8
Hollow PDMS/PDA-Ppy fiber	1.71	This work

 Table S1 The sensitivity of recently reported fiber-based pressure sensors

Materials	Appearance	Roughness description
Sandpaper		Rough
Steel plate	6	Smooth
Brush		Very rough
PU cotton		Rough
Silicon film		Rough
PTFE film	3	Smooth

 Table S2 Description of the appearance of five common objects and their surface roughness

References

- T. Jin, Y. Pan, G. J. Jeon, H. I. Yeom, S. Zhang, K. W. Paik and S. K. Park, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 13348-13359.
- P. Li, L. Zhao, Z. Jiang, M. Yu, Z. Li and X. Li, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2019, 304, 1900504.
- 3. B. Lv, X. Chen and C. Liu, *Sensors (Basel)*, 2020, **20**, 1219.
- J. Oh, J. O. Kim, Y. Kim, H. B. Choi, J. C. Yang, S. Lee, M. Pyatykh, J. Kim, J. Y. Sim and S. Park, *Small*, 2019, 15, e1901744.
- R. Qin, M. Hu, X. Li, L. Yan, C. Wu, J. Liu, H. Gao, G. Shan and W. Huang, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 17715-17724.
- H.-D. Zhang, Y.-J. Liu, J. Zhang, J.-W. Zhu, Q.-H. Qin, C.-Z. Zhao, X. Li, J.-C. Zhang and Y.-Z. Long, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys., 2018, 51, 085102.
- X. Gao, F. Zhou, M. Li, X. Wang, S. Chen and J. Yu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2021,13, 52811-52821.
- L. Lan, F. Zhao, Y. Yao, J. Ping and Y. Ying, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 10689-10696.